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April 14, 2023

21 John Dev Inc.
c/o Stephanie Bonic
Devron Developments
31 Scarsdale Road, Unit 5
Toronto, M3B 2R2, ON

Dear Ms. Bonic,

## Subject: Transportation Impact Study Addendum - 13-21 John Street and 36-40 South Station Street Development

WSP Canada Inc. (WSP) is pleased to present the findings of our Transportation Impact Study (TIS) Addendum for the proposed development at 13-21 John Street and 36-40 South Station Street in the City of Toronto to reflect its updated development concept.

The proposed development will be supported by a TDM plan including provision of a Bike Share station on-site. The transportation demand associated with the proposed development can be readily accommodated by the study area transportation network. The transportation elements proposed on-site including loading and vehicle and bicycle parking comply with applicable supply and dimension requirements. A site plan review confirms functionality of the proposed on-site transportation elements, and recommends on-site safety mitigation to address potential conflicts. The findings of the study are detailed in this report.

We thank you for the opportunity to undertake this study. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,


Josie Li, MCIP, RPP, PMP
Project Manager
Transportation Planning and Science

WSP ref.: 221-05407-00
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

WSP was retained by 21 John Dev Inc. to prepare a Transportation Impact Study (TIS) Addendum for the proposed development at 13-21 John Street and 36-40 South Station Street in the City of Toronto.

By way of background, WSP previously prepared a TIS for the proposed development, dated October 06, 2022, which was included as part of the first development application submission. A Terms of Reference (ToR) was circulated to the City's transportation staff prior to preparing the original October 2022 TIS, which the City staff responded to. The ToR and City's responses are provided in Appendix A for reference. Subsequent to the first submission, the City Development Engineering department provided comments in a memorandum dated March 13, 2023, and comments from other City departments, including Transportation Services, are yet to be issued.

This document is an addendum to the October 2022 TIS. It has been prepared in accordance with the latest site plan and current area context to evaluate if there is any adverse transportation impact associated with the proposed changes, review the updated site plan from a transportation perspective, and assess the adequacy of the updated parking and loading arrangements. This TIS addendum includes the following key updates to the October 2022 TIS:

- Site trip generation and assignment per the new site statistics;
- Future total traffic forecasts and analysis according to the updated site trips:
- Future transit assessment (as part of the multi-modal analysis) per the updated site transit trips;
- Site plan review based on the new site plan;
- Parking and loading assessment per the new site plan and current By-law requirements; and
- Transportation Demand Management plan and associated single-occupancy vehicle trip reduction estimates based on the latest site context.

Existing traffic conditions, study horizon year (2027), and assumptions for future background conditions (other developments) are consistent with the original study.

Our study approach and findings are documented herein.

### 1.1 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The site location and study area are shown in Figure 1-1. The site today is occupied by several buildings and their associated surface parking:

- A house at 36 and 38 South Station Street with one driveway on South Station Street,
- A small one-storey religious building at 40 South Station Street with one driveway on South Station Street,
- A two-storey multi-unit commercial building at 13-19 John Street, accessed via a shared laneway on John Street opposite Pantelis Kalamaris Lane. The shared laneway also provides rear yard access for the adjacent commercial properties on Weston Road.
- An automobile tire shop at 21 John Street, with a driveway on John Street and a driveway on South Station Street.

Since the previous submission, the development concept has been updated. The site has now been divided into two parcels, Parcel A and Parcel B, by an existing driveway on South Station Street between 36 and 40 South Station Street. This driveway provides rear yard access to the commercial uses on Weston Road and access for the existing house at 36 and 38 South Station Street.

Based on the site plan provided on April 14, 2023, Parcel A, the main component of the proposed development, consists of a 40 -storey building with 484 residential units, $210 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(2,265 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ of ancillary commercial/retail use at grade, and a $433-\mathrm{m}^{2}\left(4,661 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ daycare. A total of 90 parking spaces are proposed for Parcel A, which will be provided in a three-level underground garage. Vehicular access to Parcel A is provided via a full-moves driveway on John Street, opposite to Pantelis Kalamaris Lane and adjacent to the existing one-way laneway that will remain and continue to serve as rear yard access for the properties on Weston Road.

Parcel B features a three-storey building with 1,382 $\mathrm{m}^{2}\left(14,873 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ GFA of flex community space. No onsite parking or loading spaces will be provided for Parcel B. Occasional pick-up/drop-off activities can be accommodated by South Station Street. The site plan is shown in Figure 1-2.

The proposed land uses and unit mix are summarized in Table 1-1.

Table 1-1: Development Proposal Summary

| LAND USE |  | UNITS | GROSS FLOOR AREA | STOREYS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parcel A |  |  |  |  |
| Retail/Commercial |  | -- | $210 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(2,265 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | 1 |
| Daycare |  | -- | $433 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(4,661 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | 1-2 |
| Residential | Studio | 51 | -- | 9-40 |
|  | 1-Bedroom | 237 | -- | 3-40 |
|  | 2-Bedroom | 150 | -- | 2-40 |
|  | 3-Bedroom | 46 | -- |  |
| Total |  | 484 | -- | 40 |
| Parcel B |  |  |  |  |
| Community Centre |  | -- | 1,382 m ${ }^{2}\left(14,873 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | 3 |



Site Location and Study Area


## 2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

### 2.1 BOUNDARY ROADWAYS

The site is bounded by John Street to the northwest and South Station Street to the northeast. The southwest and south sides are occupied by other developments that face Weston Road and South Station Street, respectively. The following roadways make up the boundary road network that surrounds the subject site and the block the site is on:

- John Street is a northeast - southwest local road with a speed limit of $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and a two-lane crosssection. Sidewalks are available on both sides of the street. Parking is prohibited on both sides of the street.
- South Station Street is a northwest - southeast local road with a speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and a twolane cross-section. Sidewalks are available on both sides of the street. Parking is available on both sides of the street.
- Weston Road, which bounds the block to the southwest, is a northwest-southeast minor arterial road with a speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and a four-lane cross-section. Sidewalks are available on both sides of the road in the vicinity of the site. Parking is prohibited on both sides of the street in the vicinity of the site.
- Lawrence Avenue West, which bounds the block to the south, is an east-west major arterial road with a speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and a four-lane cross-section. Sidewalks are available on both sides of the road in the vicinity of the site. Parking is prohibited on both sides of the street in the vicinity of the site.

The existing Lane configuration is shown in Figure 2-1.


### 2.2 EXISTING TRANSIT SERVICES

The subject site is well served by existing transit routes operated by Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) and GO Transit. The existing transit network in the vicinity of the proposed development is described below:

- The 89 Weston bus route operates along Weston Road, generally in a north-south direction between Albion Road and Keele Station. It operates approximately every 10 minutes throughout the week. Bike racks are available on this route. There are two stops in the vicinity of the site, one for each direction, at Weston Road and Lawrence Ave W. The northbound stop is a near-side stop and the southbound is a far-side stop.
- The 989 Weston Express bus route operates along Weston Road and old Weston Road, generally in a north-south direction between Steeles Avenue West and Keele Station. It operates approximately every 15 minutes on weekdays. Bike racks are available on this route. The express service can be accessed from Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue West. The northbound stop is a near-side stop and the southbound is a far-side stop.
- The 52 Lawrence West bus route operates along Lawrence Avenue West and Dixon Road, in an eastwest direction between Yonge Street and Pearson International Airport or Westwood Mall. It operates approximately every 5 to 7 minutes throughout the week, with 5 route variations. Bike racks are available on this route. The westbound stop is a near-side stop and the eastbound is a farside stop.
- The 79 Scarlett Road bus route operates along Runnymede Road and Scarlett Rd, in a north-south direction between Runnymede Station and Lawrence Avenue West. It operates approximately every 10 minutes throughout the week, with two route variations. Bike racks are available on this route. The westbound stop is a near-side stop and the eastbound is a far-side stop.

The site is also serviced by the following GO Transit inter-regional train line:

- The Kitchener Line operates in an east-west direction from Union GO Station to Kitchener GO Station. This line connects Toronto residents to the Kitchener-Waterloo region. The closest station on the line is the Weston GO Station, which is approximately 300 m from the site and is accessible by walking. The Kitchener Line offers year-round weekday service every 30 minutes during peak periods, and 60 minutes during off-peak periods. This service also connects Weston to Union Station in 15 minutes.

The site is further serviced by the Union Pearson (UP) Express Line:

- The Union Pearson (UP) Express Line operates in an east-west direction from Union GO Station to Toronto Pearson International Airport. The closest station on the line is Weston GO Station, which is approximately 300 m from the site and is accessible by walk. The UP Express Line provides access to Bloor GO and Union GO. The line provides a two-way 30-minute service between Pearson Airport and Union Station. Service is provided year-round on weekdays, weekends, and holidays.

The existing transit network in the vicinity of the proposed development is described below and illustrated below in Figure 2-2.


### 2.3 EXISTING ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES

### 2.3.1 EXISTING CYCLING FACILITIES

Weston Road and the surrounding local streets generally do not provide adequate infrastructure for safe cycling due to their narrow width. However, a notable facility within 600 m of the subject site is the Humber River Recreational Trail. This trail generally runs in a north-south direction alongside Humber River and connects to bike lanes and trails further south, such as Eglinton Avenue West, Anette Street, Bloor Street West and Lake Shore Boulevard West. The closest entrance to the trail is at the intersection of Hickory Tree Road and Lawrence Avenue West, as shown in Figure 2-3, an excerpt from the City of Toronto 2022-2024 Implementation Program - Toronto Etobicoke York District, dated November 2021.

Figure 2-3: Existing and Planned Cycling Network.


The proximity of the subject site makes this trail highly accessible to cyclists who wish to use its major multi-use path. The path is approximately 4 metres wide, accommodating multiple people at a time, as shown in Figure 2-4.

Figure 2-4: People on Humber River Recreational Trail.


Source: Google Maps.

### 2.3.2 EXISTING PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

Overall, the subject site is very well connected for pedestrians with sidewalks on both sides of John Street and South Station Street, and pedestrian bridges across the railway line and Lawrence Avenue West, which are very important for connecting to transit, retail, schools and commercial uses within walking distance.

John Street has recently been redesigned, removing parking on the sides of the street, widening sidewalks, and planting trees along the sides of the road, significantly improving pedestrian infrastructure, safety and comfort. The before and after design of John Street is shown in Figure 2-5.

Figure 2-5: John Street Redesign. Before (top) and After (bottom).


Source: Google Maps
Additionally, Weston Road has also undergone a redesign and reconstruction process recently, widening sidewalks and installing streetscaping features, among other things. It is clear that the City has made significant infrastructure improvements to encourage walking and transit within the vicinity of the site. The pedestrian bridge across Lawrence Avenue West also provides direct access to the GO Station, reducing conflict with other modes of transportation and walking time. The bridge reduces the walking distance to the GO Station from 500 to 300 metres, is shown in Figure 2-6.

Figure 2-6: Pedestrian Bridge for Direct GO Station Access


Source: Google Maps
In addition to the previously mentioned sidewalks and pedestrian bridges. Pedestrians may also choose to travel along the Humber River Recreational Trail, shown in Figure 2-4.

## 3 VEHICULAR TRAVEL ASSESSMENT

### 3.1 EXISTING CONDITIONS

### 3.1.1 TRAFFIC DATA

Table 3-1 summarizes the list of turning movement counts (TMC) collected for this study, as well as the source and date of the counts. Traffic data was collected during typical weekday a.m. and p.m. peak periods. Figure 3-1 shows recorded traffic volumes at the study intersections identified in Figure 2-1. Details of the turning movement counts and volume balancing are included in Appendix $\mathbf{B}$.

Table 3-1: Traffic Data Information

| INTERSECTION | SURVEY DATE |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weston Rd \& John St | Horizon Data Services | June 21, 2022 |
| Weston Rd \& Lawrence Ave W | Horizon Data Services | June 21, 2022 |
| John St \& Pantelis Kalamaris Ln | Horizon Data Services | June 21, 2022 |
| John St \& 13-19 John St Access ${ }^{1,2}$ | Horizon Data Services | June 21, 2022 |
| John St \& S Station St | Horizon Data Services | June 28, 2022 |
| Lawrence Ave W \& S Station St | Horizon Data Services | June 22, 2022 |
| S Station St \& Middle Site Access | Horizon Data Services | June 21, 2022 |
| S Station St \& South Site Access ${ }^{1,4}$ | Horizon Data Services | June 21, 2022 |

1 For site accesses, only movements in and out of the site were counted.
2 John Street \& 13-19 John Street Access will no longer be used as a site access and is not included in the traffic analysis. However, this will remain as access for adjacent properties on Weston Road.
3 The Middle Site Access refers to the existing access serving the religious building at 40 South Station Street.
4 The South Site Access refers to the existing shared access serving 38 South Station Street and adjacent properties on Weston Road. This access will no longer be used as a site access but will remain as access for adjacent properties on Weston Road.


XX Traffic Volumes

### 3.1.2 MODELLING METHODOLOGY

The key parameters used in the analysis include the following:

- Existing lane configurations obtained from Google Maps' satellite and street views;
- Signal timing plans provided by the City of Toronto, and included in Appendix B for reference;
- Intersection peak hour factors were calculated from the 15-minute peak hour traffic counts; and
- Heavy vehicle percentages and conflicting pedestrian volumes as derived from the existing turning movement counts.

The Synchro parameters and assumptions in the existing condition models, as outlined above, were carried forward to all future models.

Intersection level of service (LOS) is a method of quantifying the quality of traffic operations at signalized and unsignalized intersections. LOS is indicated through a lettering system, with LOS A representing an excellent level of service (generally under free-flow conditions), and LOS F representing a very poor level of service (generally under congested conditions). Appendix C contains the definitions for level of service for both signalized and unsignalized intersections.

In addition to LOS, the volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio represents the sufficiency of an intersection to accommodate the vehicular demand, as compared to the vehicular capacity. A $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio less than 0.9 generally indicates adequate capacity and vehicles are not expected to experience significant delays or queueing conditions. As the v/c ratio approaches or exceeds 1.00, traffic flow becomes unstable resulting in significant delays and queueing conditions. LOS D is typically considered acceptable peak hour performance in an urban setting, and lower LOS conditions may be tolerable for short-term time periods during peak hours when heavier traffic volumes are expected.

The operations of the study area intersections have been evaluated using Synchro 11, with Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 2000 procedures. The analysis has considered Level-of-Service (LOS), volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratios, and queueing conditions.

### 3.1.3 TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

Table 3-2 summarizes the results of the analysis of the study intersections for the existing weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Detailed intersection capacity analysis sheets are included in Appendix D.

Table 3-2: Existing Traffic Operations

| INTERSECTION | CONTROL | A.M. PEAK HOUR |  | P.M. PEAK HOUR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS (Delay in seconds) | Critical Movements (v/c ratio) | LOS (Delay in seconds) | Critical Movements (v/c ratio) |
| Weston Rd at John St | Signalized | A (5.1) | - | A (5.7) | - |
| Weston Rd at Lawrence Ave W | Signalized | D (36.1) | EB-LTR (0.94) | D (35.7) | EB-TR (0.93) |
| John St at Pantelis Kalamaris Ln | Unsignalized | A (8.9) | - | B (10.5) | - |
| John St at S Station St | Unsignalized | A (7.5) | - | A (8.0) | - |
| Lawrence Ave W at S Station St | Unsignalized | C (16.8) | - | C (22.8) | - |
| S Station St at S Site Access | Unsignalized | A (9.0) | - | A (9.1) | - |
| S Station St at Middle Site Access | Unsignalized | A (8.8) | - | A (9.2) | - |

1 The LOS at an unsignalized intersection is defined by the movement with the highest delay.
2 Critical movements are those with a volume-to-capacity ratio exceeding 0.85 for a signalized intersection or with a LOS of ' $E$ ' or ' $F$ ' for an unsignalized intersection.

The results presented in Table 3-2 indicate that while the signalized intersection of Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue West has an acceptable LOS of "D", the eastbound movements are nearing capacity with critical v/c ratios of 0.94 and 0.93 for the a.m. and the p.m. peak hours, respectively. All other intersections are operating at LOS "C" or better.

### 3.1.4 QUEUEING ANALYSIS

The signalized queues under existing conditions are listed in Table 3-3. The detailed Synchro queuing reports are provided in Appendix D. The analysis indicates that all signalized $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are accommodated within their storage length except for the westbound left-turn queue at Weston Road \& Lawrence Avenue during the p.m. peak hour. However, its 50th percentile queue is accommodated within the available storage length. The 95th percentile queue length is typically reached only a few times during peak periods; therefore, the impact of the queue would be limited as long as the 50th percentile (average) queue length is within the available storage length.

Table 3-3: Existing Traffic Queueing Analysis.

| INTERSECTION | LANE | STORAGE LENGTH (M) | 95 ${ }^{\text {TH }}\left[50{ }^{\text {TH }}\right.$ ] PERCENTILE QUEUE (M) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A.M. Peak Hour | P.M. Peak Hour |
| Weston Rd at John St | WB-LR | 48 | 11 | 16 |
|  | NB-TR | 110 | 13 | 13 |
|  | SB-LT | 111 | 23 | 25 |
| Weston Rd at Lawrence Ave W | EB-LTR | 284 | 161 | 155 |
|  | WB-L | 21 | 18 | 24 [13] |
|  | WB-TR | 320 | 67 | 82 |
|  | NB-LTR | 407 | 44 | 66 |
|  | SB-LTR | 96 | 65 | 74 |

1 The $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue is only shown where the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue exceeds the storage length.

### 3.2 FUTURE BACKGROUND CONDITIONS

### 3.2.1 HORIZON YEAR

The proposed development is anticipated to be built by the horizon year of 2027, which has been assumed for the purpose of assessing future transportation conditions.

### 3.2.2 BACKGROUND ROAD NETWORK

Consistent with the 2021 TIS, there are no roadway improvements or modifications or operational changes to the study intersections planned to occur by the 2027 horizon year.

### 3.2.3 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC GROWTH

Based on a review of available historic traffic data on the roads in the study area, it was determined that meaningful traffic growth has only been experienced on the eastbound through movements along Lawrence Avenue, with historical data showing less than a 1.0-percent growth per annum.

To be conservative, a 1.0-percent annual growth rate has been assumed for eastbound movements along Lawrence Avenue West. Other through movements along Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue West are assumed to experience a 1.0-percent growth in five years, reflecting the five-year horizon (from TMC year 2022 to 2027).

It is expected that local developments will make up most of the traffic growth in this area. This is studied and described in Section 3.2.4 and captures a list of area developments confirmed with City staff in the Terms of Reference, in Appendix A. Figure 3-2 illustrates general background traffic growth in the Study Area.


Figure 3-2

### 3.2.4 BACKGROUND DEVELOPMENTS

Consistent with the 2021 TIS, there are three proposed developments in the vicinity of the site that will contribute additional traffic to the roads in the study area. Based on a review of the City's development application website, the background developments included in this study are summarized in Table 3-4.

The traffic volumes generated by the background developments were taken from their corresponding traffic impact studies. It is assumed these background developments would be constructed and occupied by horizon year 2027.

It should also be noted that the existing 2022 Turning Movement Counts used in this study capture the occupancy of recently constructed developments including West22 Apartments and Condominiums at John Street and South Station Street, and the recent relocation of the GO Station.

Table 3-4: Background Development Information

| DEVELOPMENT | STATUS |  | TRATISTICS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1821-1831 Weston Road | Appeal Received | 485 residential units. <br> $256 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of retail space. | LEA Consulting, 2022 |
| 1865-1885 Weston Road | Under Review | 538 residential units. <br> $1,250 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of retail space. | BA Group, 2021 |
| 1956 Weston Road | Council Approved | 592 residential units. <br> $3,991 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of retail space. | BA Group, 2019 |

Background development traffic to be added to the Future Background network is shown in Figure 3-3.


### 3.2.5 TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

The projected future background traffic volumes were developed by adding the background development volumes to the existing volumes after applying the corridor growth rates. The resulting 2027 future background volumes are shown in Figure 3-5.

If the existing signal timings are maintained under future background conditions, the critical eastbound movements at the intersection of Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue exceed capacity during the a.m. peak hour and approach capacity during the p.m. peak hour. However, it is possible to improve the performance of the critical movements by adjusting the phase splits for the intersection, while maintaining the existing cycle length. This adjustment consists of reducing the time assigned to northbound through and southbound through phases by four to five seconds and adding this time to eastbound through and westbound through phases, as presented in Figure 3-4.

Figure 3-4: Weston Rd \& Lawrence Ave Existing (top), Optimized A.M. (middle) and P.M. (bottom) Signal Timings


The resulting levels of service (including conditions before and after adjusting the signal timings) are outlined in Table 3-5 and the details related to intersection operations are provided in Appendix E.


Table 3-5: Future Background Traffic Operations

|  |  | A.M. PEAK HOUR |  | P.M. PEAK HOUR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECTION | CONTROL | LOS (Delay in seconds) | Critical Movements (v/c ratio) | LOS (Delay in seconds) | Critical Movements (v/c ratio) |
| Weston Rd at John St | Signalized | A (5.1) | - | A (5.7) | - |
| Weston Rd at Lawrence Ave W (existing splits) | Signalized | D (42.8) | EB-LTR (1.02) | D (41.1) | EB-TR (0.99) |
| Weston Rd at Lawrence Ave W (optimized) | Signalized | C (34.5) | EB-LTR (0.91) | D (36.2) | EB-TR (0.90) |
| John St at Pantelis Kalamaris Ln | Unsignalized | A (8.9) | - | B (10.5) | - |
| John St at S Station St | Unsignalized | A (7.5) | - | A (8.0) | - |
| Lawrence Ave W at S Station St | Unsignalized | C (15.3) | - | D (25.8) | - |
| S Station St at S Site Access | Unsignalized | A (9.0) | - | A (9.1) | - |
| S Station St at Middle Site Access | Unsignalized | A (8.8) | - | A (9.2) | - |

1 The LOS at an unsignalized intersection is defined by the movement with the highest delay.
2 Critical movements are those with a volume-to-capacity ratio exceeding 0.85 for a signalized intersection or with a LOS of ' $E$ ' or ' $F$ ' for an unsignalized intersection.

As shown above, the intersection of Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue is projected to operate with an acceptable LOS and below capacity, after signal timing splits are optimized. The other study intersections continue to operate similarly to existing conditions.

### 3.2.6 QUEUEING ANALYSIS

The projected queues under Future Background conditions are listed in Table 3-6. The detailed Synchro queueing reports are provided in Appendix E.

Table 3-6: Future Background Traffic Queueing Analysis

| INTERSECTION | LANE | STORAGE LENGTH (M) | $95^{\text {TH }}$ [ $50{ }^{\text {TH }}$ ] PERCENTILE QUEUE (M) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A.M. Peak Hour | P.M. Peak Hour |
| Weston Rd at John St | WB-LR | 48 | 10 | 16 |
|  | NB-TR | 110 | 13 | 13 |
|  | SB-LT | 111 | 24 | 27 |
| Weston Rd at Lawrence Ave W (existing splits) | EB-LTR | 284 | 180 | 169 |
|  | WB-L | 21 | 20 | 40 [16] |
|  | WB-TR | 320 | 68 | 87 |
|  | NB-LTR | 407 | 49 | 71 |
|  | SB-LTR | 96 | 72 | 86 |
| Weston Rd at Lawrence Ave W (optimized) | EB-LTR | 284 | 165 | 156 |
|  | WB-L | 21 | 19 | 32 [15] |
|  | WB-TR | 320 | 63 | 81 |
|  | NB-LTR | 407 | 54 | 77 |
|  | SB-LTR | 96 | 78 | 91 |

1 The $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue is only shown where the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue exceeds the storage length.
The analysis indicates that under future background conditions with the signal timing splits optimized at the intersection of Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue, all signalized $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues continue to be accommodated within their storage length except for the westbound left-turn queue at Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue during the p.m. peak hour. In this case, the forecasted $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue exceeds the available spacing by about two vehicles' length. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length is reached only about five percent of the time; therefore, the impact of the queues would be limited given that the 50th percentile (average) queue lengths are within the available storage length.

### 3.3 FUTURE TOTAL CONDITIONS

### 3.3.1 SITE TRIP GENERATION RATES

Trip generation was considered for the proposed 484 residential units. The proposed non-residential components including $224 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( $2,411 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) of commercial/retail use, a $433-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( $4,661 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) daycare, and $1,382 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(14,873 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ of stand-alone flex community space are intended to be ancillary in nature and provide community benefits to the immediate neighbourhood, and no designated transportation facilities (loading or parking) are proposed or required per the Zoning By-law. Therefore, no vehicular trip generation is assumed for the proposed non-residential uses. It is acknowledged that walking and cycling trips would be generated by these non-residential uses, however, the walking and cycling trip volumes are not quantified since they will not impact the findings and recommendations of this study.

New vehicle trips generated by the proposed development were estimated based on trip generation data from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition) for Land

Use Code 222 - Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) and adjusted to local modal split characteristics using the Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) data. The rates used and the estimated person trips generated by the site are presented in Table 3-7.

Table 3-7: ITE Trip Generation

| ND USE/ |  | A.M. PEAK HOUR |  |  | P.M. PEAK HOUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAGNITUDE | PARAMETER | Inbound | Outbound | Total | Inbound | Outboun | Total |
| Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) - LUC 222 | ITE Equation ( $\mathrm{X}=$ \# units) | $\mathrm{T}=0.22 \mathrm{X}+18.85$ |  |  | $\mathrm{T}=0.26 \mathrm{X}+23.12$ |  |  |
| 484 units | Directional Split | 26\% | 74\% | 100\% | 62\% | 38\% | 100\% |
|  | ITE Vehicle Trips | 32 | 93 | 125 | 92 | 57 | 149 |
| ITE Total Person Trips ${ }^{1}$ |  | 34 | 98 | 132 | 97 | 60 | 157 |

1 The ITE equations are based on surveys conducted in areas that are assumed to have a $5 \%$ non-auto mode share per the ITE Trip Generation Handbook (3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Edition), section 5.5.2

The proposed development is expected to generate 34 inbound and 98 outbound person trips during the weekday a.m. peak hour, and 97 inbound and 60 outbound person trips during the weekday p.m. peak hour.

### 3.3.2 MODAL SPLIT

Modal split data from the 2016 TTS database was reviewed for the traffic zone where the site is located (zone 133) and the adjacent zones 132 and 134 to determine the proportion of trips currently being made by auto, transit, cycling, and walking during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak periods. Table 3-8 summarizes the extracted mode split data from TTS, for home-based trips. The associated TTS data are provided in Appendix F.

Table 3-8: Study Area Mode Split Characteristics

| PEAK HOUR AND <br> DIRECTION | Auto | Transit | Cycling | Walk | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A.M. Inbound | $86 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| A.M. Outbound | $62 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| P.M. Inbound | $72 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| P.M. Outbound | $87 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

It is important to note that this site is expected to have a lower auto mode share than the findings from the TTS data, due to its proximity to the Weston GO station. Based on the results in Table 3-8, non-auto trip reductions were applied to site trip generation, and the resulting vehicular trips generated by the site are calculated in Table 3-9.

Table 3-9: Site Vehicular Trip Generation

| LAND USE/ MAGNITUDE | PARAMETER | A.M. PEAK HOUR |  |  | P.M. PEAK HOUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Inbound | Outbound | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total |
| Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) - LUC 222 | ITE Person Trips | 34 | 98 | 132 | 97 | 60 | 157 |
|  | Non-Auto Mode Percentage | 14\% | 38\% | -- | 28\% | 13\% | -- |
| 484 units | Non-Auto Mode Reduction | -5 | -37 | -42 | -27 | -8 | -35 |
| Total Auto Trips |  | 29 | 61 | 90 | 70 | 52 | 122 |

As shown above, the proposed development is estimated to generate 29 inbound and 61 outbound auto trips during the weekday a.m. peak hour and 70 inbound and 52 outbound auto trips during the weekday p.m. peak hour.

A survey and analysis of vehicular trips generated by another development in the area have been conducted for comparison purposes. WSP obtained Turning Movement Counts for the Hickory Tree Road and Bellevue Crescent intersection, which serves as the sole access to 1-3 Hickory Tree Road, a 413-unit residential development. This site, its proximity to the GO Station and its relative position to the study site are shown in Figure 3-6.

Figure 3-6: 1-3 Hickory Tree Road Location


Base image source: Google Earth

Table 3-10 compares the auto trip generation rates for the proxy site at 1-3 Hickory Tree Road the site trip rates developed using ITE trip generation manual and TTS mode share data (derived from the total auto trips in Table 3-9).

Table 3-10: Auto Trip Generation Rate Comparison

| DEVELOPMENT |  | IN |  | OUT |  | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proxy Site: 1-3 Hickory <br> Tree Road AM 0.08 0.11 0.19 <br>  PM 0.15 0.10 0.24 <br> Subject Site (based on <br> ITE and TTS data) AM 0.06 0.13 0.19 <br>  PM 0.14 0.11 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The results presented in Table 3-10 indicate that the derived site trip rates are very similar to the surveyed rates for existing developments in the area. The adopted trip generation method is considered conservative as it shows a slightly higher total trip rate during the p.m. peak hour compared to the surveyed results.

### 3.3.3 TRIP GENERATION VOLUMES

Since the study site is currently occupied by a mix of uses, net trip generation considers new trips generated by the development and the removal of current trips related to existing uses. For conservative estimation, the only trips to be removed for this analysis are the movements in and out of the Middle Site Access on South Station Street, which contains parking and access to the religious building (Hadi Islamic Association, formerly known as I-Rowda Masjid). This mosque hosts prayer services at various times of the day, plus an afterschool program for kids. Trips observed at the South Site Access will not be removed for the analysis, as they could be related to adjacent properties on Weston Road which will maintain in the future. Trips observed at the driveway on John Street (13-17 John Street Access) will not be removed either, since there is insufficient data to separate traffic related to the subject site and adjacent properties. Tables $\mathbf{3 - 1 1}$ shows trip generation calculations by mode and Table 3-12 shows net auto trips.

Table 3-11: Trip Generation Volumes

|  | A.M. PEAK HOUR |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MODE | Inbound | Outbound | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total |
| Auto | 29 | 61 | 90 | 70 | 52 | 122 |
| Transit | 2 | 31 | 39 | 24 | 8 | 32 |
| Cycling $^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Walk $^{1}$ | 3 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ |

1 Additional walking and cycling trips are anticipated for the proposed community centre, daycare, and commercial/retail.

Table 3-12: Net Auto Trip Generation
A.M. PEAK HOUR P.M. PEAK HOUR

|  | Inbound | Outbound | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Trips | 29 | 61 | 90 | 70 | 52 | 122 |
| Existing Site Trips | -42 | -20 | -62 | -85 | -64 | -149 |
| Net Total | $\mathbf{- 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 7}$ |

As shown in Table 3-12, the site is expected to generate no more than 28 net two-way auto trips during the weekday a.m. peak hour and no additional net trips during the weekday p.m. peak hour.

### 3.3.4 TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

TTS trip distribution data for the study traffic zones was reviewed to determine site traffic distribution patterns for the proposed development. Table 3-13 outlines the resulting trip distribution. The associated TTS data are provided in Appendix F.

Table 3-13: TTS Trip Distribution

| DIRECTION | A.M. INBOUND | A.M. OUTBOUND | P.M. INBOUND |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North | $48 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| East | $15 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| South | $28 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| West | $9 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Using the assessment in Table 3-13, the new site trips were assigned to the individual movements within the study area based on factors such as site access location, ease of turning, shortest distances, the convenience of route choices and intersection configurations.

One new North Site Access for Parcel A is proposed onto John Street, opposite Pantelis Kalamaris Lane and abutting the existing laneway providing rear yard access to the adjacent properties on Weston Road. Since the flex community space in Parcel B is anticipated to generate negligible vehicular traffic during the roadway peak hours, all new site traffic will travel via the North Site Access. It is anticipated that South Station Street will accommodate occasional pick-up/drop-off activities for Parcel B.

The removal of the existing site traffic from the study network was based on the traffic patterns reflected in the existing TMCs.

The resulting road network, removed existing site traffic, and new site auto traffic volumes are presented in Figure 3-7, Figure 3-8 and Figure 3-9, respectively.




### 3.3.5 TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

The projected future total traffic volumes were developed by adding the site traffic volumes to the future background volumes. The resulting 2027 future total volumes are shown in Figure 3-10.

The resulting levels of service are outlined in Table 3-14 and the details related to intersection operations are provided in Appendix G.

Table 3-14: Future Total Traffic Operations

|  |  | A.M. PEAK HOUR |  | P.M. PEAK HOUR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECTION | CONTROL | LOS (Delay in seconds) | Critical Movements (v/c ratio) | LOS (Delay in seconds) | Critical Movements (v/c ratio) |
| Weston Rd at John St | Signalized | A (6.2) | - | A (6.6) | - |
| Weston Rd at Lawrence Ave W (existing splits) | Signalized | D (42.2) | EB-LTR (1.01) | D (40.5) | EB-TR (0.98) |
| Weston Rd at Lawrence Ave W (optimized) | Signalized | C (34.6) | EB-LTR (0.90) | D (36.5) | EB-TR (0.89) |
| John St at Pantelis Kalamaris Ln / N Site Access | Unsignalized | A (9.4) | - | B (11.7) | - |
| John St at S Station St | Unsignalized | A (7.6) | - | A (7.9) | - |
| Lawrence Ave W at S Station St | Unsignalized | C (15.1) | - | C (19.8) | - |

1 The LOS at an unsignalized intersection is defined by the movement with the highest delay.
2 Critical movements are those with a volume-to-capacity ratio exceeding 0.85 for a signalized intersection or with a LOS of ' $E$ ' or ' $F$ ' for an unsignalized intersection.

With the removal of existing trips and the addition of new site trips, under future total conditions, the study intersections continue to operate with the same LOS as under future background conditions, with similar delays at most intersections. The intersection of Weston Road and Lawrence is expected to operate with acceptable levels of service and within capacity provided that signal timing splits are optimized without changes to the cycle length (same optimized timings as future background). The proposed site access intersection is projected to operate at LOS " B " or better and well within capacity. As such, the proposed development is not expected to have an adverse impact on traffic conditions within the study area.


Figure 3-11 compares the existing and optimized signal timings at Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue. The optimized signal timings are consistent with those adopted under future background conditions.

Figure 3-11: Weston Rd \& Lawrence Ave Existing (top), Optimized A.M. (middle) and P.M. (bottom) Signal Timings


### 3.3.6 QUEUEING ANALYSIS

The projected $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for signalized intersections under 2027 Future Total conditions are listed in Table 3-15. The detailed Synchro queueing reports are provided in Appendix G.

Table 3-15: Future Total Traffic Queueing Analysis.

| INTERSECTION | LANE | STORAGE LENGTH (M) | $95^{\text {TH }}\left[50^{\text {TH }}\right.$ ] PERCENTILE QUEUE (M) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A.M. Peak Hour | P.M. Peak Hour |
| Weston Rd at John St | WB-LR | 48 | 22 | 25 |
|  | NB-TR | 110 | 13 | 13 |
|  | SB-LT | 111 | 25 | 29 |
| Weston Rd at Lawrence Ave W (existing splits) | EB-LTR | 284 | 178 | 167 |
|  | WB-L | 21 | 20 | 38 [16] |
|  | WB-TR | 320 | 68 | 83 |
|  | NB-LTR | 407 | 50 | 72 |
|  | SB-LTR | 96 | 77 | 91 |
| Weston Rd at Lawrence Ave W (optimized) | EB-LTR | 284 | 162 | 153 |
|  | WB-L | 21 | 18 | 28 [15] |
|  | WB-TR | 320 | 62 | 78 |
|  | NB-LTR | 407 | 54 | 78 |
|  | SB-LTR | 96 | 82 | 68 |

1 The $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue is only shown where the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue exceeds the storage length.
The analysis indicates that, under future total conditions with the signal timing changes applied at the intersection of Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue, all signalized $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues continue to be accommodated within their storage length with the continuing exception of the westbound left-turn
queue at Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue during the p.m. peak hour which exceeds the available spacing by approximately one vehicle length. However, the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue continues to be accommodated within the available storage length. As previously mentioned, the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length is reached only about five percent of the time, and the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue represents the queue length in an average cycle. Therefore, the impact of the westbound left-turn queue at Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue would be limited given the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue is well within the available storage. These results are similar to the future background queuing conditions.

## 4 MULTIMODAL TRAVEL ASSESSMENT

### 4.1 TRANSIT ASSESSMENT

The majority of transit trips generated by the proposed development are anticipated to use TTC Route 89 Weston, 52 Lawrence, and the GO Kitchener Line. This transit assessment tests the transit capacity to accommodate site generate transit trips. In this theoretical assessment, it is assumed that all sitegenerated transit trips will use Route 89 Weston.

Existing ridership volumes from TTC dated October 2019 for Route 89 Weston are provided in Appendix H. Average passenger volumes at the Weston Road bus stops in the vicinity of the development were also obtained and transit utilization rates were calculated based on the standard bus capacity. It should also be noted that these October 2019 ridership volumes were conducted before the COVID-19 pandemic, and therefore these volumes should represent typical conditions as a conservative estimate. Furthermore, TTC did not provide a growth rate to apply.

Also, it is anticipated that a significant portion of transit trips originating from the site would use the Weston GO Station as a mode of travel as opposed to the local TTC routes. However, for the purposes of this assessment, only Route 89 Weston was analyzed as a conservative assumption.

The transit utilization rates were calculated based on the standard bus capacity. The existing transit ridership at the study transit stops is presented in Table 4-1, along with the resulting utilization.

### 4.1.1 EXISTING CONDITIONS

As presented in Section 2.3, the site is served by a variety of transit services. As shown in Table 4-1, the 89 Weston bus route operates within the available capacity during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak periods under the existing conditions at the transit stop location nearest to the site, which is Weston Road at Lawrence Avenue West.

Table 4-1: Existing Transit Ridership Utilization

| ROUTE | CAPACITY PER TRANSIT UNIT | DIRECTION | WEEKDAY A.M. PEAK HOUR |  | WEEKDAY P.M. PEAK HOUR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average Ridership per transit line | Utilization | Average Ridership per transit line | Utilization |
| 89 Weston | 51 | NB | 13 | 25\% | 17 | 34\% |
|  | 51 | SB | 14 | 27\% | 17 | 34\% |

### 4.1.2 FUTURE 2027 CONDITIONS

Within the horizon period, considerable transit service improvements are expected to begin operating, which could have an impact on the transportation decisions of the residents of the proposed development:

- GO Train Kitchener Line will increase service, providing all-day two-way service with a 15-minute frequency. This will greatly improve connectivity between the Study Area and Union Station, providing a rapid and direct transit service that was previously unavailable outside rush hours and directions.
- As shown in Figure 4-1, the Kitchener GO Line will add new stops to the service. Most notably, there will be a new stop at Weston Road and Eglinton Avenue West (Mount Dennis Station), which will be a transit hub that connects with the new Eglinton Crosstown LRT.
- As mentioned above, the new Eglinton Crosstown LRT will provide a new mass-transit service along Eglinton Avenue, connecting Mount Dennis to Kennedy Station, and providing connections with Stations Eglinton West and Eglinton of the TTC Line 1. This new mass transit alternative will greatly improve connectivity from the Study Area to Midtown Toronto and Scarborough.

Figure 4-1: Future Kitchener Line Network


Source: Metrolinx.
The trip generation and mode share analysis presented before do not consider these improvements, which will probably considerably increase transit usage in the area, further reducing private vehicle trips.

Site-generated transit trips were added to the existing 2019 transit ridership to estimate the future 2027 transit ridership. These trips have been assigned to each bus direction generally following TTS trip distribution ( 40 percent northbound and 60 percent southbound) and assigned to individual buses according to service frequency. On top of the site-generated transit trips, an assumed annual ridership growth rate of 1.5 percent was also applied to the existing transit ridership (from 2019 to 2027), since no route-specific growth information was provided by TTC.

The resulting utilization rates of the 89 Weston bus route within the study area by the future 2027 horizon year are shown in Table 4-2. Details of the calculations are provided in Appendix H.

As shown in Table 4-2, the 89 Weston bus route continues to operate within the available capacity during both the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours under future 2027 conditions at the nearest transit stop location.

Table 4-2: Future 2027 Transit Ridership Utilization
WEEKDAY A.M. PEAK HOUR WEEKDAY P.M. PEAK HOUR

| ROUTE | CAPACITY PER TRANSIT UNIT | DIRECTION | Average Ridership per transit line | Utilization | Average Ridership per transit line | Utilization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 Weston | 51 | NB | 15 | 29\% | 19 | 38\% |
|  | 51 | SB | 16 | 31\% | 20 | 39\% |

### 4.2 CYCLING ASSESSMENT

The cycling level of service criteria is based on the methodology outlined in the York Region Transportation Mobility Plan Guidelines. York Region's methodology assesses the level of service (LOS) of cycling facilities along road segments and at intersections. Segment facilities are mainly assessed by type, signage, and width. Intersection facilities are assessed mainly based on how safe it is for cyclists to cross the intersection. Table 4-3 below summarizes the LOS criteria for the cyclist active transportation mode.

Table 4-3: Active Transportation LOS Criteria - Cyclists

| LEVEL OF |  | CYCLISTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SERVICE | Segment | Intersection |
| A | Separated cycling facilities (e.g. cycle tracks, multi-use path) | Separated cycling facilities <br> Bicycle box or clearly delineated bicycle treatment or bicycle signal head |
| B | $\geq 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ dedicated cycling facilities <br> (e.g. bicycle lanes with and without buffer) | >1.8 m dedicated cycling facilities <br> (e.g. bicycle lanes with and without buffer), Bicycle box, clearly delineated bicycle treatment or bicycle signal head |
| C | $<1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ dedicated cycling facilities with no buffer | $<1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ dedicated cycling facilities with no buffer, Bicycle box, clearly delineated bicycle treatment or bicycle signal head |
| D | $\leq 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ bicycle lane with no buffer | $\leq 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ bicycle lane and no buffer Bicycle treatment |
| E | Shared facilities (e.g. signed routes, sharrows or paved shoulder with minimum 1.2 m in constrained area) | Shared facilities <br> (e.g. signed routes, sharrows or paved shoulder with minimum 1.2 m in constrained area) <br> No clearly delineated bicycle treatment |
| F | No bicycle provision | No bicycle provision |

It should be noted that the York Region methodology assesses on-road infrastructure and does not consider other factors such as off-road cycling facilities and connectivity and travel distance to area amenities. Also, in the site's urban context, there may be limited opportunity to provide the separate or dedicated cycling facilities on-road that would provide a good level of service as defined in the York Region criteria. Therefore, the York Region Cycling LOS assesses a component of cycling supportiveness, and other factors such as connectivity to amenities also need to be considered.

### 4.2.1 EXISTING CYCLING NETWORK

The LOS of cycling facilities within the site study area under existing conditions are summarized in Table 4-4. The cycling facilities at the signalized intersections were assessed for the purposes of this study.

Table 4-4: Existing Cycling Level-of-Service.

| INTERSECTION |
| :--- |
| DIRECTION DESCRIPTION  SEGMENT  <br>  Northbound Weston Road F F <br>  Southbound Weston Road F F <br>  Westbound John Street F F <br> Weston Road at <br> Lawrence Avenue West Northbound Weston Road F F <br>  Southbound Weston Road F F <br>  Eastbound Lawrence Avenue West F F <br>  Westbound Lawrence Avenue West F F |

The performance evaluation of the existing cycling mode indicates that there are no cycling provisions along road segments and at intersections within the study area. Typically, dedicated cycling facilities are outlined with clear lane markings and shared facilities are marked with sharrows. As neither is provided, all segments and intersections were assessed at LOS F.

However, as previously noted, there are other factors contributing to cycling supportiveness. As discussed in Section 2.3.1, the Humber River Recreational Trail is within 500 m of the subject site, providing a high-quality off-road cycling facility connecting to amenities to the north and south of the site area.

To supplement the York Region cycling LOS assessment, the site's "Walk Score" was also reviewed. "Walk Score" is a system that is often used to measure a site's walkability, cycling amenities, and transit amenities based on availability. The purpose of these scores is to provide understandable information for prospective renters and buyers looking to invest in real estate. As shown in Figure 4-2, the subject site has a Bike Score of 62 out of 100. This score falls in the "Bikeable; Some bike infrastructure" description.

Figure 4-2: Walk, Transit, and Bike Score - 21 John Street


Source: Walkscore.com

### 4.2.2 FUTURE CYCLING NETWORK

As shown in Figure 2-3, there are no proposed planned cycling improvements within the study area based on the City of Toronto 2022-2024 Implementation Program - Toronto Etobicoke York District, dated November 2021. The nearest cycling projects to the site are currently listed as a study. It is unknown when all the cycling projects in the figure will be fully implemented, or what the specific details are. Therefore, to be conservative for the purposes of this assessment, it is assumed that the pedestrian and cycling networks are to remain the same as under existing conditions for the study intersections.

As it is assumed that there are no proposed changes in the cycling facility network pertaining to the study area segments or intersections, the future cycling facilities will remain at the same existing LOS summarized in Table 4-4. The site's Bike Score is also expected to remain at 62/100, described as "bikeable".

### 4.3 PEDESTRIAN ASSESSMENT

The pedestrian level of service criteria is based on the requirements of the York Region Transportation Mobility Plan Guidelines. Table 4-5 summarizes the level of service criteria for the pedestrian mode of travel along road segments and at intersections. It should be noted that only signalized intersections have been assessed for pedestrian signal heads.

Table 4-5: Active Transportation LOS Criteria - Pedestrians

| VEL OF | PEDESTRIANS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SERVICE | Segment | Intersection |
| A | $\geq 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ sidewalk with minimum 3.5 m buffer including planting and edge zone; or $\geq 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ multi-use path | $\geq 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ sidewalk with minimum 3.5 m buffer including planting and edge zone; or $\geq 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ multi-use path Pedestrian signal head with sufficient pedestrian clearance time <br> Clearly delineated cross-walk |
| B | $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ sidewalk with minimum 1.0 m buffer including edge zone; or $<3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ multi-use path | $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ sidewalk with minimum 1.0 m buffer including edge zone; or $<3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ multi-use path Pedestrian signal head with sufficient pedestrian clearance time <br> Clearly delineated cross-walk |
| C | $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ curb-faced sidewalk (no buffer) | $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ curb-faced sidewalk (no buffer) <br> Pedestrian signal head with sufficient pedestrian clearance time <br> Clearly delineated cross-walk |
| D | <1.5 m sidewalk | <1.5 m sidewalk <br> Pedestrian signal head sufficient pedestrian clearance time <br> No clearly delineated crosswalk |
| E | Paved shoulder or no sidewalk provision | Paved shoulder or no sidewalk provision <br> No pedestrian signal head <br> No clearly delineated cross-walk |
| F | No sidewalk provision | No sidewalk provision <br> No pedestrian signal head <br> Not clearly delineated cross-walk |

### 4.3.1 EXISTING PEDESTRIAN LEVEL-OF-SERVICE

The existing LOS of pedestrian facilities within the site study area are summarized in Table 4-6.
Table 4-6: Existing Pedestrian Level-of-Service

| INTERSECTION |  | DIRECTION |  | DESCRIPTION |  | SEGMENT | INTERSECTION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weston Road at John <br> Street | Northbound | Weston Road | C | C |  |  |  |
|  | Southbound | Weston Road | C | C |  |  |  |
|  | Westbound | John Street | C | C |  |  |  |
| Weston Road at <br> Lawrence Avenue West | Northbound | Weston Road | B | B |  |  |  |
|  | Southbound | Weston Road | B | B |  |  |  |
|  | Eastbound | Lawrence Avenue West | B | B |  |  |  |
|  | Westbound | Lawrence Avenue West | B | B |  |  |  |

The performance evaluation of the existing pedestrian facilities indicates that the study area has relatively good levels of service for pedestrian infrastructure. The typical target of LOS C or better is met
at all the intersections assessed. Overall, the existing pedestrian facilities along Weston Road, John Street, and Lawrence Avenue West can adequately accommodate pedestrians.

In addition, as indicated in Section 2.3.2, the City has made significant improvements to encourage walking within the vicinity of the site, such as the redesign of the sidewalks and streetscape along Weston Road and John Street. The pedestrian bridge connecting the north side of Lawrence Avenue West to the Weston GO Station also significantly enhances pedestrian access from the site area to the GO Station.

### 4.3.2 FUTURE PEDESTRIAN LEVEL-OF-SERVICE

There are no proposed changes in the pedestrian facility network pertaining to the study area segments or intersections. Therefore, the future pedestrian facilities will remain at the same existing LOS summarized in Table 4-6.

## 5 PARKING ASSESSMENT

### 5.1 AUTO PARKING

### 5.1.1 ZONING BY-LAW REQUIREMENTS

The site is subject to the City of Toronto Zoning By-law 569-2013 requirements and is currently zoned as Commercial Residential Zone CR 2.5, as shown in Figure 5-1.

Figure 5-1: Current Site Zoning


Zoning By-law 569-2013 has been amended by By-law 89-2022 on February 3, 2022, which removes or lowers the minimum automobile parking requirements and establishes maximum parking requirements for most land uses. The City of Toronto adopted By-law 125-2022 on February 3, 2022, with respect to correcting the mapping errors contained in By-law 89-2022. An appeal to By-laws 89-2022 and 125-2022 was received and has been resolved through an order issued by the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT), and the decision was made under OLT-22-002960. Updated parking standards for automobiles as part of By-law 89-2022 are included in the September 30, 2022 office consolidation Zoning By-law 569-2013.

Based on the mapping of By-law 125-2022, the subject site is within grid 103 in Index Map B and classified as "all other areas of the City", as shown in Figure 5-2.

Figure 5-2: Site By-law 125-2022 Mapping


Based on By-law 89-2022, the minimum and maximum parking standards for all other areas of the City and the resulting requirements for each parcel of the proposed development are presented in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1: By-Law 89-2022 Parking Supply Requirements


1 The LOS at an unsignalized intersection is defined by the movement with the highest delay.
As shown in Table 5-1, Parcel A of the proposed development is required to provide a minimum of 26 spaces (visitors) and a maximum of 537 spaces. Parcel $B$ has no minimum parking requirement, and the maximum parking requirement is 48 parking spaces. In total, the proposed development is required to provide a minimum of 26 spaces and a maximum of 585 spaces are permitted for the site.

### 5.1.2 PROPOSED AUTO PARKING SUPPLY

A total of 90 parking spaces are proposed for Parcel A of the development in three levels of underground parking, satisfying the minimum and maximum requirements. The current site plan does not identify the allocation between residential and non-residential parking. Therefore, it is recommended that $\mathbf{2 6}$ parking spaces be allocated for visitors to meet the visitor parking requirement. The remaining 64 spaces may be allocated for residents and non-residential tenants who
may have specific parking requirements. There is no minimum parking requirement for Parcel $B$, and none is proposed.

The proposed parking supply of the subject development satisfies the requirements under the governing Zoning By-law 569-2013 (as amended) and hence, no parking justification is required. Nonetheless, the following sections further rationalize the appropriateness of the proposed parking supply.

## MULTI-MODAL CONTEXT

The subject site is situated with convenient access for pedestrians and transit. For pedestrians, the site is within a short walking distance to a large commercial area, public parks, schools, and other amenities. For transit connections, there are several surface bus routes and higher-order rail transit routes, as discussed in Section 2.2 and summarized in Figure 5-3 by distance to the site.

Figure 5-3: Nearby Transit Connections


Base Image Source: Google Maps
As noted in Section 3.3.2, the 2016 TTS results indicate that up to 38 percent of peak-hour trips in the study area are already being made via non-auto travel modes. In particular, the site is expected to have even higher percentages of non-auto travel due to its proximity to nearby amenities and transit connections.

## MUNICIPAL PARKING LOT

There is a public municipal parking lot located west of the site, directly across John Street, as shown in Figure 5-4. The public lot provides paid parking with 76 parking spaces. While the site is expected to adequately accommodate its own visitor and non-residential parking demand, during atypical peaks, this
municipal lot can serve as overflow parking for the site if required. Site visitors and customers may also prefer to use this public surface parking over on-site underground parking, as a matter of convenience, especially for short-duration stays.

Figure 5-4: Nearby Municipal Parking Lot


Base Image Source: Google Maps Satellite View

## NON-RESIDENTIAL PARKING

The proposed community space, daycare, and commercial/retail uses are intended to serve the immediate walkable neighbourhood. Similar community uses exist in various locations in this neighbourhood, these uses generate mostly foot traffic and require minimal parking. Given the character of this neighbourhood, walkability and multi-modal context, and the ancillary nature of the proposed non-residential uses, non-residential parking demand is expected to negligible. Depending on the needs of specific tenants, a nominal number of parking spaces may be allocated for nonresidential use. In addition, as previously noted that public parking is also available nearby, offering another parking option for visitors.

### 5.1.3 ACCESSIBLE PARKING ASSESSMENT

According to By-law 89-2022, which amended the governing Zoning By-law 569-2013, the required amount of accessible parking spaces should be based on the number of effective parking spaces calculated using the rates from Table 200.15.10.5 in the By-law. Table 5-3 provides the calculation of effective parking spaces.

Table 5-2: By-law 89-2022 Effective Parking (Accessible Parking Supply Calculation)

| PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT |  | EFFECTIVE PARKING SUPPLY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Units/GFA | Rate | No. of Spaces ${ }^{1}$ |
| Parcel A |  |  |  |
| Studio / Bachelor | 51 | 0.8 per unit | 40 |
| One-Bedroom | 237 | 0.9 per unit | 213 |
| Two-Bedroom | 150 | 1.0 per unit | 150 |
| Three-Bedroom | 46 | 1.2 per unit | 55 |
| Resident Subtotal | 484 | -- | 458 |
| Visitor | 484 | 0.1 per unit | 48 |
| Commercial/Retail | $210 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 2.0 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 4 |
| Daycare | $433 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1.0 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 4 |
| Parcel A Visitor/Non-residential Subtotal | -- | -- | 56 |
| Parcel A Total | -- | -- | 514 |
| Parcel B |  |  |  |
| Flex Community Space | 1,382 m ${ }^{2}$ | 1.0 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 13 |
| Development Total | -- | -- | 527 |

1 Rounded down to the nearest whole number.
Based on the By-law rates shown below, Parcel A is required to provide 14 accessible parking spaces and Parcel $B$ is required to provide one accessible space.
(A) if the number of effective parking spaces is less than 13 , a minimum of 1 accessible parking space must comply with all regulations for an accessible parking space in Section 200.15;
(B) if the number of effective parking spaces is 13 to 100 , a minimum of 1 accessible parking space for every 25 effective parking spaces or part thereof must comply with all regulations for an accessible parking space in Section 200.15; and
(C) if the number of effective parking spaces is more than 100 , a minimum of 5 accessible parking spaces plus 1 accessible parking space for every 50 effective parking spaces or part thereof in excess of 100 parking spaces must comply with all regulations for an accessible parking space in Section 200.15.

Since there is no proposed non-residential parking provision for Parcel $B$, the above requirement is not applicable to Parcel B and thus no accessible parking is required under By-law 1048-2022 that amended By-law 89-2022.

As for Parcel A, a total of 14 acceessible parking spaces are proposed, meeting the minimum By-law requirements.

### 5.2 BICYCLE PARKING ASSESSMENT

At its meeting of July 19 to 22, 2022, the City Council enacted By-law 0839-2022, which contains bicycle parking-related amends to Zoning By-law 569-2013. The proposed development is located in Bicycle Zone 2 and with the bicycle parking rates based on the harmonized By-law and Toronto Green Standard requirements, the required bicycle parking for the proposed development is calculated in Table 5-4.

Table 5-3: Zoning By-law 569-2013 (Bicycle Zone 2) and TGS Bicycle Parking Requirements
BICYCLE PARKING
BICYCLE PARKING RATES

| USE | UNITS / GFA | Short-Term | Long-Term | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Short- <br> Term | LongTerm | Total ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parcel A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 484 units | 0.07 per unit | 0.68 per unit | 0.75 per unit | 34 | 330 | 364 |
| Retail/ Commercial | $210 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | None <2000 m ${ }^{2}$ | None <2000 m ${ }^{2}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Daycare | 433 m ${ }^{2}$ | None | None | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Parcel A Total |  |  |  |  | 34 | 330 | 364 |
| Parcel B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community Space | 1,382 m ${ }^{2}$ | None | None | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Development Total |  |  |  |  | 34 | 330 | 364 |

1 Rounded up to the nearest whole number.
As shown in Table 5-4, a total of 364 bicycle parking spaces are required for Parcel A, including 34 shortterm and 330 long-term spaces. A total of 380 bicycle parking spaces ( 34 short-term and 346 long-term) are proposed, which satisfies the minimum By-law requirements with a surplus of 16 for long-term spaces. There is no bicycle parking requirement for Parcel B, and none is proposed. In addition, per the By-law, bicycle maintenance facilities (repair station) should be provided for a building for which five or more long-term bicycle spaces are required, and one is provided on the P 1 level.

The City has expressed a desire to locate Bike Share stations on the development site to expand the City's Bike-Share network. A Bike-Share station is proposed at the southwest corner of the site, next to the site driveway and behind a landscape planter.

### 5.3 PICK-UP AND DROP-OFF

The proposed development features a pick-up and drop-off (PUDO) loop in Parcel A. Th e anticipated PUDO activity at this site includes taxis, ride-hailing services such as Uber and Lyft, and carpooling pick-
up and drop-off. While the proposed non-residential uses are intended to serve the walkable community, these uses may also generate a small PUDO demand during their respective peak periods.

The vehicle dwell time for passenger drop-offs tends to be very short, i.e. within seconds. The vehicle dwell time for passenger pick-up tends to be longer and more variable since the vehicle may need to wait for the passenger to arrive at the lay-by. Conservatively assuming that the PUDO loop can accommodate two vehicles at a time and an average dwell time of two minutes per pick-up or drop-off, the proposed PUDO loop can accommodate 60 PUDO activities in an hour.

WSP reviewed PUDO survey data presented in other traffic impact studies for sites near higher-order transit. The short-term parking rates observed in the background review are summarized in Table 5-5.

Table 5-4: Proxy PUDO Rates

| PROXY SITE | UNITS | 95 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ PERCENTILE VEHICLE ACCUMULATION | RATE PER UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 Yorkville Avenue | 313 | 4 | 0.013 |
| 1000 Bay Street/ | 458 | 2 | 0.004 |
| 57 St. Joseph Street |  | 3 | 0.007 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.004 |
| Average |  | 3 | 0.007 |

Source: Table 36 of the 906 Yonge Street TIS (BA Group, October 14, 2021)
As shown in Table 5-5, the 95th percentile vehicle accumulation is 0.007 per unit. Applying the 95th percentile rate to the proposed 484 units, the site is estimated to need 3 short-term parking spaces to accommodate simultaneous PUDO activities. This can be accommodated in a combination of on-site PUDO loop and curbside where stopping is permitted. It is noted that the PUDO loop is located at the end of the site driveway, and any occasional queues at the PUDO loop can be accommodated within the length of the driveway. Also, PUDO activities related to ride-hailing are discretional in nature and therefore highly variable from day to day, and that the peak vehicle accumulation may not coincide with the roadway peak hour.

In addition to the on-site PUDO loop, temporary stopping is permitted on the south side of John Street (abutting the site), and TPA on-street parking is provided on both sides of South Station Street including along the Parcel A frontage. The available curb space provides additional capacity for PUDO activities and short term parking for small deliveries made by auto. It should be noted that in this urban setting, many food deliveries and other small couriers tend to be undertaken by bike or on foot. Small delivery drivers are incentivized to complete their delivery quickly and would not dwell at the site or on the street.

Given the above considerations, it is our opinion that the proposed PUDO loop is appropriate to accommodate PUDO activity on-site along with the curb spaces and does not represent an oversupply of PUDO space.

## 6 SITE PLAN REVIEW

This site plan review is based on plans provided by 3XN Architects on April 14, 2023. Vehicle manoeuvring is tested using the AutoTURN 11.0 software package.

### 6.1 SITE ACCESS

Vehicular site access will be provided via a 7.0-metres-wide driveway on John Street, as shown on the site plan in Figure 1-2. The intersection is proposed midblock on John Street and will operate with full moves. It will provide access to the underground parking ramp, internal loading area, and the pick-up-drop-off loop.

Fire trucks and TTC Wheel-Trans vehicles can serve the site from South Station Street.

### 6.1.1 SIGHTLINE ANALYSIS

On John Street, the design speed is assumed to be $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ since the posted speed is $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Figure $\mathbf{6 - 1}$ shows no obstructions based on aerial and street view images. The sidewalk on the south side of John Street is wide, and no structures are blocking the required 50 m stopping sight distance.

Due to the street's geometry, the required 85.0 metres turning sight distance is not available. 60.3 metres on the north and 55.8 metres on the south are available. Vehicles turning onto John Street from Weston Road or South Station Street will have reduced speed while turning, which allows a vehicle leaving the site to have enough time to make a decision to enter the roadway.

### 6.2 LOADING REQUIREMENT

The City of Toronto Zoning By-Law 569-2013 loading requirements for the proposed development are summarized in Table 6-1. In total, one Type ' $G$ ' and one Type ' C ' loading spaces are required.

Table 6-1: Summary of Loading Requirement and Supply

| PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT |  | REQUIRED LOADING SPACES | PROVIDED LOADING SPACES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 458 units | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Type 'G' } \\ & 1 \text { Type 'C' } \end{aligned}$ | 1 Type 'G' <br> 1 Type 'C' |
| Retail | $78 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | None |  |
| No loading requirement for community space and daycare use. |  |  |  |

As shown in Table 6-1, the proposed loading spaces fully satisfy the residential requirements of the site.

### 6.3 MINIMUM DIMENSIONS

The proposed Type ' $G$ ' and Type ' $C$ ' loading spaces satisfy the minimum horizontal dimensions of 13.0 metres in length by 4.0 metres in width and 6.0 metres in length by 3.5 metres in width, respectively, based on Section 220.5.1.10(8) of Zoning By-law 569-2013. These dimensions are illustrated in Figure 6-
2. The ground floor plan architectural drawing also notes a minimum clear height of 6.1 metres at the Type ' $G$ ' and at least 3.0 metres at the Type 'C' loading spaces.

As for dimensions related to parking, the following key criteria are noted:

- Section 200.5.1.10 of the By-law requires perpendicular parking spaces to be 2.6 metres in width and 5.6 metres long. It states that if any part of a fixed object is within 0.3 metres of the side of the parking space and more than 1.0 metres from the front or rear of the parking space, then the minimum width needs to be increased by 0.3 metres from each side of obstruction ( 2.9 metres for a space obstructed on one side, 3.2 metres in case both sides are obstructed).
- The 2021 Toronto Accessibility Design Guideline indicates that accessible parking spaces are to have a continuous barrier-free aisle of 1.5 metres, a minimum width of 3.4 metres and a length of 5.6 metres.
- Section 20.5.1 of By-law 569-2013 states that the minimum width of 6.0 metres where the centreline of a parking space is at an interior angle of 70 to 90 degrees to the centreline of the drive aisle providing vehicle access.

Critical dimensions of the driveway, loading spaces, ramps and the driving aisles for the ground floor and the parking garages are illustrated in Figures 6-2 and 6-3.

### 6.4 VEHICLE MANOEUVRING ASSESSMENT

### 6.4.1 FIRE TRUCK

A City of Toronto fire truck will be able to serve the development from South Station Street since it can reach within 15 m of the primary building entrances (lobbies) of both buildings. Therefore, fire truck circulation through the site has not been tested.

### 6.4.2 GARBAGE TRUCK

As for vehicle maneuverability at the Type 'G' loading space, a custom garbage truck representative of the City of Toronto front-end-loader has been tested using AutoTURN. The garbage truck template has been developed based on the vehicle dimensions in the reference document entitled City of Toronto Requirements for Garbage and Recycling Collection from New Developments and Redevelopments.

The City of Toronto garbage truck was modelled to enter the Type G loading space in a forward motion. To exit, the truck would reverse onto the driveway and leave the site in a forward direction. The garbage truck maneuvers, including the inner and outer turning radii, are demonstrated in Figure 6-4.

### 6.4.3 MEDIUM SINGLE-UNIT TRUCK

Maneuverability at the Type ' $G$ ' loading spaces was also tested using a TAC medium single-unit (MSU) truck. As shown in Figure 6-5, the maneuvers of an MSU at the Type ' $G$ ' loading space can be accommodated. The MSU can enter the site in a forward manner, then reverse into the Type G loading space and exit in a forward motion to leave the site.

### 6.4.4 LIGHT SINGLE-UNIT TRUCK

The Type 'C' loading bay was assessed with a light single-unit (LSU) vehicle. Figures 6-6 shows an LSU accessing the Type C loading space. The truck reverses into the Type ' C ' loading space and leaves the site in a forward motion. No conflicts were indicated as shown in the figure.

### 6.4.5 PASSENGER VEHICLE

To test the site maneuverability for a passenger vehicle, a typical Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) passenger vehicle or ' P -TAC' was tested entering, exiting, and circulating. As shown in the figures below. Based on this assessment, the tested maneuvers are feasible throughout the proposed development.

A TAC passenger vehicle or 'PTAC' was tested entering, exiting and circulating the site and the ramp, as shown in Figures 6-7 and Figure 6-8. It should be noted that a 5.6-metre P-TAC vehicle is, in fact, representative of a large truck (Ford F150), which is not representative of the average vehicle size. Based on this assessment, the tested maneuvers are accommodated throughout the ground floor. Simultaneous movement is possible both at the ramp and at the driveway entrance.

Maneuvers of a P-TAC into and out of critical parking spaces have been simulated and confirmed. These maneuvers are shown in Figures 6-9.

### 6.4.6 SAFETY MITIGATION

It is recommended that a warning system with a flashing beacon be provided to enhance driver awareness of on-site loading activity. A warning light and a sign are to be placed at the ramp exit. The light will flash while sensors detect trucks in the loading area.

In addition to the warning system, convex mirrors are proposed at all corners of the parking garage to enhance motorists' visibility.

### 6.5 PAVEMENT MARKING AND SIGNAGE PLAN

Figure 6-10 and Figure 6-11 proposes approximate locations of signage, pavement markings, mirrors and the warning system.
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## 7 TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) is a general concept that includes various strategies that increase transportation system efficiency by managing the demand for travel. TDM treats mobility as a means to an end, rather than an end in itself, and emphasizes the movement of people and goods rather than motor vehicles. Generally speaking, TDM initiatives discourage single-occupant vehicle travel and encourage more efficient modes such as walking, cycling, ridesharing, public transit and teleworking, particularly under congested conditions. TDM elements are an essential part of any progressive transportation and traffic plan for a proposed development.

The objective of the proposed TDM strategy is to inform, encourage and facilitate the utilization of nonautomobile travel opportunities within the study area. In order to achieve this, it is recommended that the marketing strategy for the proposed development highlight key characteristics based on the below items via knowledgeable sales staff and visually attractive information packages to ensure that residents and tenants are well aware of the various opportunities and incentives available to them, so as maximize the success of these TDM strategies and minimize the need for automobile use.

The following TDM strategies are recommended to be considered to support the Toronto Green Standards (TGS) Version 4 target for reducing single-occupant vehicle (SOV) trips by at least 25 percent. As noted earlier in Section 3.3.2, the existing non-auto modal split already ranges from 29 percent to 38 percent for peak hour, peak direction travel. Moreover, the parking assessment in Section 5 notes that the proposed residential auto parking is significantly lower than the Zoning By-law 569-2013 maximum permitted supply and would be an effective way to reduce site-generated auto traffic.

### 7.1 ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION

There are sidewalks along various roadways in the study road network, including the site accesses which provide convenient connections to the public streets abutting the subject site. This ensures that residents, employees and visitors alike have a suitable walking environment to the surrounding transit facilities and area amenities.

Residents, visitors and employees will have access to short-term and long-term bicycle parking on the subject site. Information about these bicycle parking spaces and nearby cycling infrastructure should be distributed to residents and tenants and displayed at prominent locations to maximize the utilization of these facilities and minimize the use of automobiles.

An on-site bicycle repair station (maintenance facility) is also recommended. Examples of bike repair stations are shown in Figure 7-1. The repair station will help residents, employees and visitors who are travelling by bicycle to conduct quick repairs or pump their bicycle tires. As mentioned in Section 5.2, the provision of an on-site bicycle repair station will satisfy the By-law requirement of a bicycle maintenance facility, which is proposed on the P1 level.

Figure 7-1: Bicycle Repair Station Examples.


### 7.2 BIKE-SHARE STATION

The provision of Bike Share stations strategically located at the subject site would help encourage cycling use for the site and general neighbourhood. The City has expressed interest in exploring the provision of bike share along with power supply on the subject site.

A Bike-Share station is proposed at the southwest corner of the site, next to the site driveway and behind a landscape planter.

### 7.3 REDUCED PARKING AND UNBUNDLING

Unbundling of parking from a residential unit is the practice whereby the cost of the unit is reduced if the prospective buyer chooses not to purchase a parking space. This would act as an incentive for owners who do not drive or own a car and prefer to carpool, take transit or use active transportation which would result in the reduction in the forecasted volumes of vehicular traffic generated by this development.

Parking spaces for the residential units could be available on demand. The residents that are car owners and require parking spaces will have to pay for this parking. Residents who want to take advantage of transit or active transportation facilities will then have the opportunity to save on the high cost of parking spaces and the associated maintenance fees.

This TDM measure can be advertised as part of the marketing strategy to ensure the tenants and prospective unit owners are those who want a non-auto-dependent lifestyle. This supports the proposed reduced resident parking rate, which is at 0.13 spaces per unit, representing a reduction of 86 percent from the maximum By-law allowance. This TDM measure when combined with the other measures will be more effective in achieving the target SOV trip reduction of 25 percent for the proposed development.

### 7.4 TRANSIT PASSES

Preloaded PRESTO cards (i.e., with a value of $\$ 50$ ) could be provided to the first group of move-in residents as part of the move-in welcome package as an incentive to use public transit. This initiative provides residents with the opportunity to try the excellent transit services, such as the Weston GO station in proximity to the site, and to adopt a transit-dependent lifestyle. Additional incentives could be provided to units that choose not to buy a parking space. This supports the growth of the transit mode split for
the proposed development, which is currently 32 percent in the a.m. outbound direction and 25 percent in the p.m. inbound direction.

### 7.5 INFORMATION PACKAGES

To help facilitate non-auto trips, it is important to provide transportation information to new residents and employees so that they can view and understand their travel options before establishing new travel habits. This will increase the chance that they will incorporate these alternatives in their travel patterns.

The developer should provide information about transportation options to new residents and tenants in an information package that will include items such as:

- Existing transit services, including a TTC ride guide, a GO system map, route navigators for each area transit route (including GO bus and rail), and seven-day schedules for nearby stops for each of these routes.
- A map of the surrounding area with sidewalks and bicycle facilities, a copy of the City's cycling network map, and cycling and pedestrian safety tips.

The developer will be responsible for coordinating the information packages with information obtained from the City. The information package will be provided prior to the opening of the development, or at a joint information session with the City, possibly at the time when PRESTO Cards may be distributed. Costs associated with the preparation and distribution of the information package would be the responsibility of the developer.

### 7.6 TRANSPORTATION INTERACTIVE DISPLAYS

In the past, interactive displays were recommended to be provided within the lobby or in elevators of buildings to provide residents, employees and visitors with an array of information including transportation services in the area. These could include the expected arrival time for the next bus on each transit route by using real-time transit data.

However, given the widespread adoption of smartphones, it is expected that many residents and employees will use transit applications on their phones to obtain live information about bus transit arrival times. Therefore, an interactive display is not recommended as a required TDM measure. However, where possible, the developer should include the appropriate electronic connections within the common area (lobby or elevator) where such a display can be installed.

### 7.7 SUMMARY OF TDM MEASURES

The table below summarizes the list of TDM measures proposed and described earlier in this section.

Table 7-1: Proposed TDM Measures Summary

| OBJECTIVE | ACTION / MEASURE | RESPONSIBILITY | TOTAL COST | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To encourage sustainable modes of travel | Provide bicycle parking on-site | Proponent | Included in construction costs | Long-term and short-term bicycle parking to comply with zoning requirements |
|  | Provide an on-site bicycle repair station | Proponent | Included in construction costs | One for the building |
|  | Provide an on-site Bike Share station | Proponent/Bike <br> Share Toronto | $\$ 50,000$ per station or $\$ 80,000$ for ebikes (subject to further discussion with Bike Share Toronto | Opportunity to provide on-site Bike Share station pending further discussion with Bike Share Toronto |
| To discourage vehicle ownership | Reduced parking supply ratio for uses on-site from maximum allowance | Proponent | Included in construction costs | Significantly lower parking supply compared to the maximum By-law auto parking allowance |
|  | Unbundle sale of residential units from auto parking spaces | Proponent | -- | Cycling and transit incentives can be advertised as part of marketing strategy |
| To encourage transit and active transportation | PRESTO card distribution | Proponent | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50 \times 484 \text { units } \\ & =\$ 24,200 \end{aligned}$ | \$50 per unit provided to the first set of move-in residents/purchasers |
|  | Information Session on Active Transportation and Transit when the building is at a meaningful occupancy (i.e. 85\%) | Proponent in partnership with local transit agencies | \$1,000 per information session | The session can be held at the site complex with handouts of information on non-auto transportation modes. |
|  | Construction of onsite pedestrian infrastructure | Proponent | Included in construction costs | Public sidewalks are enhanced by pedestrian infrastructure and convenient connections on private property. |

## 8 TORONTO GREEN STANDARD

The TGS Version 4 set sustainable design requirements for new private and City-owned developments. The TGS implements the environmental policies of the City of Toronto Official Plan and the requirements of multiple City divisions through the community planning and development approvals process administered by the City Planning Division. The purpose of the TGS is to, among other things, improve air quality, reduce the urban heat island effect, and achieve the City's greenhouse gas emission reduction targets. Tier 1 of the TGS is a mandatory requirement of the planning approval process, while Tier 2 and Tier 3 are a higher, voluntary standard.

Tier 1 of TGS requires developments to be designed to encourage low-emission transportation and encourage non-auto modes of transportation. The following Tier 1 Performance Measures are assessed in this report:

## - AQ 1.1 - Single-Occupant Vehicle Trips

- AQ 2.1 - Bicycle Parking Rates
- AQ 2.6 - Publicly Accessible Bicycle Parking
- AQ 3.1 - Pedestrian Infrastructure Connectivity


### 8.1 SINGLE-OCCUPANT VEHICLE (SOV) TRIP REDUCTION

As per TGS AQ 1.1, a reduction of single-occupant vehicle trips by 25 percent through a variety of multimodal infrastructure strategies and TDM measures is required for proposed mid to high-rise residential development.

In order to achieve this vehicle trip reduction for both peak periods, a variety of measures have been provided and reported, and this report will try to quantify the impact of each one, which is still a relatively new aspect in the industry.

As mentioned in Section 4.1.2, there will be multiple improvements to the transit network in the vicinity of the site, as well as new services that might have a smaller effect on trip choices. The introduction of counter-peak direction service at the Kitchener GO Line will open the possibility of rapid transit trips in directions that were previously unavailable, such as reaching the Brampton or Kitchener areas in the morning. Additionally, the improvement of service frequency to 15 minutes is also likely to incentivize transit usage, as there will be less need for well-planned trips and there will be more capacity to accommodate travellers.

It is also important to note that the subject site trip generation rates developed using ITE and TTS mode share data are very similar to the observed trip rates for the 1-3 Hickory Tree Road development. A key difference with this site is that the existing development is approximately 30 years old, which likely implies that a significant portion of the residents of the site are well-established and are more likely to use private vehicles for their trips, while newer development 22 John Street is much less car-focused. This comparison suggests that the 2016 TTS data is probably not reflective of the modal splits that can be achieved in newer multi-modal focused developments.

Additionally, WSP has completed a review to reasonably justify the potential reduction of SOV trip generation by the proposed various TDM measures, with sources including academic research papers and best practices implemented in other municipalities.

Table 8-1: Justification of Anticipated SOV Trip Reduction by Proposed TDM Measures

| TDM MEASURE | DETAIL | INFLUENCE ON SOV TRIP GENERATION | ANTICIPATED SOV TRIP REDUCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Providing bicycle repair station on site | Providing a bicycle repair station in a designated and secure location with bicycle maintenance tools and supplies that could be used for emergency repair or maintenance. These tools and supplies include a bicycle tire pump, wrenches, chain tools, lubricants, hex keys, Allen wrenches, torx keys, screwdrivers, etc. | The BA Group TDM Framework report ${ }^{1}$ prepared for CreateTO notes that where a bicycle repair station is provided in a secure bicycle parking room for the use of long-term users (residents or employees), a reduction of $0.5 \%$ can be realized. | 0.5\% |
| Providing Bike Share station onsite | The City has expressed interest in providing a bike-share station on-site. Accordingly, a bike-share station is proposed on site. The details are pending further discussions with Bike Share Toronto. Participating in City/TPA Bike Share program will greatly incentivize shared mobility and multimodality, which are great contributors to SOV trip reduction. | According to the Victoria Transport Policy Institute's Parking Management Report ${ }^{2}$, mobility management strategies including bicycle improvements and shared mobility options reduce parking and traffic demand by $10 \%$ to $30 \%$. Hence, a conservative $10 \%$ reduction is applied. | 10\% |
| Reduced Residential Auto Parking Supply (relative to the By-law maximum allowance) | Per By-law 89-2022, the site is permitted to provide a maximum of 458 residential vehicular parking spaces. The recommended resident parking allocation of 64 spaces represents a reduction of $86 \%$ from the By-law maximum allowance. The recommended visitor parking allocation of 26 spaces does not exceed the minimum requirement. | It is important to note that this measure is not being relied on solely and is proposed in tandem with other TDM measures to direct residents, visitors and employees to other modes of transportation. <br> The academic research papers from proxy studies in North America ${ }^{3,4}$ indicate there is a strong correlation between auto parking supply rate and auto trip generation. In more urban locations with transit readily available, the correlation has been shown to be almost a 1:1 relationship in terms of auto parking reduction and trip generation reduction. <br> The BA Group TDM Framework report ${ }^{1}$ notes that where the proposed auto parking reduction is at least $50 \%$ of the | 9\% |


| TDM MEASURE | DETAIL | INFLUENCE ON SOV TRIP GENERATION | ANTICIPATED SOV TRIP REDUCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | stipulated applicable zoning, there is an anticipated reduction in SOV of 6\%. <br> Referencing in conjunction with the above sources and given the reduction of auto parking rate is more than $50 \%$ of the stipulated zoning maximum allowance, a conservative SOV reduction of $9 \%$ has been applied. This is a very conservative approach. Based on WSP's review of TDM plans prepared by other consultants, a direct correlation has been made in terms of \% auto parking reduction $=\%$ SOV reduction. |  |
| Unbundling Parking from Unit Sales \& Strategic Parking Pricing | Unbundled spaces will be sold separately from a unit sale at market rate. This allows residents who do not need a vehicular parking space to reduce costs and invest the savings in other modes of transportation. <br> Parking pricing must be determined at the start of the sales program so that the price of the parking is reflective of the supply and the fact that there will be a cost to car ownership and driving to and from the site. This way, residents are aware of this aspect from the start. This measure is particularly effective when implemented with a reduced auto parking supply. | The 2017 TDM Policy Guide from the City of Buffalo ${ }^{5}$ indicates the \% credit/estimated reduction each strategy will have on the estimated final vehicular travel demand. The City policy is based on a review of published literature, a survey of TDM policies and ordinances, and guidance from professional transportation experts. This well-established guide notes that unbundling parking from unit sales or rental results in a reduction of up to 10\%. The BA Group TDM Framework report ${ }^{1}$ notes that a reduction of $3 \%$ is to be expected from unbundling of the sales of auto parking spaces for all unit types. The BA Group TDM Framework report (Appendix I) also notes that research on parking pricing has found that generally the price elasticity of vehicle trips as it relates to parking pricing is typically 0.1 to 0.2 , meaning a $10 \%$ increase in parking fees can reduce auto trips by 1 to $3 \%$. <br> Based on the combined application of unbundling and strategic pricing of the parking, a reduction of 5\% in SOV trips can be expected. | 5\% |
| Providing PRESTO card transit incentive to | A pre-loaded PRESTO card with a value of $\$ 50$ will be provided to the first set of move-in units. | \$50 equates to approximately 15 rides and with the PRESTO card, there is a 2hour window for free unlimited travel/transfer. Based on the TTS query | 1\% |


| TDM MEASURE | DETAIL | INFLUENCE ON SOV TRIP GENERATION | ANTICIPATED SOV TRIP REDUCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the first set of move-in residents | This is a direct incentive for residents to try transit services and understand how transit can support their day-to-day needs. | of the study area, $25 \%$ to $32 \%$ of the peak directional trips during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours are made via local transit. <br> A conservative and reasonable assumption is a $5 \%$ increase in the transit mode share as a result of the transit incentive and availability of transit-related information on site. Therefore, the a.m. and p.m. peak hour transit mode splits would increase to $34 \%$ and $26 \%$. This represents a net increase of approximately $1 \%$ in transit mode split. The BA Group TDM <br> Framework report ${ }^{1}$ notes that a $1 \%$ reduction is to be anticipated for providing a pre-loaded PRESTO card Accordingly, a reduction of $1 \%$ has been applied. |  |
| Promotion and Outreach | Promotion and education material tailored to the TDM opportunities and incentives available at the development (i.e., bike repair station, bicycle parking location, schedule, route information for TTC routes). <br> This information will be readily available and distributed to new residents. It is convenient for these to be emailed on a regular basis as part of regular condo newsletters or part of the welcome package. | The 2017 TDM Policy Guide from the City of Buffalo ${ }^{5}$ indicates the \% credit/estimated reduction each strategy will have on the estimated final vehicular travel demand. This wellestablished guide notes that promotion and outreach have an influence of up to $2 \%$. Based on the BA Group TDM Framework report ${ }^{1}$, a reduction of $1 \%$ is anticipated for providing this TDM measure. <br> Accordingly, a conservative reduction of $1 \%$ has been applied. | 1\% |
|  |  | Total: | 26.5\% |

[^0]As outlined in Table 8-1, the proposed TDM measures can reasonably achieve over 25 percent of SOV trip reduction.

### 8.2 BICYCLE PARKING RATES

As per TGS AQ 2.1, bicycle parking spaces are to be provided in accordance with Zoning By-law 5692013. Section 5.2 of this report provides an evaluation of the proposed bicycle parking supply. The proposed bicycle parking supply of 380 spaces meets the By-law bicycle parking zoning requirements with some surplus for long-term spaces. Secure bicycle parking spaces for short-term and long-term uses will be provided at easily accessible locations on the P1 level.

As mentioned previously, the provision of a Bike Share station on-site is proposed, which will also give residents and non-residents a safe and efficient shared cycling option.

### 8.3 PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

As per TGS AQ 3.1, safe, direct, universally accessible pedestrian routes, including crosswalks and midblock crossings that connect the buildings on-site to the off-site pedestrian network and priority destinations shall be provided.

Section 2.3.2 outlines connections to the off-site pedestrian network (south side of John Street and west side of South Station Street), with safe crosswalks to connect to the opposite side of John Street and South Station Street, as well as the accessible pedestrian bridge on the north side of Lawrence Avenue West, which directly connects to the Weston GO Station, a major destination for residents and visitors of the proposed development. On-site pedestrian facilities provide a convenient connection from the building entrances to the surrounding sidewalks. There is also an on-site pedestrian connection from the building entrances to the on-site pick-up and drop-off facility.

## 9 CONCLUSIONS

The revised development proposal includes two parcels, $A$ and $B$. Parcel $A$, the main component of the proposed development, consists of a 40 -storey with 484 residential units, $210 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(2,265 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ of ancillary commercial/retail use, and a $433-\mathrm{m}^{2}\left(4,661 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ daycare. Parcel B features a three-storey flex community space building with a total GFA of $1,382 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(14,873 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$.

An assessment was undertaken of the transportation elements of this proposed development and the impacts on the transportation network and systems in the area. The findings and conclusions are summarized below:

- The development is expected to generate 90 and 122 new two-way- auto trips during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours, respectively. Removal of existing site trips will partially offset this new trip generation.
- Under future total conditions, with the recommended signal timing adjustment applied (same as background conditions), all of the intersections within the study area are expected to operate at an acceptable LOS of 'D' or better with all movements operating within capacity. Future total traffic operations at the study intersections are very similar to those under future background conditions. As such, the proposed development is not expected to have an adverse impact on traffic conditions within the study area.
- Under future total conditions, all signalized $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are projected to be within their storage lengths except for the westbound left-turn queue at Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue during the p.m. peak hour (which exceeds available spacing by approximately one vehicle length). However, the associated $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue can be accommodated within the available storage length. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length is reached only about five percent of the time; therefore, the impact of the queues would be limited as long as the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile (average) queue lengths are within the available storage length. The future total queuing results are very similar to the background conditions, indicating that the site is anticipated to have minimal queuing impact.
- The multi-modal assessments completed demonstrate that the site-generated walking and transit volumes can both be accommodated within the existing infrastructure.
- The development proposes a total of 87 parking spaces, with 26 spaces for visitors and 51 spaces for residents and and other non-residential uses if required by the tenant. The proposed parking supply satisfies the minimum Zoning By-law requirements and is within the maximum allowance.
- The proposed bicycle parking supply of 380 spaces, including 34 short-term spaces and 346 longterm spaces, satisfies the minimum Zoning By-law and TGS requirements.
- Site access and circulation review confirmed that the site plan can accommodate all anticipated auto and truck movements. Applicable design standards for driveway, parking, loading, and ramps are met. However, it is noted that the proposed driveway onto John Street is located immediately adjacent to an existing laneway serving properties on Weston Road.
- Recommended Transportation Demand Management measures for this site include:
- Provide facilities that encourage bike usage, including bicycle parking, an on-site Bike Share station, and an on-site bicycle repair station.
- Discourage vehicle ownership; unbundle sales of residential units from auto parking spaces and provide reduced parking supply with respect to the maximum By-law allowance. It is also recommended that the applicant consider providing car-share services on-site.
- Encourage transit and active transportation in general; distribute pre-loaded PRESTO cards, organize an information session on active transportation and transit when the building is at a meaningful occupancy, and construct on-site pedestrian infrastructure.
- The development is expected to comply with Tier 1 of the TGS Version 4 requirements:
- A variety of TDM measures have been recommended for the proposed development, which is estimated to achieve an SOV trip reduction of 26.5 percent.
- The proposed bicycle parking supply satisfies the minimum Zoning By-law and TGS requirements. These bicycle parking stations will be secure and located at convenient locations on the first basement level.
- The site will provide safe pedestrian infrastructure, which will connect building entrances to an on-site pick-up and drop-off space, as well as sidewalks on the boundary roadways which provide safe access to the Lawrence Avenue West pedestrian bridge to the Weston GO station.
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## TERMS OF <br> REFERENCE

| To: | Luigi Niccolucci | Date: | July 25, 2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From: | Josie Li, and Binuji Liyanage, WSP | Job No.: | 221-05407-00 |
| Subject: | Terms of Reference - <br> 21 John Street TIS | CC: |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

WSP Canada is undertaking a Transportation Impact Study (TIS) supporting the Zoning By-law Amendment, Official Plan Amendment, and Site Plan Approval of a proposed residential development with ground floor retail located at 21 John Street and 36-40 South Station Street in the City of Toronto. This development will consist of approximately 461 residential units including 8 townhouses and $4,585 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of retail space.

The proposed work program of the TIS is outlined below for your review.

## 1. Traffic Data Collection and Review

We will obtain turning movement counts for vehicles and pedestrians during a typical weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours ( 2 hours each) at all of the proposed study intersections and obtain the latest signal timing plans from the City of Toronto.

Based on the study location and magnitude of the proposed development, we have identified the following study intersections for the TIS:
a) Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue (signalized)
b) Lawrence Avenue and S. Station Street (unsignalized)
c) John Street and Pantelis Kalamaris Lane/access to 17 John Street (unsignalized)
d) Weston Road and John Street (signalized)
e) Future site access

## 2. Existing Traffic Analysis

- Analyze the existing conditions using the Synchro 11 Traffic Software with the input parameters specified in the City's Synchro Guidelines. The existing conditions will be modelled based on the existing road network and the established existing peak hour traffic volumes. This will be the baseline scenario which all subsequent scenarios will be compared with.


## 3. Future Background Traffic Analysis

- A five-year horizon period of 2027 will be evaluated.
- No future improvements to the road network have been identified. WSP requests that the City please specify otherwise if there are to be any improvements to the network.
- Include the following background developments:
- 1821-1831 Weston Road;
- 1871 \& 1885 Weston Road;
- 1956 Weston Road; and
- 2062 Weston Road.

WSP does not currently have access to the TIS for the development at 2062 Weston Road and requests that the City please provide it for reference.

- Through traffic growth will be determined based on a review of historical AADT. If specific growth rates should be applied, please specify.
- Develop the future background traffic volumes for the five-year horizon (2027) based on the existing traffic volumes, the background corridor growth, and anticipated future traffic related to other developments in the vicinity of the site.
- The future background traffic operations will be analyzed using Synchro 11 on the future road network on the basis of the forecast future background traffic volumes. This includes identifying whether improvements to the study area road network are required as a result of other background developments in the area.


## 4. Trip Generation and Assignment

- Generate weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour site trips associated with the residential and retail portions of the proposed development will be estimated using the applicable rates provided in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual (11th Edition).
- Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) information will be assessed to determine the applicable non-auto traffic adjustments to be applied.
- Local trip generation data may be considered to refine the trip generation.
- The site-generated traffic volume will be assigned to the study road network based on the existing traffic patterns, site access arrangement, and trip distribution information from the TTS.
- Number of trips for each mode will be developed.


## 5. Future Total Traffic Analysis / Transportation Analysis

- Site-generated traffic volumes from Task 4 will be superimposed onto the future background traffic volumes forecasted in Task 3 to develop the future total traffic volumes.
- The study will assess the impact of the proposed development on the study road network. If necessary, improvements to facilitate the additional site-generated traffic would be recommended.


## 6. Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan

As a component of the Transportation Impact Study, we will prepare a Traffic Demand Management (TDM) Plan which will seek to reduce the use of single-occupant vehicles through alternate modes of transportation. These strategies will reflect the site's context.

Please provide your input on the above noted terms of reference at your earliest convenience. Thank you so much.

Yours Sincerely,

Josie Li, MCIP, RPP, PMP
Project Manager
Transportation Planning and Advisory Services, WSP
Binuji Liyanage
Transportation Planning Intern
Transportation Planning and Advisory Services, WSP

## Dong, Xinwei

| From: | Li, Josie |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | March 27, 2023 3:25 PM |
| To: | Dong, Xinwei |
| Cc: | Yihia, Matan |
| Subject: | FW: 21 John Street - TOR |

From: Hibba Shahid [Hibba.Shahid@toronto.ca](mailto:Hibba.Shahid@toronto.ca)
Sent: July 28, 2022 3:14 PM
To: Li, Josie [Josie.Li@wsp.com](mailto:Josie.Li@wsp.com); Liyanage, Binuji [Binuji.Liyanage@wsp.com](mailto:Binuji.Liyanage@wsp.com)
Cc: Luigi Nicolucci [Luigi.Nicolucci@toronto.ca](mailto:Luigi.Nicolucci@toronto.ca); Alan Filipuzzi [Alan.Filipuzzi@toronto.ca](mailto:Alan.Filipuzzi@toronto.ca); Samuel Baptiste [Samuel.Baptiste@toronto.ca](mailto:Samuel.Baptiste@toronto.ca); Farhad Razmyar [Farhad.Razmyar@toronto.ca](mailto:Farhad.Razmyar@toronto.ca)
Subject: RE: 21 John Street - TOR
Hello Josie and Binuji,
Thank you for submitting your Terms of Reference for our review. I have outlined some high level comments below:

## Additional Future Background Traffic Analysis

- 1879 Weston Road
- 1865 Weston Road
- 1966 Weston Road
- 1974 Weston Road
- 1978 Weston Road
- 1980 Weston Road
- 1986 Weston Road

2062 Weston Road is to be excluded as a background development.
Transportation Planning agrees with the remaining proposed background developments.

## Additional Intersections to Be Analysed

- John Street and S. Station Street (unsignalized)

Transportation Planning accepts the identified intersections. Transportation Services may provide additional comments regarding this matter.

## Loading

- Shall meet Zoning By-law 569-2013 but is to be consolidated and minimized.


## Multi-Modal Assessment

- A network of pedestrian connections to support pedestrian desire lines as connections to the surrounding public right of way network is to be established as part of your multi modal study.


## Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Measures

- We request that TPA bike share stations be considered to be located on site to help facilitate expansion of the planned network and present opportunities for E-bike share stations to be easily connected to the electric grid on site.


## Toronto Green Standards

- A section which discusses how the project complies with all transportation-related requirements of the "in-force" Toronto Green Standard (TGS), which is Version 4.0. More specifically, compliance with AQ1.1-AQ1.3, AQ2.1AQ2.4, AQ3.1 and AQ3.2 of the TGS will be required.

Please ensure that your study also contains the following:

- A section which provides an estimate of the pick-up/drop-off activity that will be generated by the proposal using an acceptable methodology and how that activity will be accommodated on-site.

The above comments are preliminary and are based on information provided to date. We reserve the right to ask for additional requirements regarding the study once a formal application is received with all supporting materials.

Regards,
Hibba Shahid (she/her)
Assistant Planner, Transportation Planning
City Planning
Tel: 416-338-3629

From: Liyanage, Binuji [mailto:Binuji.Liyanage@wsp.com]
Sent: July 27, 2022 11:21 AM
To: Andrew Au [Andrew.Au@toronto.ca](mailto:Andrew.Au@toronto.ca); Luigi Nicolucci [Luigi.Nicolucci@toronto.ca](mailto:Luigi.Nicolucci@toronto.ca)
Cc: Li, Josie [Josie.Li@wsp.com](mailto:Josie.Li@wsp.com)
Subject: 21 John Street - TOR

Good Morning Andrew, Luigi,

WSP is working on a Transportation Study for the proposed mixed use development located at 21 John Street in the City of Toronto.

We have attached the Terms of Reference (TOR) for the City's review. Please provide your comments on our proposed study methodology and include my colleague Josie Li (Josie.Li@wsp.com) in any future correspondence (l've cc'd her in this email as well).

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions or comments.
Your prompt response is greatly appreciated as we are working under an urgent timeline.

Thanks and Best Regards,

## Binuji Liyanage

Transportation Planning Intern
Transportation | Planning and Advisory Services


T+1 289-982-4191
binuji.liyanage@wsp.com
100 Commerce Valley Drive West, 4th Floor
Thornhill, Ontario
L3T 0A1 Canada
www.wsp.com
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## TRAFFIC DATA

## H orizon D ata Services Ltd

Email: nhyree@gmail.com
Phone: (416) 840-6619 Fax: (416) 840-5297
"Your Traffic Count Specialist"
File Name : Weston Road at John Street
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 06/21/2022
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks - Heavys - Cyclists

|  | Weston Rd From North |  |  |  |  | John St <br> From East |  |  |  |  | Weston Rd From South |  |  |  |  | From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 78 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 61 | 0 | 4 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 97 | 0 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 15 | 1 | 62 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 106 | 1 | 1 | 108 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 24 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 6 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 221 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 104 | 1 | 1 | 106 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 19 | 3 | 67 | 0 | 2 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 |
| Total | 0 | 384 | 3 | 3 | 390 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 49 | 69 | 6 | 273 | 0 | 15 | 294 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 753 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 122 | 6 | 5 | 133 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 19 | 2 | 92 | 0 | 4 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 129 | 3 | 1 | 133 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 30 | 4 | 71 | 0 | 7 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 245 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 127 | 3 | 1 | 131 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 48 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 3 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 271 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 93 | 3 | 5 | 101 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 59 | 68 | 3 | 95 | 0 | 7 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 274 |
| Total | 0 | 471 | 15 | 12 | 498 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 140 | 165 | 9 | 347 | 0 | 21 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1040 |


| 04:00 PM | 0 | 126 | 12 | 12 | 150 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 31 | 48 | 4 | 142 | 0 | 25 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 369 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 112 | 6 | 21 | 139 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 36 | 49 | 6 | 126 | 0 | 11 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 331 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 121 | 7 | 22 | 150 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 41 | 54 | 4 | 103 | 0 | 11 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 322 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 120 | 8 | 9 | 137 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 34 | 54 | 10 | 114 | 0 | 20 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 335 |
| Total | 0 | 479 | 33 | 64 | 576 | 39 | 0 | 24 | 142 | 205 | 24 | 485 | 0 | 67 | 576 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1357 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 122 | 15 | 7 | 144 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 38 | 49 | 2 | 125 | 0 | 24 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 344 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 137 | 8 | 18 | 163 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 31 | 43 | 3 | 121 | 0 | 17 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 347 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 131 | 8 | 13 | 152 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 42 | 7 | 100 | 0 | 21 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 322 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 111 | 11 | 21 | 143 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 33 | 44 | 6 | 131 | 0 | 22 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 346 |
| Total | 0 | 501 | 42 | 59 | 602 | 30 | 0 | 15 | 133 | 178 | 18 | 477 | 0 | 84 | 579 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1359 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 1835 | 93 | 138 | 2066 | 103 | 0 | 50 | 464 | 617 | 57 | 1582 | 0 | 187 | 1826 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4509 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 88.8 | 4.5 | 6.7 |  | 16.7 | 0 | 8.1 | 75.2 |  | 3.1 | 86.6 | 0 | 10.2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 40.7 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 45.8 | 2.3 | 0 | 1.1 | 10.3 | 13.7 | 1.3 | 35.1 | 0 | 4.1 | 40.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cars | 0 | 1710 | 92 | 138 | 1940 | 101 | 0 | 46 | 464 | 611 | 54 | 1476 | 0 | 187 | 1717 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4268 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 93.2 | 98.9 | 100 | 93.9 | 98.1 | 0 | 92 | 100 | 99 | 94.7 | 93.3 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94.7 |
| Trucks | 0 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 |
| Heavys | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 3.3 | 0 | 0 | 2.9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 3.6 | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.6 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |

## H orizon D ata Services Ltd

Email: nhyree@gmail.com
Phone: (416) 840-6619 Fax: (416) 840-5297
"Your Traffic Count Specialist"
File Name : Weston Road at John Street
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 06/21/2022
Page No
: 2


## H orizon D ata Services Ltd

Email: nhyree@gmail.com
Phone: (416) 840-6619 Fax: (416) 840-5297
"Your Traffic Count Specialist"
File Name : Weston Road at John Street
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 06/21/2022
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|  | Weston Rd From North |  |  |  |  | John St <br> From East |  |  |  |  | Weston Rd From South |  |  |  |  | From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | e Inters | ction B | ns at | :00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 122 | 6 | 5 | 133 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 19 | 2 | 92 | 0 | 4 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 129 | 3 | 1 | 133 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 30 | 4 | 71 | 0 | 7 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 245 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 127 | 3 | 1 | 131 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 48 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 3 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 271 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 93 | 3 | 5 | 101 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 59 | 68 | 3 | 95 | 0 | 7 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 274 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 471 | 15 | 12 | 498 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 140 | 165 | 9 | 347 | 0 | 21 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1040 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 94.6 | 3 | 2.4 |  | 10.9 | 0 | 4.2 | 84.8 |  | 2.4 | 92 | 0 | 5.6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 913 | . 625 | . 600 | . 936 | . 643 | . 000 | . 583 | . 593 | . 607 | . 563 | . 913 | . 000 | . 750 | . 898 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 949 |
| Cars | 0 | 431 | 15 | 12 | 458 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 140 | 163 | 7 | 316 | 0 | 21 | 344 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 965 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 91.5 | 100 | 100 | 92.0 | 100 | 0 | 71.4 | 100 | 98.8 | 77.8 | 91.1 | 0 | 100 | 91.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92.8 |
| Trucks | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 5.1 | 0 | 0 | 4.8 | 0 | 0 | 28.6 | 0 | 1.2 | 11.1 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 |
| Heavys | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 3.4 | 0 | 0 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.9 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.2 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
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## H orizon D ata Services Ltd

Email: nhyree@gmail.com
Phone: (416) 840-6619 Fax: (416) 840-5297
"Your Traffic Count Specialist"
File Name : Weston Road at John Street
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 06/21/2022
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|  | Weston Rd From North |  |  |  |  | John St <br> From East |  |  |  |  | Weston Rd From South |  |  |  |  | From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 122 | 15 | 7 | 144 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 38 | 49 | 2 | 125 | 0 | 24 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 344 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 137 | 8 | 18 | 163 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 31 | 43 | 3 | 121 | 0 | 17 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 347 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 131 | 8 | 13 | 152 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 42 | 7 | 100 | 0 | 21 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 322 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 111 | 11 | 21 | 143 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 33 | 44 | 6 | 131 | 0 | 22 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 346 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 501 | 42 | 59 | 602 | 30 | 0 | 15 | 133 | 178 | 18 | 477 | 0 | 84 | 579 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1359 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 83.2 | 7 | 9.8 |  | 16.9 | 0 | 8.4 | 74.7 |  | 3.1 | 82.4 | 0 | 14.5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 914 | . 700 | . 702 | . 923 | . 750 | . 000 | . 625 | . 875 | . 908 | . 643 | . 910 | . 000 | . 875 | . 910 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 979 |
| Cars | 0 | 482 | 41 | 59 | 582 | 30 | 0 | 15 | 133 | 178 | 18 | 459 | 0 | 84 | 561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1321 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 96.2 | 97.6 | 100 | 96.7 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 96.2 | 0 | 100 | 96.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97.2 |
| Trucks | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 |
| Heavys | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
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## H orizon D ata Services Ltd

## Email: nhyree@gmail.com
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Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks - Heavys - Cyclists

|  | Weston Rd From North |  |  |  |  | Lawrence Ave From East |  |  |  |  | Weston Rd From South |  |  |  |  | Lawrence Ave From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 4 | 73 | 8 | 24 | 109 | 11 | 65 | 7 | 6 | 89 | 16 | 50 | 16 | 8 | 90 | 21 | 122 | 2 | 28 | 173 | 461 |
| 07:15 AM | 4 | 70 | 16 | 13 | 103 | 4 | 91 | 12 | 12 | 119 | 21 | 75 | 17 | 10 | 123 | 23 | 137 | 2 | 21 | 183 | 528 |
| 07:30 AM | 3 | 92 | 14 | 16 | 125 | 11 | 98 | 16 | 10 | 135 | 14 | 69 | 21 | 13 | 117 | 29 | 168 | 2 | 24 | 223 | 600 |
| 07:45 AM | 8 | 90 | 8 | 13 | 119 | 4 | 97 | 11 | 15 | 127 | 8 | 64 | 20 | 10 | 102 | 41 | 205 | 0 | 19 | 265 | 613 |
| Total | 19 | 325 | 46 | 66 | 456 | 30 | 351 | 46 | 43 | 470 | 59 | 258 | 74 | 41 | 432 | 114 | 632 | 6 | 92 | 844 | 2202 |
| 08:00 AM | 4 | 100 | 14 | 12 | 130 | 5 | 123 | 16 | 11 | 155 | 13 | 90 | 15 | 18 | 136 | 36 | 207 | 0 | 38 | 281 | 702 |
| 08:15 AM | 10 | 118 | 15 | 16 | 159 | 9 | 119 | 22 | 16 | 166 | 22 | 69 | 21 | 25 | 137 | 50 | 157 | 2 | 53 | 262 | 724 |
| 08:30 AM | 5 | 110 | 8 | 26 | 149 | 20 | 127 | 6 | 41 | 194 | 22 | 66 | 23 | 11 | 122 | 38 | 193 | 1 | 49 | 281 | 746 |
| 08:45 AM | 5 | 73 | 8 | 30 | 116 | 19 | 149 | 18 | 32 | 218 | 14 | 73 | 10 | 7 | 104 | 48 | 152 | 1 | 54 | 255 | 693 |
| Total | 24 | 401 | 45 | 84 | 554 | 53 | 518 | 62 | 100 | 733 | 71 | 298 | 69 | 61 | 499 | 172 | 709 | 4 | 194 | 1079 | 2865 |


| 04:00 PM | 12 | 85 | 23 | 29 | 149 | 19 | 152 | 23 | 31 | 225 | 22 | 122 | 14 | 27 | 185 | 26 | 161 | 0 | 50 | 237 | 796 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 18 | 85 | 13 | 23 | 139 | 17 | 138 | 17 | 25 | 197 | 29 | 113 | 17 | 23 | 182 | 34 | 157 | 0 | 54 | 245 | 763 |
| 04:30 PM | 11 | 105 | 15 | 21 | 152 | 12 | 152 | 26 | 26 | 216 | 21 | 107 | 18 | 30 | 176 | 34 | 145 | 0 | 45 | 224 | 768 |
| 04:45 PM | 9 | 91 | 21 | 19 | 140 | 15 | 141 | 26 | 19 | 201 | 21 | 98 | 20 | 11 | 150 | 30 | 196 | 0 | 46 | 272 | 763 |
| Total | 50 | 366 | 72 | 92 | 580 | 63 | 583 | 92 | 101 | 839 | 93 | 440 | 69 | 91 | 693 | 124 | 659 | 0 | 195 | 978 | 3090 |


| 05:00 PM | 13 | 90 | 13 | 24 | 140 | 22 | 151 | 19 | 30 | 222 | 26 | 95 | 21 | 26 | 168 | 30 | 181 | 2 | 49 | 262 | 792 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 4 | 123 | 19 | 23 | 169 | 13 | 155 | 26 | 35 | 229 | 23 | 110 | 29 | 28 | 190 | 30 | 186 | 0 | 50 | 266 | 854 |
| 05:30 PM | 8 | 100 | 14 | 18 | 140 | 19 | 117 | 20 | 29 | 185 | 29 | 102 | 23 | 18 | 172 | 39 | 188 | 0 | 43 | 270 | 767 |
| 05:45 PM | 5 | 96 | 18 | 20 | 139 | 26 | 162 | 24 | 29 | 241 | 19 | 104 | 27 | 16 | 166 | 35 | 184 | 1 | 48 | 268 | 814 |
| Total | 30 | 409 | 64 | 85 | 588 | 80 | 585 | 89 | 123 | 877 | 97 | 411 | 100 | 88 | 696 | 134 | 739 | 3 | 190 | 1066 | 3227 |
| Grand Total | 123 | 1501 | 227 | 327 | 2178 | 226 | 2037 | 289 | 367 | 2919 | 320 | 1407 | 312 | 281 | 2320 | 544 | 2739 | 13 | 671 | 3967 | 11384 |
| Apprch \% | 5.6 | 68.9 | 10.4 | 15 |  | 7.7 | 69.8 | 9.9 | 12.6 |  | 13.8 | 60.6 | 13.4 | 12.1 |  | 13.7 | 69 | 0.3 | 16.9 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.1 | 13.2 | 2 | 2.9 | 19.1 | 2 | 17.9 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 25.6 | 2.8 | 12.4 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 20.4 | 4.8 | 24.1 | 0.1 | 5.9 | 34.8 |  |
| Cars | 120 | 1391 | 215 | 327 | 2053 | 219 | 1873 | 279 | 367 | 2738 | 310 | 1306 | 299 | 281 | 2196 | 511 | 2552 | 11 | 671 | 3745 | 10732 |
| \% Cars | 97.6 | 92.7 | 94.7 | 100 | 94.3 | 96.9 | 91.9 | 96.5 | 100 | 93.8 | 96.9 | 92.8 | 95.8 | 100 | 94.7 | 93.9 | 93.2 | 84.6 | 100 | 94.4 | 94.3 |
| Trucks | 3 | 48 | 11 | 0 | 62 | 7 | 41 | 10 | 0 | 58 | 9 | 30 | 8 | 0 | 47 | 26 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 81 | 248 |
| \% Trucks | 2.4 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 0 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2 | 3.5 | 0 | 2 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 0 | 2 | 4.8 | 2 | 7.7 | 0 | 2 | 2.2 |
| Heavys | 0 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 1 | 58 | 3 | 0 | 62 | 7 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 383 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 0 | 2.8 | 0 | 5.9 | 0 | 0 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 1 | 0 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 0 | 0 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 7.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 |
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## H orizon D ata Services Ltd
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Site Code : 00000000
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|  | Weston Rd From North |  |  |  |  | Lawrence Ave From East |  |  |  |  | Weston Rd From South |  |  |  |  | Lawrence Ave From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 4 | 100 | 14 | 12 | 130 | 5 | 123 | 16 | 11 | 155 | 13 | 90 | 15 | 18 | 136 | 36 | 207 | 0 | 38 | 281 | 702 |
| 08:15 AM | 10 | 118 | 15 | 16 | 159 | 9 | 119 | 22 | 16 | 166 | 22 | 69 | 21 | 25 | 137 | 50 | 157 | 2 | 53 | 262 | 724 |
| 08:30 AM | 5 | 110 | 8 | 26 | 149 | 20 | 127 | 6 | 41 | 194 | 22 | 66 | 23 | 11 | 122 | 38 | 193 | 1 | 49 | 281 | 746 |
| 08:45 AM | 5 | 73 | 8 | 30 | 116 | 19 | 149 | 18 | 32 | 218 | 14 | 73 | 10 | 7 | 104 | 48 | 152 | 1 | 54 | 255 | 693 |
| Total Volume | 24 | 401 | 45 | 84 | 554 | 53 | 518 | 62 | 100 | 733 | 71 | 298 | 69 | 61 | 499 | 172 | 709 | 4 | 194 | 1079 | 2865 |
| \% App. Total | 4.3 | 72.4 | 8.1 | 15.2 |  | 7.2 | 70.7 | 8.5 | 13.6 |  | 14.2 | 59.7 | 13.8 | 12.2 |  | 15.9 | 65.7 | 0.4 | 18 |  |  |
| PHF | . 600 | . 850 | . 750 | . 700 | . 871 | . 663 | . 869 | . 705 | . 610 | . 841 | . 807 | . 828 | . 750 | . 610 | . 911 | . 860 | . 856 | . 500 | . 898 | . 960 | . 960 |
| Cars | 23 | 366 | 41 | 84 | 514 | 49 | 467 | 58 | 100 | 674 | 66 | 269 | 63 | 61 | 459 | 156 | 644 | 4 | 194 | 998 | 2645 |
| \% Cars | 95.8 | 91.3 | 91.1 | 100 | 92.8 | 92.5 | 90.2 | 93.5 | 100 | 92.0 | 93.0 | 90.3 | 91.3 | 100 | 92.0 | 90.7 | 90.8 | 100 | 100 | 92.5 | 92.3 |
| Trucks | 1 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 106 |
| \% Trucks | 4.2 | 5.2 | 8.9 | 0 | 4.7 | 7.5 | 3.1 | 6.5 | 0 | 3.3 | 7.0 | 2.3 | 5.8 | 0 | 3.2 | 8.1 | 3.7 | 0 | 0 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Heavys | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 107 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | 6.6 | 0 | 0 | 4.6 | 0 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 0 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 5.5 | 0 | 0 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 |
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|  | Weston Rd From North |  |  |  |  | Lawrence Ave From East |  |  |  |  | Weston Rd From South |  |  |  |  | Lawrence Ave From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | e Inters | ction B | ns at | :00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 13 | 90 | 13 | 24 | 140 | 22 | 151 | 19 | 30 | 222 | 26 | 95 | 21 | 26 | 168 | 30 | 181 | 2 | 49 | 262 | 792 |
| 05:15 PM | 4 | 123 | 19 | 23 | 169 | 13 | 155 | 26 | 35 | 229 | 23 | 110 | 29 | 28 | 190 | 30 | 186 | 0 | 50 | 266 | 854 |
| 05:30 PM | 8 | 100 | 14 | 18 | 140 | 19 | 117 | 20 | 29 | 185 | 29 | 102 | 23 | 18 | 172 | 39 | 188 | 0 | 43 | 270 | 767 |
| 05:45 PM | 5 | 96 | 18 | 20 | 139 | 26 | 162 | 24 | 29 | 241 | 19 | 104 | 27 | 16 | 166 | 35 | 184 | 1 | 48 | 268 | 814 |
| Total Volume | 30 | 409 | 64 | 85 | 588 | 80 | 585 | 89 | 123 | 877 | 97 | 411 | 100 | 88 | 696 | 134 | 739 | 3 | 190 | 1066 | 3227 |
| \% App. Total | 5.1 | 69.6 | 10.9 | 14.5 |  | 9.1 | 66.7 | 10.1 | 14 |  | 13.9 | 59.1 | 14.4 | 12.6 |  | 12.6 | 69.3 | 0.3 | 17.8 |  |  |
| PHF | . 577 | . 831 | . 842 | . 885 | . 870 | . 769 | . 903 | . 856 | . 879 | . 910 | . 836 | . 934 | . 862 | 786 | . 916 | 859 | . 983 | . 375 | . 950 | . 987 | . 945 |
| Cars | 30 | 390 | 64 | 85 | 569 | 80 | 551 | 86 | 123 | 840 | 96 | 393 | 98 | 88 | 675 | 132 | 702 | 3 | 190 | 1027 | 3111 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 95.4 | 100 | 100 | 96.8 | 100 | 94.2 | 96.6 | 100 | 95.8 | 99.0 | 95.6 | 98.0 | 100 | 97.0 | 98.5 | 95.0 | 100 | 100 | 96.3 | 96.4 |
| Trucks | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 32 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Heavys | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 84 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 0 | 4.6 | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 0 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 0 | 0 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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## H orizon D ata Services Ltd
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Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks - Heavys - Cyclists

|  | Pantelis Kalamaris Ln From North |  |  |  |  | John St From East |  |  |  |  | From South |  |  |  |  | John St From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 15 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 27 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 19 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 17 | 68 |


| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 21 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 21 |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 34 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 26 | 0 | 13 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 11 | 31 | 96 |


| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 17 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 10 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 23 | 69 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 47 |
| 04:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 28 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 19 | 70 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 14 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 20 | 68 |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 9 | 55 | 67 | 30 | 50 | 0 | 28 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 33 | 18 | 28 | 79 | 254 |


| 05:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 33 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 19 | 71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 4 | 26 | 32 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 77 |
| 05:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 20 | 66 |
| 05:45 PM | 5 | 0 | 5 | 28 | 38 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 32 | 96 |
| Total | 13 | 0 | 10 | 101 | 124 | 33 | 38 | 0 | 28 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 17 | 26 | 87 | 310 |
| Grand Total | 18 | 0 | 20 | 185 | 223 | 82 | 131 | 0 | 78 | 291 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 41 | 73 | 214 | 728 |
| Apprch \% | 8.1 | 0 | 9 | 83 |  | 28.2 | 45 | 0 | 26.8 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 46.7 | 19.2 | 34.1 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2.5 | 0 | 2.7 | 25.4 | 30.6 | 11.3 | 18 | 0 | 10.7 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.7 | 5.6 | 10 | 29.4 |  |
| Cars | 15 | 0 | 19 | 185 | 219 | 81 | 126 | 0 | 78 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 40 | 73 | 209 | 713 |
| \% Cars | 83.3 | 0 | 95 | 100 | 98.2 | 98.8 | 96.2 | 0 | 100 | 97.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 97.6 | 100 | 97.7 | 97.9 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 1 |
| Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Cyclists | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| \% Cyclists | 16.7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.9 | 1 |
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|  | Pantelis Kalamaris Ln From North |  |  |  |  | John St <br> From East |  |  |  |  | From South |  |  |  |  | John St From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | Inter | ction B | ns at | :00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 20 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 21 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 21 |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 34 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 26 | 0 | 13 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 11 | 31 | 96 |
| \% App. Total | 7.7 | 0 | 7.7 | 84.6 |  | 25 | 50 | 0 | 25 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 54.8 | 9.7 | 35.5 |  |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 000 | . 250 | . 550 | . 542 | . 813 | . 722 | . 000 | . 650 | . 813 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 607 | . 750 | . 458 | . 646 | 706 |
| Cars | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 11 | 29 | 91 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 92.3 | 92.3 | 0 | 100 | 94.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94.1 | 66.7 | 100 | 93.5 | 94.8 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 0 | 0 | 5.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.9 | 0 | 0 | 3.2 | 4.2 |
| Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 | 0 | 3.2 | 1.0 |
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|  | Pantelis Kalamaris Ln From North |  |  |  |  | John St <br> From East |  |  |  |  | From South |  |  |  |  | John St From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | e Inter | ction B | ns at | :00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 33 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 19 | 71 |
| 05:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 4 | 26 | 32 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 77 |
| 05:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 20 | 66 |
| 05:45 PM | 5 | 0 | 5 | 28 | 38 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 32 | 96 |
| Total Volume | 13 | 0 | 10 | 101 | 124 | 33 | 38 | 0 | 28 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 17 | 26 | 87 | 310 |
| \% App. Total | 10.5 | 0 | 8.1 | 81.5 |  | 33.3 | 38.4 | 0 | 28.3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 50.6 | 19.5 | 29.9 |  |  |
| PHF | . 650 | . 000 | . 500 | . 871 | . 816 | . 825 | . 864 | . 000 | . 700 | . 853 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 786 | . 425 | . 542 | . 680 | . 807 |
| Cars | 10 | 0 | 10 | 101 | 121 | 33 | 38 | 0 | 28 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 17 | 26 | 85 | 305 |
| \% Cars | 76.9 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 97.6 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95.5 | 100 | 100 | 97.7 | 98.4 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cyclists | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| \% Cyclists | 23.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
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| Location: | Driveway at John St |
| :--- | :--- |
| Count Date: | June-21-2022 |
| Count Times | 0700 to 0900 and 1600 to 1800 |
| Int Mode: | Signalized |
| Int Type: | X-intersection |


| Cars |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WBL | EBR | NBL | NBR |
| Time | Left In | Right IN | Left Out | Right Out |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 30$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 45$ | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 16:00 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 16:15 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:15 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 17:30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## Trucks

| Time | Left In | Right IN | Left Out | Right Out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Heavies

| Time | Left In | Right $\mathbf{N} \mathbf{N}$ | Left Out | Right Out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Cyclists

| Time | Left In | Right IN | Left Out | Right Out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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|  | Access From North |  |  |  |  | John St From East |  |  |  |  | S Station St From South |  |  |  |  | John St From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 18 |
| 07:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 14 |
| 07:45 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 16 |
| Total | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 15 | 56 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 24 |
| 08:15 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 42 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 40 |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 58 |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 14 | 53 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 43 | 164 |


| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 23 | 49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 35 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 21 | 56 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 25 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 28 | 68 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 32 | 40 | 2 | 1 | 69 | 13 | 85 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 36 | 77 | 208 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 6 | 27 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 26 | 85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 19 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 24 | 65 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 20 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 74 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 26 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 27 | 86 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 77 | 88 | 2 | 0 | 74 | 16 | 92 | 49 | 9 | 0 | 37 | 95 | 310 |


| Grand Total | 8 | 1 | 0 | 53 | 62 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 176 | 196 | 4 | 3 | 198 | 45 | 250 | 102 | 15 | 5 | 108 | 230 | 738 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apprch \% | 12.9 | 1.6 | 0 | 85.5 |  | 0 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 89.8 |  | 1.6 | 1.2 | 79.2 | 18 |  | 44.3 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 47 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 23.8 | 26.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 26.8 | 6.1 | 33.9 | 13.8 | 2 | 0.7 | 14.6 | 31.2 |  |
| Cars | 8 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 61 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 176 | 194 | 4 | 1 | 194 | 45 | 244 | 99 | 14 | 5 | 108 | 226 | 725 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 98.4 | 0 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 33.3 | 98 | 100 | 97.6 | 97.1 | 93.3 | 100 | 100 | 98.3 | 98.2 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 1.2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 66.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1 | 6.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
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|  | Access From North |  |  |  |  | John St From East |  |  |  |  | S Station St From South |  |  |  |  | John St From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | e Inters | ction B | ins at | 8:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 24 |
| 08:15 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 42 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 40 |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 58 |
| Total Volume | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 14 | 53 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 43 | 164 |
| \% App. Total | 23.1 | 0 | 0 | 76.9 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 1.9 | 71.7 | 26.4 |  | 39.5 | 0 | 4.7 | 55.8 |  |  |
| PHF | . 375 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 542 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 724 | . 724 | . 000 | . 250 | . 633 | . 500 | . 736 | . 607 | . 000 | . 250 | . 750 | . 717 | . 707 |
| Cars | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 14 | 50 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 42 | 160 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 92.1 | 100 | 94.3 | 94.1 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 97.7 | 97.6 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.9 | 0 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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## H orizon D ata Services Ltd

Email: nhyree@gmail.com
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|  | Access From North |  |  |  |  | John St <br> From East |  |  |  |  | S Station St From South |  |  |  |  | John St From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | e Inters | ction B | ns at | :00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 6 | 27 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 26 | 85 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 19 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 24 | 65 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 20 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 74 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 26 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 27 | 86 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 77 | 88 | 2 | 0 | 74 | 16 | 92 | 49 | 9 | 0 | 37 | 95 | 310 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 4.5 | 8 | 87.5 |  | 2.2 | 0 | 80.4 | 17.4 |  | 51.6 | 9.5 | 0 | 38.9 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 795 | . 795 | . 000 | 1.00 | . 583 | . 740 | . 786 | . 500 | . 000 | . 925 | . 667 | . 852 | . 817 | . 563 | . 000 | . 771 | . 880 | . 901 |
| Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 77 | 88 | 2 | 0 | 74 | 16 | 92 | 48 | 8 | 0 | 37 | 93 | 308 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98.0 | 88.9 | 0 | 100 | 97.9 | 99.4 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.1 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
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## H orizon D ata Services Ltd
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Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks - Heavys - Cyclists

|  | S Station St From North |  |  |  |  | Lawrence Ave From East |  |  |  |  | From South |  |  |  |  | Lawrence Ave From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 4 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 4 | 1 | 178 | 296 |
| 07:15 AM | 5 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 1 | 0 | 185 | 306 |
| 07:30 AM | 8 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 104 | 0 | 1 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 224 | 5 | 0 | 229 | 353 |
| 07:45 AM | 6 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 3 | 1 | 197 | 325 |
| Total | 23 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 50 | 16 | 424 | 0 | 1 | 441 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 774 | 13 | 2 | 789 | 1280 |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 7 | 122 | 0 | 1 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 3 | 2 | 234 | 380 |
| 08:15 AM | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 6 | 1 | 246 | 404 |
| 08:30 AM | 3 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 19 | 9 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 6 | 2 | 197 | 372 |
| 08:45 AM | 4 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 25 | 8 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 5 | 1 | 187 | 343 |
| Total | 13 | 0 | 34 | 27 | 74 | 27 | 533 | 0 | 1 | 561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 838 | 20 | 6 | 864 | 1499 |


| 04:00 PM | 7 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 21 | 10 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 8 | 1 | 206 | 424 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 7 | 0 | 202 | 396 |
| 04:30 PM | 9 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 20 | 18 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 10 | 2 | 243 | 470 |
| 04:45 PM | 8 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 26 | 23 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 4 | 1 | 221 | 438 |
| Total | 26 | 0 | 28 | 27 | 81 | 58 | 717 | 0 | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 839 | 29 | 4 | 872 | 1728 |


| 05:00 PM | 10 | 0 | 11 | 20 | 41 | 21 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 7 | 8 | 248 | 480 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 12 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 33 | 14 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 16 | 3 | 229 | 457 |
| 05:30 PM | 6 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 18 | 10 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 | 8 | 3 | 254 | 463 |
| 05:45 PM | 3 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 26 | 12 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 7 | 1 | 202 | 408 |
| Total | 31 | 0 | 50 | 37 | 118 | 57 | 700 | 0 | 0 | 757 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 880 | 38 | 15 | 933 | 1808 |
| Grand Total | 93 | 0 | 127 | 103 | 323 | 158 | 2374 | 0 | 2 | 2534 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3331 | 100 | 27 | 3458 | 6315 |
| Apprch \% | 28.8 | 0 | 39.3 | 31.9 |  | 6.2 | 93.7 | 0 | 0.1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 96.3 | 2.9 | 0.8 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.5 | 0 | 2 | 1.6 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 37.6 | 0 | 0 | 40.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52.7 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 54.8 |  |
| Cars | 90 | 0 | 127 | 103 | 320 | 156 | 2195 | 0 | 2 | 2353 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3101 | 95 | 27 | 3223 | 5896 |
| \% Cars | 96.8 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 99.1 | 98.7 | 92.5 | 0 | 100 | 92.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93.1 | 95 | 100 | 93.2 | 93.4 |
| Trucks | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 5 | 0 | 94 | 144 |
| \% Trucks | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.7 | 5 | 0 | 2.7 | 2.3 |
| Heavys | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 274 |
| \% Heavys | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 | 0 | 0 | 4.1 | 4.3 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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|  | S Station St From North |  |  |  |  | Lawrence Ave From East |  |  |  |  | From South |  |  |  |  | Lawrence Ave From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 7 | 122 | 0 | 1 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 3 | 2 | 234 | 380 |
| 08:15 AM | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 6 | 1 | 246 | 404 |
| 08:30 AM | 3 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 19 | 9 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 6 | 2 | 197 | 372 |
| 08:45 AM | 4 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 25 | 8 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 5 | 1 | 187 | 343 |
| Total Volume | 13 | 0 | 34 | 27 | 74 | 27 | 533 | 0 | 1 | 561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 838 | 20 | 6 | 864 | 1499 |
| \% App. Total | 17.6 | 0 | 45.9 | 36.5 |  | 4.8 | 95 | 0 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 97 | 2.3 | 0.7 |  |  |
| PHF | . 813 | . 000 | . 607 | . 675 | . 740 | 750 | . 906 | . 000 | . 250 | . 899 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 877 | . 833 | . 750 | . 878 | . 928 |
| Cars | 12 | 0 | 34 | 27 | 73 | 26 | 470 | 0 | 1 | 497 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 763 | 17 | 6 | 786 | 1356 |
| \% Cars | 92.3 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 98.6 | 96.3 | 88.2 | 0 | 100 | 88.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91.1 | 85.0 | 100 | 91.0 | 90.5 |
| Trucks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 36 | 60 |
| \% Trucks | 7.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 4.3 | 0 | 0 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.9 | 15.0 | 0 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 82 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 7.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 4.9 | 5.5 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
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## H orizon D ata Services Ltd
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|  | S Station St From North |  |  |  |  | Lawrence Ave From East |  |  |  |  | From South |  |  |  |  | Lawrence Ave From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 9 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 20 | 18 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 10 | 2 | 243 | 470 |
| 04:45 PM | 8 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 26 | 23 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 4 | 1 | 221 | 438 |
| 05:00 PM | 10 | 0 | 11 | 20 | 41 | 21 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 7 | 8 | 248 | 480 |
| 05:15 PM | 12 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 33 | 14 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 16 | 3 | 229 | 457 |
| Total Volume | 39 | 0 | 35 | 46 | 120 | 76 | 708 | 0 | 0 | 784 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 890 | 37 | 14 | 941 | 1845 |
| \% App. Total | 32.5 | 0 | 29.2 | 38.3 |  | 9.7 | 90.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 94.6 | 3.9 | 1.5 |  |  |
| PHF | . 813 | . 000 | . 729 | . 575 | . 732 | . 826 | . 937 | . 000 | . 000 | . 947 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 955 | . 578 | . 438 | . 949 | . 961 |
| Cars | 39 | 0 | 35 | 46 | 120 | 75 | 672 | 0 | 0 | 747 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 844 | 36 | 14 | 894 | 1761 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98.7 | 94.9 | 0 | 0 | 95.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94.8 | 97.3 | 100 | 95.0 | 95.4 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 24 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 0 | 2.0 | 1.3 |
| Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 60 |
| \% Heavys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 | 0 | 0 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 0 | 0 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Cyclists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## H orizon D ata Services Ltd

Email: nhyree@gmail.com
Phone: (416) 840-6619 Fax: (416) 840-5297
"Your Traffic Count Specialist"
File Name : Lawrence Ave at South Station St
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 06/22/2022
Page No
: 7


## H orizon D ata Services Ltd

Email: nhyree@gmail.com
Phone: (416) 840-6619 Fax: (416) 840-5297
"Your Traffic Count Specialist"
File Name : Lawrence Ave at South Station St
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 06/22/2022
Page No : 8


| Location: | North Driveway at S Station |
| :--- | :--- |
| Count Date: | June-21-2022 |
| Count Times | 0700 to 0900 and 1600 to 1800 |
| Int Mode: | Signalized |
| Int Type: | X-intersection |


| Cars | NBL | SBR | EBL | EBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Time | Left In | Right IN | Left Out | Right Out |
| 07:00 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 07:15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 07:45 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 08:15 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 08:30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:45 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| 16:00 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| 16:15 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 16:30 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| 16:45 | 22 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 17:00 | 22 | 2 | 2 | 15 |
| 17:15 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| 17:30 | 18 | 4 | 3 | 12 |
| 17:45 | 20 | 2 | 5 | 10 |


| Trucks |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left In | Right IN | Left Out | Right Out |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 15$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $08: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 00$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |


| Heavies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left In | Right IN | Left Out | Right Out |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 00$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Cyclists

| Time | Left In | Right IN | Left Out | Right Out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $07: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 30$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |


| Location: | South Driveway at S Station Rd |
| :--- | :--- |
| Count Date: | June-21-2022 |
| Count Times | 0700 to 0900 and 1600 to 1800 |
| Int Mode: | Signalized |
| Int Type: | X-intersection |


| Cars |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NBL | SBR | EBL | EBR |
| Time | Left In | Right IN | Left Out | Right Out |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 00$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| $08: 15$ | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| $08: 30$ | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| $08: 45$ | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| $16: 00$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:45 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Trucks |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left In | Right IN | Left Out | Right Out |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Heavies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left In | Right IN | Left Out | Right Out |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Cyclists

| Time | Left In | Right IN | Left Out | Right Out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |




[^1]| LOCATION: MODE/COMMENT: TCS: PREPARED BY/DATE: CHECKED BY/DATE: IMPLEMENTATION DATE: | Weston Rd \& SA1 with 2-W 431 <br> IBI / December <br> Ranajamil Iftik <br> January 12, 2 | wrence Av Polara AP <br> 3, 2021 <br> ar \& Ihtes <br> 2 | RLC (WB) <br> Ahmad / De | mber 08, 2021 |  |  |  | ATO/DISTRICT/WARD: COMPUTER SYSTEM: CONTROLLER/CABINET TYPE: CONFLICT FLASH: design walk speed: CHANNELDROP: Controller firmware: | 2 (Etobicoke York) / 5 TransSuite Econolite Cobalt / TS2T1 Red \& Red $0.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (FDW based on full crossing at $1.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) 5005 32.63 .10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEMA Phase |  | OFF | AM | PM | NGHT | WKND | 401 Closure | Phase Mode | Remarks |
|  |  | All Other Times | $\begin{gathered} \text { 06:30-09:00 } \\ \text { M-F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 14:00-19:00 } \\ \text { M-F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 23:00-06:30 } \\ \text { Daily } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 11:00-19:00 } \\ & \text { Sat \& Sun } \end{aligned}$ |  | (Fixed/Demanded/Callable) |  |
|  | Local Plan | Pattern 1 | Pattern 2 | Pattern 3 | Pattern 4 | Pattern 5 | Pattern 16 |  |  |
|  | System Plan | Split 1 | Split 2 | Split 3 | Split 4 | Split 5 | Plan 16 |  |  |
|  | WLK <br> FDW <br> MIN <br> MAX1 <br> AMB <br> ALR <br> SPLIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pedestrian Minimums: <br> NSWK $=8$ seconds, NSFD $=25$ seconds <br> EWWK $=8$ seconds, EWFD $=28$ seconds <br> Left-turn passage time $=2$ seconds <br> Extended push activation $=3$ seconds <br> APS on during full walk of NSWK \& EWWK when activated by pushbutton and no left-turn arrows are displayed. |
|  |   <br>   <br> WLK 8 <br> FDW 25 <br> MIN 33 <br> MAX1 49 <br> AMB 3.5 <br> ALR 3.6 <br> SPLIT  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fixed | The following grades were used to calculate the AMB intervals: <br> North Leg $=-2.5 \%$ <br> South Leg $=0.8 \%$ <br> East Leg = 1.6\% <br> West Leg $=1 \%$ |
|  |  | 57 | 60 | 60 | 45 | 57 | 63 |  |  |
|  |   <br> WLK  <br> FDW  <br> MIN 6 <br> MAX1 6 <br> AMB 3.2 <br> ALR 3.1 <br>   |  | 13 | 13 |  |  |  | Callable / Extendable by Setback Wavetronix |  |
| 4 |  WLK <br> FDW 8 <br> MIN 28 <br> MIN 36 <br> MAX1 36 <br> AMB 3.3 <br> ALR 3.2 <br> SPLIT  | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 57 | Fixed |  |
|  |   <br> WLK  <br> FDW  <br> MIN 6 <br> MAX1 6 <br> AMB 3.3 <br> ALR 4.5 <br> SPLIT  | 14 | 14 | 14 |  | 14 | 14 | Fixed |  |
|  |   <br> WLK 8 <br> FDW 25 <br> MIN 33 <br> MAX1 35 <br> AMB 3.5 <br> ALR 3.6 <br> SPLIT  | 43 | 46 | 46 | 45 | 43 | 49 | Fixed |  |
|  | WLK <br> FDW <br> MIN <br> MAX1 <br> AMB <br> ALR <br> SPLIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  WLK <br> FDW 8 <br> MIN 28 <br> MIN 36 <br> MAX1 36 <br> AMB 3.3 <br> ALR 3.2 <br> SPLIT  | 43 | 56 | 56 | 43 | 43 | 57 | Fixed |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CL} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

## APPENDIX



## LOS DEFINITIONS

## LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS ${ }^{(1)}$

Level of service for signalized intersections is defined in terms of delay, which is a measure of driver discomfort and frustration, fuel consumption, and lost travel time. Specifically, level-of-service (LOS) criteria are stated in terms of the average control delay per vehicle, typically for a $15-\mathrm{min}$ analysis period. The criteria are given in the table below. Delay may be measured in the field or estimated using software such as Highway Capacity Software. Delay is a complex measure and is dependent upon a number of variables, including quality of progression, the cycle length, the green ratio, and the $v / c$ ratio for the lane group in question.

| Level of Service | Features | Control Delay per vehicle (sec) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | LOS A describes operations with very low delay, up to 10 sec per vehicle. This level of service occurs when progression is extremely favourable and most vehicles arrive during the green phase. Most vehicles do not stop at all. Short cycle lengths may also contribute to low delay. | $\leq 10$ |
| B | LOS B describes operations with delay greater than 10 and up to 20 sec per vehicle. This level generally occurs with good progression, short cycle lengths, or both. More vehicles stop than with LOS A, causing higher levels of average delay. | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ |
| C | LOS C describes operations with delay greater than 20 and up to 35 sec per vehicle. These higher delays may result from fair progression, longer cycle lengths, or both. Individual cycle failures may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant at this level, though many still pass through the intersection without stopping. | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ |
| D | LOS D describes operations with delay greater than 35 and up to 55 sec per vehicle. At level D , the influence of congestion becomes more noticeable. Longer delays may result from some combination of unfavourable progression, long cycle lengths, of high $v / c$ ratios. Many vehicles stop, and the proportion of vehicles not stopping declines. Individual cycle failures are noticeable. | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ |
| E | LOS E describes operations with delay greater than 55 and up to 80 sec per vehicle. This level is considered by many agencies to be the limit of acceptable delay. These high delay values generally indicate poor progression, long cycle lengths, and high $v / c$ ratios. Individual cycle failures are frequent occurrences. | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ |
| F | LOS F describes operations with delay in excess of 80 sec per vehicle. This level, considered to be unacceptable to most drivers, often occurs with oversaturation, that is, when arrival flow rates exceed the capacity of the intersection. It may also occur at high $v / c$ ratios below 1.0 with many individual cycle failures. Poor progression and long cycle lengths may also be major contributing causes to such delay levels. | > 80 |

(1) Highway Capacity Manual 2000

## LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS AT UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS ${ }^{(1)}$

The level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections are given in the table below. As used here, total delay is defined as the total elapsed time from when a vehicle stops at the end of the queue until the vehicle departs from the stop line; this time includes the time required for the vehicle to travel from the last-in-queue position to the first-in-queue position. The average total delay for any particular minor movement is a function of the service rate or capacity of the approach and the degree of saturation.

## Level of Service

A

B

C $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average traffic delays occur. Operations are generally } \\ & \text { stable, but drivers emerging from the minor street may } \\ & \text { experience difficulty in completing their movement. } \\ & \text { This may occasionally impact on the stability of flow on }\end{aligned}$
Average traffic delays occur. Operations are generally
stable, but drivers emerging from the minor street may
experience difficulty in completing their movement.
This may occasionally impact on the stability of flow on
Average traffic delays occur. Operations are generally
stable, but drivers emerging from the minor street may
experience difficulty in completing their movement.
This may occasionally impact on the stability of flow on
Average traffic delays occur. Operations are generally
stable, but drivers emerging from the minor street may
experience difficulty in completing their movement.
This may occasionally impact on the stability of flow on the major street. D $\begin{aligned} & \text { Long traffic delays occur. Motorists emerging from the } \\ & \text { minor street experience significant restriction and } \\ & \text { frustration. Drivers on the major street will experience } \\ & \text { congestion and delay as drivers emerging from the minor }\end{aligned}$ Long traffic delays occur. Motorists emerging from the
minor street experience significant restriction and
frustration. Drivers on the major street will experience
congestion and delay as drivers emerging from the minor Long traffic delays occur. Motorists emerging from the
minor street experience significant restriction and
frustration. Drivers on the major street will experience
congestion and delay as drivers emerging from the minor Long traffic delays occur. Motorists emerging from the
minor street experience significant restriction and
frustration. Drivers on the major street will experience
congestion and delay as drivers emerging from the minor street interfere with the major through movements.

E Very long traffic delays occur. Operations approach the $>35$ and $\leq 50$ capacity of the intersection.

F Saturation occurs, with vehicle demand exceeding the $>50$

Average Total Delay (sec/veh)
$\leq 10$

Little or no traffic delay occurs. Approaches appear open, turning movements are easily made, and drivers have freedom of operation.

Short traffic delays occur. Many drivers begin to feel somewhat restricted in terms of freedom of operation.

[^2]
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EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

|  | $\downarrow$ | $4$ |  | $>$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $\emptyset 7$ |  |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | 性 |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 18 | 343 | 8 | 15 | 471 |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 18 | 343 | 8 | 15 | 471 |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |
| Storage Length ( m ) | 20.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 13.0 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |
| Ped Bike Factor | 0.96 |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  |
| Fit | 0.901 |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.987 |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1419 | 0 | 3255 | 0 | 0 | 3277 |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.987 |  |  |  |  | 0.942 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1399 | 0 | 3255 | 0 | 0 | 3070 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | 19 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (kh) | 40 |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 61.9 |  | 131.7 |  |  | 114.1 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 5.6 |  | 9.5 |  |  | 8.2 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 21 | 12 |  | 140 | 140 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#hr) |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 29\% | 0\% | 8\% | 13\% | 0\% | 9\% |  |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 7 | 19 | 361 | 8 | 16 | 496 |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 26 | 0 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 512 |  |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left |  |  |
| Median Width(m) | 3.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |  |  |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 | 15 |  | 15 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 14.0 |  | 15.0 |  | 6.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.0 |  | 20.0 |  | 13.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 |  | 77.0 |  | 13.0 | 90.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 18.1\% |  | 66.4\% |  | 11.2\% | 77.6\% | 4\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 14.4 |  | 72.0 |  | 6.6 | 85.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.6 |  | 1.8 |  | 3.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -1.0 |  | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  | Lag |  | Lead |  | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | C-Max |  | Max | C-Max | None |  |
| 21 John St WSP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 11 Repor Page |


| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $\varnothing 7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walk Time (s) | 2.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 3.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 12.0 |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 0.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 33 |  | 0 |  |  | 140 | 33 |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 15.0 |  | 73.0 |  |  | 92.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.13 |  | 0.63 |  |  | 0.80 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.13 |  | 0.18 |  |  | 0.21 |  |
| Control Delay | 24.6 |  | 5.4 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 24.6 |  | 5.4 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| LOS | C |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay | 24.6 |  | 5.4 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 1.5 |  | 9.8 |  |  | 16.7 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 10.3 |  | m13.0 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Internal Link Dist ( $m$ ) | 37.9 |  | 107.7 |  |  | 90.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 202 |  | 2049 |  |  | 2476 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.13 |  | 0.18 |  |  | 0.21 |  |


| Intersection Summary |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area Type: $\quad$ Other |

## Area Type:

Actuated Cycle Length: 116
Offset: $104(90 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.21
5.1

Intersection LOS: A
Analysis Period (min) 15
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个 ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |  | \% | 个 $\uparrow$ |  |  | * $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 4 | 709 | 172 | 62 | 517 | 53 | 68 | 293 | 71 | 45 | 401 | 24 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 4 | 709 | 172 | 62 | 517 | 53 | 68 | 293 | 71 | 45 | 401 | 24 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 1\% |  |  | 2\% |  |  | 1\% |  |  | -2\% |  |
| Storage Length ( $m$ ) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 21.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 7.5 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.99 |  | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  | 0.98 |  |
| Fit |  | 0.971 |  |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.975 |  |  | 0.992 |  |
| FIt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.992 |  |  | 0.995 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 2902 | 0 | 1559 | 2989 | 0 | 0 | 3100 | 0 | 0 | 3171 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.953 |  | 0.128 |  |  |  | 0.726 |  |  | 0.856 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 2765 | 0 | 208 | 2989 | 0 | 0 | 2239 | 0 | 0 | 2713 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  | 5 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 353.9 |  |  | 79.6 |  |  | 230.4 |  |  | 131.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 25.5 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 16.6 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#hr) | 84 |  | 61 | 61 |  | 84 | 194 |  | 100 | 100 |  | 194 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 10\% | 10\% | 7\% | 10\% | 8\% | 8\% | 9\% | 8\% | 9\% | 9\% | 5\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 4 | 723 | 176 | 63 | 528 | 54 | 69 | 299 | 72 | 46 | 409 | 24 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 903 | 0 | 63 | 582 | 0 | 0 | 440 | 0 | 0 | 479 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 36.0 | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 43.0 | 43.0 |  | 13.0 | 43.0 |  | 14.0 | 41.0 |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 43.0 | 43.0 |  | 13.0 | 56.0 |  | 14.0 | 60.0 |  | 46.0 | 46.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 37.1\% | 37.1\% |  | 11.2\% | 48.3\% |  | 12.1\% | 51.7\% |  | 39.7\% | 39.7\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 36.5 | 36.5 |  | 6.7 | 49.5 |  | 6.2 | 52.9 |  | 38.9 | 38.9 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.2 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.1 | 3.2 |  | 4.5 | 3.6 |  | 3.6 | 3.6 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -1.0 |  | -1.0 | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.5 |  | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 6.1 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | None | Max |  | Max | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 84 | 84 |  |  | 61 |  |  | 194 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| Act Efft Green (s) |  | 40.2 |  | 50.7 | 50.5 |  |  | 53.9 |  |  | 39.9 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.35 |  | 0.44 | 0.44 |  |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.34 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.94 |  | 0.36 | 0.45 |  |  | 0.40 |  |  | 0.51 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 56.5 |  | 25.0 | 24.4 |  |  | 19.5 |  |  | 28.8 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 56.5 |  | 25.0 | 24.4 |  |  | 19.5 |  |  | 28.8 |  |
| LOS |  | E |  | C | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 56.5 |  |  | 24.4 |  |  | 19.5 |  |  | 28.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | ~115.4 |  | 8.7 | 50.3 |  |  | 31.4 |  |  | 47.1 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | \#160.6 |  | 17.5 | 66.3 |  |  | 43.4 |  |  | 64.5 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 329.9 |  |  | 55.6 |  |  | 206.4 |  |  | 107.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) |  |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 957 |  | 180 | 1301 |  |  | 1112 |  |  | 936 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.94 |  | 0.35 | 0.45 |  |  | 0.40 |  |  | 0.51 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 20 (17\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 36.1 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 121.3\% ICU Level of Service H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 2: Weston Rd \& Lawrence Ave W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\psi_{ø 2(R)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\rightarrow_{84}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 s |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\leftarrow_{\square 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | , | 56 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 3: John St \& Pantelis Kalamaris Ln



Two way Left Turn Lane
Headway Factor
urning Speed (kh)
25
Free Free

| 1.00 | 1.00 |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | 25 |

Sign Control

## Area Type:

Intersection Capacity Utilization 19.5\%
ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\hat{}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | \% |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fit | 0.865 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1625 | 0 | 0 | 1842 | 1785 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1625 | 0 | 0 | 1842 | 1785 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 30 |  |  | 30 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( $m$ ) | 64.9 |  |  | 20.8 | 55.0 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 7.8 |  |  | 2.5 | 4.0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 14 | 14 |  | 24 | 55 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width( $m$ ) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| Turning Speed (kh) |  | 15 | 25 |  | 25 | 15 |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 25.5\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

4: S Station St \& John St 10-06-2022

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | \% |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 30 | - | 0 | 61 | 0 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 30 | 0 | 61 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 0 | 61 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 30 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.60 | 0.00 | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 3.4 | 4.1 | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1023 | 879 | 849 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 6.5 | 7.1 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 6.5 | 0.0 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 25.5\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |



| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ 中 |  | \% |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 20 | 838 | 566 | 44 | 34 | 13 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 20 | 838 | 566 | 44 | 34 | 13 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.989 |  | 0.963 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.965 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3268 | 3177 | 0 | 1709 | 0 |
| FIt Permitted |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.965 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3268 | 3177 | 0 | 1709 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 79.6 | 319.8 |  | 54.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.7 | 23.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 10 |  |  | 10 | 55 | 24 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#hr) |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 15\% | 9\% | 12\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 921 | 622 | 48 | 37 | 14 |

Adj. Flow (vph)
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Shared Lane Traffic (\%) } \\ \text { ane Group Flow (vph) } & 0 & 943 & 670 & 0 & 51\end{array}$
Enter Blocked Intersection No No No No No No
Lane Alignment Left Left Left Right Left Right
Median Width(m)
ink Offset(m)
Crosswalk Width(m)
Two way Left Turn Lan
Headway Factor
Turning Speed (kn)
Sign Control
tersection

## Area Type: Othe <br> Area Type: Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.0\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

ICU Level of Service A

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 个 $\uparrow$ |  | \% |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 20 | 838 | 566 | 44 | 34 | 13 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 20 | 838 | 566 | 44 | 34 | 13 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 22 | 921 | 622 | 48 | 37 | 14 |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 24 | 55 |  | 10 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  | 2 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( m ) |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  | 0.73 |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 680 |  |  |  | 1216 | 369 |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 680 |  |  |  | 569 | 369 |  |
| tC, single (s) | 4.4 |  |  |  | 6.8 | 7.1 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.4 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.4 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 97 |  |  |  | 88 | 98 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 820 |  |  |  | 310 | 594 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 329 | 614 | 415 | 255 | 51 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 14 |  |  |
| CSH | 820 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 357 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.03 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.14 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( $m$ ) | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  |  | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 16.8 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 53.0\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 9 | 10 | 60 | 34 | 5 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 9 | 10 | 60 | 34 | 5 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Fit | 0.919 |  |  |  | 0.984 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.980 |  |  | 0.993 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1552 | 0 | 0 | 1759 | 1817 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.980 |  |  | 0.993 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1552 | 0 | 0 | 1759 | 1817 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 30 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) | 41.2 |  |  | 87.2 | 20.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.9 |  |  | 6.3 | 1.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 15\% | 30\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 12 | 13 | 77 | 44 | 6 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 20 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 50 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width( $m$ ) | 3.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |

Two way Left Turn Lane
Headway Factor
Turning Speed (k/h) $\begin{array}{lll}1.01 & 1.01 & 1.01 \\ 25 & 15 & \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}25 & 15 & 25\end{array}$ $\qquad$ 15
Sign Control Stop Free Free
Intersection
Area Type:
ol
Intersection Capacity Utilization 20.4\%
ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | 4 |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | , | 21 | 38 | 34 | 16 | 4 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 21 | 38 | 34 | 16 | 4 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.882 |  |  |  | 0.975 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.994 |  |  | 0.974 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1565 | 0 | 0 | 1740 | 1803 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.994 |  |  | 0.974 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1565 | 0 | 0 | 1740 | 1803 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 30 |  |  | 40 | 40 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 33.2 |  |  | 20.6 | 55.0 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.0 |  |  | 1.9 | 5.0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 6\% | 8\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 5 | 34 | 61 | 55 | 26 | 6 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 39 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 32 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width( $m$ ) | 3.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 | 15 | 25 |  |  | 15 |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 20.6\% ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $\varnothing 7$ |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | 个t |  |  | * $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 15 | 39 | 460 | 22 | 39 | 507 |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 15 | 39 | 460 | 22 | 39 | 507 |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |
| Storage Length ( m ) | 20.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 13.0 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |
| Ped Bike Factor | 0.86 |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  |
| Frt | 0.902 |  | 0.993 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.987 |  |  |  |  | 0.996 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1434 | 0 | 3333 | 0 | 0 | 3398 |  |  |
| FIt Permitted | 0.987 |  |  |  |  | 0.890 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1354 | 0 | 3333 | 0 | 0 | 2998 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | 40 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 30 |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 61.9 |  | 131.7 |  |  | 114.1 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 7.4 |  | 9.5 |  |  | 8.2 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 82 | 47 |  | 134 | 134 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% |  |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 15 | 40 | 474 | 23 | 40 | 523 |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 55 | 0 | 497 | 0 | 0 | 563 |  |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left |  |  |
| Median Width(m) | 3.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |  |  |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 | 15 |  | 15 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 14.0 |  | 15.0 |  | 6.0 | 15.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.0 |  | 20.0 |  | 13.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 |  | 77.0 |  | 13.0 | 90.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 18.1\% |  | 66.4\% |  | 11.2\% | 77.6\% | 4\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 14.4 |  | 72.0 |  | 6.6 | 85.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.6 |  | 1.8 |  | 3.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -1.0 |  | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  | Lag |  | Lead |  | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | C-Max |  | Max | C-Max | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 2.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 3.0 |  |
| 21 John St <br> WSP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 11 Report Page 1 |



| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recall Mode |  | Max |  | None | Max |  | Max | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 8.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 28.0 |  |  | 24.0 |  |  | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#hr) |  | 85 |  |  | 88 |  |  | 190 |  | 123 | 123 |  |
| Act Effict Green (s) |  | 37.6 |  | 50.7 | 50.5 |  |  | 53.9 |  |  | 39.9 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.32 |  | 0.44 | 0.44 |  |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.34 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.93 |  | 0.56 | 0.52 |  |  | 0.61 |  |  | 0.60 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 55.0 |  | 32.8 | 25.6 |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 30.4 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 55.0 |  | 32.8 | 25.6 |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 30.4 |  |
| LOS |  | E |  | C | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 55.0 |  |  | 26.5 |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 30.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 112.2 |  | 13.2 | 63.0 |  |  | 50.1 |  |  | 54.3 |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( $m$ ) |  | \#154.1 |  | 24.2 | 81.3 |  |  | 65.6 |  |  | 73.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 224.8 |  |  | 55.6 |  |  | 110.7 |  |  | 107.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) |  |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 988 |  | 169 | 1346 |  |  | 1054 |  |  | 877 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.93 |  | 0.56 | 0.52 |  |  | 0.61 |  |  | 0.60 |  |

Intersection ..... Other

Cycle Length: 116
Offset: 22 ( $19 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 115
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Intersection Signal Delay: 35.7 } & \text { Intersection LOS: D } \\ \text { Intersection Capacity Utilization 109.2\% } & \text { ICU Level of Service H } \\ \text { Analysis Period (min) } 15 & \end{array}$
Analysis Period (min) 15 位
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 2: Weston Rd \& Lawrence Ave W


## 3: John St \& Pantelis Kalamaris Ln

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ |  | \% |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 17 | 43 | 42 | 33 | 10 | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 17 | 43 | 42 | 33 | 10 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fit |  |  | 0.940 |  | 0.932 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.976 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1814 | 1786 | 0 | 1728 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.976 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1814 | 1786 | 0 | 1728 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 30 | 30 |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 61.9 | 64.9 |  | 38.5 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.4 | 7.8 |  | 4.6 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#hr) | 101 |  |  | 101 | 28 | 26 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 54 | 53 | 42 | 13 | 13 |

Adj. Flow (vph)
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Shared Lane Traffic (\%) } \\ \text { ane Group Flow (vph) } & 0 & 76 & 95 & 0 & 26\end{array}$
Enter Blocked Intersection No No No No No No
Lane Alignment Left Left Left Right Left Right
Median Width(m)
ink Offset(m)
Crosswalk Width(m)
Two way Left Turn Lan
Headway Factor
urning Speed (k
Sign Control
ntersection Summary

## Area Type: O

Control Type: Unsignaized
Analysis Period (min) 15

ICU Level of Service A

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\hat{\uparrow}$ | $\hat{t}$ |  | \% |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 17 | 43 | 42 | 33 | 10 | 10 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 17 | 43 | 42 | 33 | 10 | 10 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 22 | 54 | 53 | 42 | 13 | 13 |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 26 | 28 |  | 101 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  | 3.5 | 3.6 |  | 3.6 |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  | 2 | 2 |  | 8 |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( m ) |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 196 |  |  |  | 301 | 201 |  |
| $\mathrm{VC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 196 |  |  |  | 301 | 201 |  |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 98 |  |  |  | 98 | 98 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1272 |  |  |  | 611 | 758 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 76 | 95 | 26 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 22 | 0 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 42 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1272 | 1700 | 676 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 2.4 | 0.0 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 2.4 | 0.0 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 28.9\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | Y |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 49 | 7 | 4 | 74 | 2 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 49 | 7 | 4 | 74 | 2 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.884 |  |  |  | 0.996 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.969 | 0.954 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1619 | 0 | 0 | 1821 | 1785 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.969 | 0.954 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1619 | 0 | 0 | 1821 | 1785 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 30 |  |  | 30 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) | 64.9 |  |  | 20.8 | 55.0 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 7.8 |  |  | 2.5 | 4.0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 16 | 16 |  | 37 | 77 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 12 | 74 | 11 | 6 | 112 | 3 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 86 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 115 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| Turning Speed (kh) |  | 15 | 25 |  | 25 | 15 |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 27.6\% ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Headway Factor
urning Speed (k/h)
25
sign Control
intersection Summary Area Type:

O
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.4\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 个t |  | \% |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 37 | 890 | 708 | 81 | 38 | 42 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 37 | 890 | 708 | 81 | 38 | 42 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 39 | 927 | 738 | 84 | 40 | 44 |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 37 | 77 |  | 35 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  | 3 | 6 |  | 3 |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( m ) |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  | 0.72 |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 857 |  |  |  | 1434 | 483 |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 857 |  |  |  | 839 | 483 |  |
| tC , single (s) | 4.2 |  |  |  | 6.8 | 6.9 |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 95 |  |  |  | 79 | 91 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 751 |  |  |  | 193 | 504 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB2 | SB 1 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 348 | 618 | 492 | 330 | 84 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 44 |  |  |
| CSH | 751 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 285 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 0.29 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( $m$ ) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.6 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.8 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  |  | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.6 |  | 0.0 |  | 22.8 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 69.4\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | C |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
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## 6: S Station St \& S Site Access



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 12 | 52 | 75 | 58 | 41 | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 12 | 52 | 75 | 58 | 41 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.890 |  |  |  | 0.973 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.991 |  |  | 0.973 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1631 | 0 | 0 | 1812 | 1799 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.991 |  |  | 0.973 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1631 | 0 | 0 | 1812 | 1799 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 30 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) | 33.2 |  |  | 20.6 | 55.0 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.0 |  |  | 1.5 | 4.0 |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 13 | 57 | 82 | 64 | 45 | 11 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 70 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 56 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width( $m$ ) | 3.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 | 15 | 25 |  |  | 15 |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 24.4\% Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

7: S Station St \& Middle Site Access 10-06-2022


## APPENDIX



FUTURE BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

|  | St |  |  |  |  |  |  | 03-29-2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\dagger$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $\varnothing 7$ |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | 个施 |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 18 | 362 | 8 | 15 | 514 |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 18 | 362 | 8 | 15 | 514 |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |
| Storage Length ( $m$ ) | 20.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Taper Length ( $m$ ) | 13.0 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |
| Ped Bike Factor | 0.96 |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  |
| Frt | 0.901 |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.987 |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1419 | 0 | 3257 | 0 | 0 | 3280 |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.987 |  |  |  |  | 0.943 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1399 | 0 | 3257 | 0 | 0 | 3076 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | 19 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (kh) | 40 |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) | 61.9 |  | 131.7 |  |  | 114.1 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 5.6 |  | 9.5 |  |  | 8.2 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#hr) | 21 | 12 |  | 140 | 140 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#hr) |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 29\% | 0\% | 8\% | 13\% | 0\% | 9\% |  |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 7 | 19 | 381 | 8 | 16 | 541 |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 26 | 0 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 557 |  |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left |  |  |
| Median Width( $m$ ) | 3.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |  |  |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 | 15 |  | 15 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 14.0 |  | 15.0 |  | 6.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.0 |  | 20.0 |  | 13.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 |  | 77.0 |  | 13.0 | 90.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 18.1\% |  | 66.4\% |  | 11.2\% | 77.6\% | 4\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 14.4 |  | 72.0 |  | 6.6 | 85.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.6 |  | 1.8 |  | 3.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -1.0 |  | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| 21 John St WSP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 11 Report Page 1 |


|  | $\downarrow$ |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $\varnothing 7$ |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  | Lag |  | Lead |  | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None |  | C-Max |  | Max | C-Max | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 2.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 3.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 12.0 |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 0.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 33 |  | 0 |  |  | 140 | 33 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 15.0 |  | 73.0 |  |  | 92.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.13 |  | 0.63 |  |  | 0.80 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.13 |  | 0.19 |  |  | 0.22 |  |
| Control Delay | 24.6 |  | 5.3 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 24.6 |  | 5.3 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| LOS | C |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay | 24.6 |  | 5.3 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 1.5 |  | 10.1 |  |  | 18.5 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 10.3 |  | m13.3 |  |  | 24.3 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 37.9 |  | 107.7 |  |  | 90.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( $m$ ) | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 202 |  | 2050 |  |  | 2480 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.13 |  | 0.19 |  |  | 0.22 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 104 (90\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 5.1 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 44.7\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $m$ Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


## 21 John S

WSP

|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个 $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ 个 |  |  | * ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ¢f |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 4 | 766 | 185 | 74 | 533 | 53 | 95 | 311 | 78 | 60 | 419 | 34 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 4 | 766 | 185 | 74 | 533 | 53 | 95 | 311 | 78 | 60 | 419 | 34 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 1\% |  |  | 2\% |  |  | 1\% |  |  | -2\% |  |
| Storage Length ( $m$ ) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 21.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.99 |  | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  | 0.98 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.971 |  |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.976 |  |  | 0.990 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.994 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 2902 | 0 | 1559 | 2989 | 0 | 0 | 3099 | 0 | 0 | 3152 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.953 |  | 0.099 |  |  |  | 0.635 |  |  | 0.817 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 2766 | 0 | 161 | 2989 | 0 | 0 | 1958 | 0 | 0 | 2575 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  | 7 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 353.9 |  |  | 79.6 |  |  | 230.4 |  |  | 131.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 25.5 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 16.6 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 84 |  | 61 | 61 |  | 84 | 194 |  | 100 | 100 |  | 194 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 10\% | 10\% | 7\% | 10\% | 8\% | 8\% | 9\% | 8\% | 9\% | 9\% | 5\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#hr) | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 4 | 782 | 189 | 76 | 544 | 54 | 97 | 317 | 80 | 61 | 428 | 35 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 975 | 0 | 76 | 598 | 0 | 0 | 494 | 0 | 0 | 524 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 36.0 | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 43.0 | 43.0 |  | 13.0 | 43.0 |  | 14.0 | 41.0 |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 43.0 | 43.0 |  | 13.0 | 56.0 |  | 14.0 | 60.0 |  | 46.0 | 46.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 37.1\% | 37.1\% |  | 11.2\% | 48.3\% |  | 12.1\% | 51.7\% |  | 39.7\% | 39.7\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 36.5 | 36.5 |  | 6.7 | 49.5 |  | 6.2 | 52.9 |  | 38.9 | 38.9 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.2 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.1 | 3.2 |  | 4.5 | 3.6 |  | 3.6 | 3.6 |  |
| 21 John St WSP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 11 Report Page 3 |  |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -1.0 |  | -1.0 | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.5 |  | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 6.1 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | None | Max |  | Max | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 84 | 84 |  |  | 61 |  |  | 194 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| Act Efftt Green (s) |  | 40.1 |  | 50.7 | 50.5 |  |  | 53.9 |  |  | 39.9 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.35 |  | 0.44 | 0.44 |  |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.34 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  | 1.02 |  | 0.47 | 0.46 |  |  | 0.49 |  |  | 0.59 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 72.9 |  | 29.2 | 24.6 |  |  | 20.9 |  |  | 30.5 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 72.9 |  | 29.2 | 24.6 |  |  | 20.9 |  |  | 30.5 |  |
| LOS |  | E |  | C | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 72.9 |  |  | 25.1 |  |  | 20.9 |  |  | 30.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | ~138.3 |  | 10.5 | 52.0 |  |  | 36.3 |  |  | 53.3 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | \#180.3 |  | 20.3 | 68.4 |  |  | 49.3 |  |  | 72.4 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 329.9 |  |  | 55.6 |  |  | 206.4 |  |  | 107.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) |  |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 956 |  | 163 | 1301 |  |  | 1001 |  |  | 890 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 1.02 |  | 0.47 | 0.46 |  |  | 0.49 |  |  | 0.59 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 11
Offset: 20 ( $17 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Gree
Natural Cycle: 115
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.02
Intersection Signal Delay: $42.8 \quad$ Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 131.2\% - ICU Level of Service H
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Splits and Phases: } & \text { 2: Weston Rd \& Lawrence Ave W }\end{array}$


| Lanes, Volumes, Timings |  |  |  |  |  |  | Future Background AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3: John St \& Pante | Kala | maris |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | \% |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 2 | 19 | 26 | 13 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2 | 19 | 26 | 13 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.956 |  | 0.932 |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.976 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1869 | 1816 | 0 | 1728 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.976 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1869 | 1816 | 0 | 1728 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed (kh) |  | 30 | 30 |  | 30 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 61.9 | 64.9 |  | 38.5 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.4 | 7.8 |  | 4.6 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 11 |  |  | 11 | 13 | 11 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 3 | 25 | 35 | 17 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 28 | 52 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 3.6 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  |  | 15 | 25 | 15 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 19.5\% ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

21 John St
WSP


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: S Station St \& John St


Synchro 11 Repor

| Lanes, Volumes, Timings <br> 5: Lawrence Ave W \& S Station St |  |  |  |  |  |  | Future Background AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ |  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ | 个家 |  | \% |  |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 20 | 923 | 594 | 44 | 34 | 13 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 20 | 923 | 594 | 44 | 34 | 13 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.990 |  | 0.963 |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.965 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3268 | 3179 | 0 | 1709 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.965 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3268 | 3179 | 0 | 1709 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed (kh) |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 79.6 | 319.8 |  | 54.9 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.7 | 23.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 10 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#hr) |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 15\% | 9\% | 12\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 1014 | 653 | 48 | 37 | 14 |  |
| Shared Lane Trafic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1036 | 701 | 0 | 51 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |  |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 |  |  | 15 | 25 | 15 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.8\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

21 John St
Synchro 11 Report
WSP

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 9 | 10 | 60 | 34 | 5 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 9 | 10 | 60 | 34 | 5 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Fit | 0.919 |  |  |  | 0.984 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.980 |  |  | 0.993 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1552 | 0 | 0 | 1759 | 1817 | 0 |
| FIt Permitted | 0.980 |  |  | 0.993 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1552 | 0 | 0 | 1759 | 1817 | 0 |
| Link Speed (kh) | 30 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 41.2 |  |  | 87.2 | 20.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.9 |  |  | 6.3 | 1.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 15\% | 30\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (yph) | 8 | 12 | 13 | 77 | 44 | 6 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 20 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 50 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width( m ) | 3.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 | 15 | 25 |  |  | 15 |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |
| $\frac{\text { Intersection Summary }}{\text { Area }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ICULevel of Service A |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 20.4\% <br> Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{F}$ |  |  |
| Trafic Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 9 | 10 | 60 | 34 | 5 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 6 | 9 | 10 | 60 | 34 | 5 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 8 | 12 | 13 | 77 | 44 | 6 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  | None | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 150 | 47 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 150 | 47 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.4 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.4 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 | 99 | 99 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 839 | 986 | 1395 |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 20 | 90 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 8 | 13 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 12 | 0 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 922 | 1395 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 20.4\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


| Lanes, Volumes, Timings <br> 7: S Station St \& Middle Site Access |  |  |  |  |  |  | Future Background AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  | 4 | 4 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{F}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 21 | 38 | 34 | 16 | 4 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 21 | 38 | 34 | 16 | 4 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 0.882 |  |  |  | 0.975 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.994 |  |  | 0.974 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1565 | 0 | 0 | 1740 | 1803 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.994 |  |  | 0.974 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1565 | 0 | 0 | 1740 | 1803 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed (kh) | 30 |  |  | 40 | 40 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) | 33.2 |  |  | 20.6 | 55.0 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.0 |  |  | 1.9 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 6\% | 8\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 5 | 34 | 61 | 55 | 26 | 6 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 39 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 32 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width( m ) | 3.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |  |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 | 15 | 25 |  |  | 15 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: ${ }^{\text {Control Type: Unsignalized }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Intersection Capacity Utilization 20.6\% } \\ \text { Analysis Period (min) } 15 & \text { ICU Level of Service A }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

21 John St
WSP


## 21 John S

WSP

Synchro 11 Report

|  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $\varnothing 7$ |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\uparrow_{\text {* }}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 15 | 39 | 462 | 22 | 39 | 566 |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 15 | 39 | 462 | 22 | 39 | 566 |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |
| Storage Length ( $m$ ) | 20.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 13.0 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |
| Ped Bike Factor | 0.86 |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  |
| Fit | 0.902 |  | 0.993 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.987 |  |  |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1434 | 0 | 3334 | 0 | 0 | 3400 |  |  |
| FIt Permitted | 0.987 |  |  |  |  | 0.895 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1354 | 0 | 3334 | 0 | 0 | 3017 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | 40 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (kh) | 30 |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) | 61.9 |  | 131.7 |  |  | 114.1 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 7.4 |  | 9.5 |  |  | 8.2 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 82 | 47 |  | 134 | 134 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% |  |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 15 | 40 | 476 | 23 | 40 | 584 |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 55 | 0 | 499 | 0 | 0 | 624 |  |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left |  |  |
| Median Width(m) | 3.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |  |  |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 | 15 |  | 15 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 14.0 |  | 15.0 |  | 6.0 | 15.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.0 |  | 20.0 |  | 13.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 |  | 77.0 |  | 13.0 | 90.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 18.1\% |  | 66.4\% |  | 11.2\% | 77.6\% | 4\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 14.4 |  | 72.0 |  | 6.6 | 85.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.6 |  | 1.8 |  | 3.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -1.0 |  | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  | Lag |  | Lead |  | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | C-Max |  | Max | C-Max | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 2.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 3.0 |  |
| 21 John St WSP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 11 Report Page 1 |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个t |  | * | 个t |  |  | ${ }_{4}+$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 790 | 139 | 109 | 621 | 80 | 136 | 413 | 102 | 84 | 412 | 65 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 790 | 139 | 109 | 621 | 80 | 136 | 413 | 102 | 84 | 412 | 65 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 1\% |  |  | 2\% |  |  | 1\% |  |  | -2\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 21.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.99 |  | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  | 0.96 |  |  | 0.97 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.978 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.977 |  |  | 0.983 |  |
| FIt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3047 | 0 | 1604 | 3096 | 0 | 0 | 3235 | 0 | 0 | 3247 | 0 |
| FIt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.093 |  |  |  | 0.595 |  |  | 0.721 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3047 | 0 | 155 | 3096 | 0 | 0 | 1917 | 0 | 0 | 2340 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  | 13 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 248.8 |  |  | 79.6 |  |  | 134.7 |  |  | 131.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 17.9 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 9.7 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 85 |  | 88 | 88 |  | 85 | 190 |  | 123 | 123 |  | 190 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 6\% | 2\% | 4\% | 6\% | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 2\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 832 | 146 | 115 | 654 | 84 | 143 | 435 | 107 | 88 | 434 | 68 |
| Shared Lane Trafic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 978 | 0 | 115 | 738 | 0 | 0 | 685 | 0 | 0 | 590 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  | 43.0 |  | 13.0 | 43.0 |  | 13.8 | 41.0 |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) |  | 43.0 |  | 13.0 | 56.0 |  | 14.0 | 60.0 |  | 46.0 | 46.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  | 37.1\% |  | 11.2\% | 48.3\% |  | 12.1\% | 51.7\% |  | 39.7\% | 39.7\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) |  | 36.5 |  | 6.7 | 49.5 |  | 6.2 | 52.9 |  | 38.9 | 38.9 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 3.3 |  | 3.2 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 3.2 |  | 3.1 | 3.2 |  | 4.5 | 3.6 |  | 3.6 | 3.6 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -1.0 |  | -1.0 | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.5 |  | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 6.1 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| 21 John St WSP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | chro 11 | Report Page 3 |



| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | \% |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 17 | 43 | 42 | 33 | 10 | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 17 | 43 | 42 | 33 | 10 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.940 |  | 0.932 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.976 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1814 | 1786 | 0 | 1728 | 0 |
| FIt Permitted |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.976 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1814 | 1786 | 0 | 1728 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 30 | 30 |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance ( $m$ ) |  | 61.9 | 64.9 |  | 38.5 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.4 | 7.8 |  | 4.6 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 101 |  |  | 101 | 28 | 26 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/rr) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 54 | 53 | 42 | 13 | 13 |

Adj. Flow (vph)
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Shared Lane Traffic (\%) } \\ \text { ane Group Flow (vph) } & 0 & 76 & 95 & 0\end{array}$
Enter Blocked Intersection No No No No No No
ane Alignment Left Left Left Right Left Right
Median Width(m)
ink Offset(m)
Crosswalk Width(m)
Two way Left Turn Lan
Headway Factor
urning Speed (k
Sign Control
tersection

## Area Type:

Intersection Capacity Utilization $28.9 \%$
Analysis Period (min) 15

ICU Level of Service A

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  | \% |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 17 | 43 | 42 | 33 | 10 | 10 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 17 | 43 | 42 | 33 | 10 | 10 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 22 | 54 | 53 | 42 | 13 | 13 |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 26 | 28 |  | 101 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  | 3.5 | 3.6 |  | 3.6 |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  | 2 | 2 |  | 8 |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( $m$ ) |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 196 |  |  |  | 301 | 201 |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 196 |  |  |  | 301 | 201 |  |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 98 |  |  |  | 98 | 98 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1272 |  |  |  | 611 | 758 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 76 | 95 | 26 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 22 | 0 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 42 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1272 | 1700 | 676 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 2.4 | 0.0 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 2.4 | 0.0 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 28.9\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |



|  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | 4 | $p$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | A |  |  | $\uparrow$ | * |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 49 | 7 | 4 | 74 | 2 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 49 | 7 | 4 | 74 | 2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 12 | 74 | 11 | 6 | 112 | 3 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 86 | 17 | 115 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 11 | 112 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 74 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.47 | 0.13 | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 3.7 | 4.4 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 937 | 795 | 813 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.1 | 7.5 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.1 | 7.5 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity UtilizationAnalysis Period (min) |  |  | 27.6\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
|  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ^¢ | 中 |  | M |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 37 | 971 | 766 | 81 | 38 | 42 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 37 | 971 | 766 | 81 | 38 | 42 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ft |  |  | 0.986 |  | 0.929 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.977 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3365 | 3333 | 0 | 1705 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.977 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3365 | 3333 | 0 | 1705 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 79.6 | 160.0 |  | 54.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.7 | 11.5 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 35 |  |  | 35 | 77 | 37 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 6\% | 6\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 39 | 1011 | 798 | 84 | 40 | 44 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1050 | 882 | 0 | 84 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  |

Two way Left Turn Lane
Headway Factor
Turning Speed (kh)
Sign Control
25
intersection Summary Area Type:
Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 个 $\uparrow$ |  | \% |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 37 | 971 | 766 | 81 | 38 | 42 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 37 | 971 | 766 | 81 | 38 | 42 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 39 | 1011 | 798 | 84 | 40 | 44 |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 37 | 77 |  | 35 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  | 3 | 6 |  | 3 |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( $m$ ) |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  | 0.70 |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 917 |  |  |  | 1536 | 513 |  |
| VC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 917 |  |  |  | 906 | 513 |  |
| tC, single (s) | 4.2 |  |  |  | 6.8 | 6.9 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 95 |  |  |  | 76 | 91 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 713 |  |  |  | 168 | 482 |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 376 | 674 | 532 | 350 | 84 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 44 |  |  |
| cSH | 713 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 255 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.33 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.8 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  |  | D |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.6 |  | 0.0 |  | 25.8 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 71.8\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | C |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  |  | $\hat{\wedge}$ | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 3 | 7 | 121 | 85 | 4 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 3 | 7 | 121 | 85 | 4 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Fit | 0.892 |  |  |  | 0.994 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.990 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1659 | 0 | 0 | 1838 | 1833 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.990 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1659 | 0 | 0 | 1838 | 1833 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 30 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 41.2 |  |  | 87.2 | 20.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.9 |  |  | 6.3 | 1.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 4 | 9 | 161 | 113 | 5 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 5 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 118 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width( m ) | 3.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |

Link Offset(m)
Crosswalk Width(m)
Two way Left Turn Lane
Headway Factor
furning Speed (k/h) $\begin{array}{lll}1.01 & 1.01 & 1.01 \\ 25 & 15 & 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lrr}1.01 & 1.01 \\ & 15\end{array}$
Sign Control Stop Free Free
Intersection Summary Area Type:
Control Typa: Unignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 22.1\%
ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{1}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 12 | 52 | 75 | 58 | 41 | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 12 | 52 | 75 | 58 | 41 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fit | 0.890 |  |  |  | 0.973 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.991 |  |  | 0.973 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1631 | 0 | 0 | 1812 | 1799 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.991 |  |  | 0.973 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1631 | 0 | 0 | 1812 | 1799 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 30 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 33.2 |  |  | 20.6 | 55.0 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.0 |  |  | 1.5 | 4.0 |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 13 | 57 | 82 | 64 | 45 | 11 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 70 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 56 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width( m ) | 3.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |

Crosswalk Width(m)

| Headway Factor | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 | 15 | 25 |  |  | 15 |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 24.4\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |

Intersection Capacity Utilization 24.4\%
ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 |  | P |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个t |  | \％ | 个郎 |  |  | ＊${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ＊$\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 4 | 766 | 185 | 74 | 533 | 53 | 95 | 311 | 78 | 60 | 419 | 34 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 4 | 766 | 185 | 74 | 533 | 53 | 95 | 311 | 78 | 60 | 419 | 34 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 1\％ |  |  | 2\％ |  |  | 1\％ |  |  | －2\％ |  |
| Storage Length（ $m$ ） | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 21.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Taper Length（m） | 7.5 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.99 |  | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  | 0.98 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.971 |  |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.976 |  |  | 0.990 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.994 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 2902 | 0 | 1559 | 2989 | 0 | 0 | 3099 | 0 | 0 | 3152 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.953 |  | 0.130 |  |  |  | 0.609 |  |  | 0.813 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 2766 | 0 | 211 | 2989 | 0 | 0 | 1878 | 0 | 0 | 2563 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Ye |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（ m ） |  | 353.9 |  |  | 79.6 |  |  | 230.4 |  |  | 131.7 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 25.5 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 16.6 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 84 |  | 61 | 61 |  | 84 | 194 |  | 100 | 100 |  | 194 |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 10\％ | 10\％ | 7\％ | 10\％ | 8\％ | 8\％ | 9\％ | 8\％ | 9\％ | 9\％ | 5\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃hr） | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | ， | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 4 | 782 | 189 | 76 | 544 | 54 | 97 | 317 | 80 | 61 | 428 | 35 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 975 | 0 | 76 | 598 | 0 | 0 | 494 | 0 | 0 | 524 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ m ） |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset（m） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（m） |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（k／h） | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 36.0 | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 43.0 | 43.0 |  | 13.0 | 43.0 |  | 14.0 | 41.0 |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 48.0 | 48.0 |  | 13.0 | 61.0 |  | 14.0 | 55.0 |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 41．4\％ | 41．4\％ |  | 11．2\％ | 52．6\％ |  | 12．1\％ | 47．4\％ |  | 35．3\％ | 35．3\％ |  |
| Maximum Green（s） | 41.5 | 41.5 |  | 6.7 | 54.5 |  | 6.2 | 47.9 |  | 33.9 | 33.9 |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.2 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.1 | 3.2 |  | 4.5 | 3.6 |  | 3.6 | 3.6 |  |

21 John S

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  | －1．0 |  | －1．0 | －1．0 |  |  | －1．0 |  |  | －1．0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  | 5.5 |  | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 6.1 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | None | Max |  | Max | C－Max |  | C－Max | C－Max |  |
| Walk Time（s） | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk（s） | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls（\＃／hr） | 84 | 84 |  |  | 61 |  |  | 194 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| Act Efft Green（s） |  | 45.1 |  | 55.7 | 55.5 |  |  | 48.9 |  |  | 34.9 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.39 |  | 0.48 | 0.48 |  |  | 0.42 |  |  | 0.30 |  |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.91 |  | 0.40 | 0.42 |  |  | 0.56 |  |  | 0.68 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 47.4 |  | 23.1 | 20.9 |  |  | 25.2 |  |  | 36.7 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 47.4 |  | 23.1 | 20.9 |  |  | 25.2 |  |  | 36.7 |  |
| LOS |  | D |  | C | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 47.4 |  |  | 21.1 |  |  | 25.2 |  |  | 36.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） |  | 118.8 |  | 9.6 | 47.6 |  |  | 39.7 |  |  | 57.3 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） |  | \＃164．6 |  | 18.6 | 62.6 |  |  | 54.0 |  |  | 77.7 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 329.9 |  |  | 55.6 |  |  | 206.4 |  |  | 107.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） |  |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） |  | 1075 |  | 190 | 1430 |  |  | 888 |  |  | 775 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio |  | 0.91 |  | 0.40 | 0.42 |  |  | 0.56 |  |  | 0.68 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：$\quad$ OtherCycle Length： $116 \quad$ | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 11
Offset： $20(17 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBTL and 6：SBTL，Start of Gree
Natural Cycle： 115
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.91
Intersection Signal Delay： 34.5
Intersection Capacity Utilization $131.2 \% \quad$ Intersection LOS：C
Analysis Period（min） 15
\＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer．
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．


| 2: Weston Rd \& Lawrence Ave W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 03-29-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 性 |  | \% | $\uparrow$ 个 |  |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 790 | 139 | 109 | 621 | 80 | 136 | 413 | 102 | 84 | 412 | 65 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 790 | 139 | 109 | 621 | 80 | 136 | 413 | 102 | 84 | 412 | 65 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 1\% |  |  | 2\% |  |  | 1\% |  |  | -2\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 21.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length ( $m$ ) | 7.5 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.99 |  | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  | 0.96 |  |  | 0.97 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.978 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.977 |  |  | 0.983 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3047 | 0 | 1604 | 3096 | 0 | 0 | 3235 | 0 | 0 | 3247 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.108 |  |  |  | 0.581 |  |  | 0.723 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3047 | 0 | 180 | 3096 | 0 | 0 | 1873 | 0 | 0 | 2346 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  | 13 |  |
| Link Speed (kh) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 248.8 |  |  | 79.6 |  |  | 134.7 |  |  | 131.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 17.9 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 9.7 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 85 |  | 88 | 88 |  | 85 | 190 |  | 123 | 123 |  | 190 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 6\% | 2\% | 4\% | 6\% | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 2\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 832 | 146 | 115 | 654 | 84 | 143 | 435 | 107 | 88 | 434 | 68 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 978 | 0 | 115 | 738 | 0 | 0 | 685 | 0 | 0 | 590 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  | 42.5 |  | 12.3 | 42.5 |  | 13.8 | 40.1 |  | 40.1 | 40.1 |  |
| Total Split (s) |  | 47.0 |  | 13.0 | 60.0 |  | 14.0 | 56.0 |  | 42.0 | 42.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  | 40.5\% |  | 11.2\% | 51.7\% |  | 12.1\% | 48.3\% |  | 36.2\% | 36.2\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) |  | 40.5 |  | 6.7 | 53.5 |  | 6.2 | 48.9 |  | 34.9 | 34.9 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 3.3 |  | 3.2 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 3.2 |  | 3.1 | 3.2 |  | 4.5 | 3.6 |  | 3.6 | 3.6 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -1.0 |  | -1.0 | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |


|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.5 |  | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 6.1 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode |  | Max |  | None | Max |  | Max | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 8.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 28.0 |  |  | 24.0 |  |  | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 85 |  |  | 88 |  |  | 190 |  | 123 | 123 |  |
| Act Effict Green (s) |  | 41.6 |  | 54.7 | 54.5 |  |  | 49.9 |  |  | 35.9 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.36 |  | 0.47 | 0.47 |  |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.31 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.90 |  | 0.65 | 0.51 |  |  | 0.75 |  |  | 0.80 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 47.1 |  | 35.3 | 22.9 |  |  | 31.0 |  |  | 41.1 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 47.1 |  | 35.3 | 22.9 |  |  | 31.0 |  |  | 41.1 |  |
| LOS |  | D |  | D | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 47.1 |  |  | 24.6 |  |  | 31.0 |  |  | 41.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 115.4 |  | 15.2 | 62.8 |  |  | 58.8 |  |  | 66.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( m ) |  | \#155.6 |  | \#32.3 | 80.8 |  |  | 76.5 |  |  | 90.7 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 224.8 |  |  | 55.6 |  |  | 110.7 |  |  | 107.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) |  |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1092 |  | 179 | 1454 |  |  | 911 |  |  | 735 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.90 |  | 0.64 | 0.51 |  |  | 0.75 |  |  | 0.80 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: ..... Other

Cycle Length: 116
Offset: 22 (19\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Offset: 22 (19\%), Re
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum V/c Ratio: 0.90
Intersection Signal Delay: 36.2 Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization $110.2 \%$
ICU Level of Service H
Analysis Period (min) 15
95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.


## 1 John St

WSP

## APPENDIX



## TTS DATA

Fri Aug 052022 13:21:03 GMT-0400 (Chile Standard Time)

Frequency Distribution Query Form - Trip - 2016 v1.1

Field: Primary travel mode of trip - mode_prime

Filters:
2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06_dest In 132
and
Trip purpose of destination - purp_dest In h
and
Start time of trip - start_time In 0630-0930

Table: Trip 2016
Row:
Transit excluding GO rail
Auto driver
GO rail only
Auto passenger
Walk
Total:

| Count: | Expanded: | Mode $\%$ | Non-Auto |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23 | $4.6 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| 23 | 414 | $82.6 \%$ |  |
| 1 | 8 | $1.6 \%$ |  |
| 2 | 16 | $3.2 \%$ |  |
| 3 | 40 | $8.0 \%$ |  |
| 30 | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |  |

Fri Aug 052022 13:20:28 GMT-0400 (Chile Standard Time)

Frequency Distribution Query Form - Trip - 2016 v1.1

Field: Primary travel mode of trip - mode_prime

Filters:
2006 GTA zone of origin - gta06_orig In 132133
and
Trip purpose of origin - purp_orig ln h
and
Start time of trip - start_time In 0630-0930

Table: Trip 2016
Row:
Transit excluding GO rail
Cycle
Auto driver
GO rail only
Joint GO rail and local transit
Motorcycle

| Count: | Expanded: | MODE | Non-Auto |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 | 2343 | $29.7 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ |
| 1 | 19 | $0.2 \%$ |  |
| 186 | 3982 | $50.4 \%$ |  |
| 16 | 97 | $1.2 \%$ |  |
| 1 | 11 | $0.1 \%$ |  |
| 1 | 17 | $0.2 \%$ |  |
| 1 | 21 | $0.3 \%$ |  |
| 35 | 818 | $10.4 \%$ |  |
| 4 | 85 | $1.1 \%$ |  |
| 2 | 65 | $0.8 \%$ |  |
| 15 | 439 | $5.6 \%$ |  |
| 352 | 7899 | $100.0 \%$ |  |

Fri Aug 052022 13:21:28 GMT-0400 (Chile Standard Time)

Frequency Distribution Query Form - Trip - 2016 v1.1

Field: Primary travel mode of trip - mode_prime

Filters:
2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06_dest In 132
and
Trip purpose of destination - purp_dest In h
and
Start time of trip - start_time In 1630-1930

Table: Trip 2016
Row:
Transit excluding GO rail
Cycle
Auto driver
GO rail only
Joint GO rail and local transit
Motorcycle
Auto passenger

| Count: | Expanded: | MODE | Non-Auto |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 1230 | $22.7 \%$ | $28.1 \%$ |
| 2 | 27 | $0.5 \%$ |  |
| 146 | 3313 | $61.2 \%$ |  |
| 16 | 101 | $1.9 \%$ |  |
| 1 | 4 | $0.1 \%$ |  |
| 1 | 17 | $0.3 \%$ |  |
| 23 | 555 | $10.2 \%$ |  |
| 1 | 10 | $0.2 \%$ |  |
| 5 | 158 | $2.9 \%$ |  |
| 244 | 5416 | $100.0 \%$ |  |

Fri Aug 052022 13:19:52 GMT-0400 (Chile Standard Time)

Frequency Distribution Query Form - Trip - 2016 v1.1
All dwelling unit types
Field: Primary travel mode of trip - mode_prime

Filters:
2006 GTA zone of origin - gta06_orig In 132133
and
Trip purpose of origin - purp_orig In h
and
Start time of trip - start_time In 1630-1930

Table: Trip 2016
Row:
Transit excluding GO rail
Auto driver

| Count: | Expanded: | MODE | Non-Auto |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 7 | 142 | $11.8 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ |
| 37 | 655 | $54.3 \%$ |  |
| 2 | 11 | $0.9 \%$ |  |
| 1 | 16 | $1.3 \%$ |  |
| 18 | 382 | $31.7 \%$ |  |
| 65 | 1207 | $100.1 \%$ |  |

## TTS Trip Distribution Summary

In order to inform the trip assignment stage of the analysis, informaton about the general trip distribution is required to inform the analysis. The distribution represents the proportion of trips to and away from the site in any given direction. The following pages summarizes the general trip distribution results, which were calculated using Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) 2016 trip origin and destination data. Trips were grouped under cardinal directions based on the relative angle between trip origin and destination, and appropriate adjustments were made to the calculation to conform to local geography and street grid.
The "TTS Directional Distribution Summary" on the next page presents a summary of the calculations described above, along with notes on any details specific to the analysis in this report. The table shows the total number of trips to and from the subject site categorized into general directions (North, Northeast, East etc.) and the percentage share of trips in each general direction in all directions.

The pages after show graphical illustrations of the categorizations for all Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZ) in the TTS survey area. Note that the latest survey zones were last updated in 2006.
These results are used as reference information for the trip assignment. They do not directly determine the trip assignment on the study network. The final trip assignments are completed based on a combination of local context, engineering experience, and engineering judgement, with the trip distribution information presented here to illustrate general travel behaviour.

## TTS Directional Distribution Summary: 21 John St

## Notes:

1. Directions determined based on centroid coordinates of destination/origin planning districts.
2. 'Internal' refers to local trips made within the home planning district(s), while 'External' refers to trips made to areas outside of the home planning district(s).
3. 'I' refers to local trips made within the subject TAZ that do not have a cardinal direction assigned to them. These trips are excluded from the analysis.

|  |  |  | Internal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | External |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time Period | Direction | 1 | NW | N | NE | E | SE | S | SW | W | Total | NW | N | NE | E | SE | S | SW | W | Total |
| Trips | A.M. | Inbound | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 6 | 165 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 35 | 318 |
|  |  | Outbound | 0 | 0 | 464 | 0 | 911 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 329 | 1933 | 0 | 1115 | 0 | 2474 | 0 | 910 | 0 | 1426 | 5925 |
|  | P.M. | Inbound | 0 | 0 | 171 | 0 | 729 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 129 | 1189 | 0 | 1018 | 0 | 1560 | 0 | 648 | 0 | 1001 | 4227 |
|  |  | Outbound | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 329 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 55 | 459 | 0 | 258 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 88 | 746 |
| Percentage | A.M. | Inbound | 0\% | 0\% | 19\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 9\% | 0\% | 1\% | 34\% | 0\% | 29\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 19\% | 0\% | 7\% | 66\% |
|  |  | Outbound | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 12\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 4\% | 25\% | 0\% | 14\% | 0\% | 31\% | 0\% | 12\% | 0\% | 18\% | 75\% |
|  | P.M. | Inbound | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 13\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 2\% | 22\% | 0\% | 19\% | 0\% | 29\% | 0\% | 12\% | 0\% | 18\% | 78\% |
|  |  | Outbound | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 27\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 5\% | 38\% | 0\% | 21\% | 0\% | 13\% | 0\% | 21\% | 0\% | 7\% | 62\% |



TAZ Directional Categorisation Visualisation (City of Toronto)


## APPENDIX

## FUTURE TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

| 1: Weston Rd \& Jo | St |  |  |  |  |  |  | 04-05-2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $\emptyset 7$ |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | 个t |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 30 | 38 | 362 | 15 | 23 | 514 |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 30 | 38 | 362 | 15 | 23 | 514 |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |
| Storage Length ( $m$ ) | 20.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 13.0 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |
| Ped Bike Factor | 0.95 |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  |
| Fit | 0.925 |  | 0.994 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.978 |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1389 | 0 | 3211 | 0 | 0 | 3280 |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.978 |  |  |  |  | 0.931 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1356 | 0 | 3211 | 0 | 0 | 3031 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | 40 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (kh) | 30 |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) | 61.9 |  | 131.7 |  |  | 114.1 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 7.4 |  | 9.5 |  |  | 8.2 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#hr) | 21 | 12 |  | 140 | 140 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 29\% | 0\% | 8\% | 13\% | 0\% | 9\% |  |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 32 | 40 | 381 | 16 | 24 | 541 |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 72 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 0 | 565 |  |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left |  |  |
| Median Width(m) | 3.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width( m ) | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |  |  |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 | 15 |  | 15 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 14.0 |  | 15.0 |  | 6.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.0 |  | 20.0 |  | 13.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 |  | 77.0 |  | 13.0 | 90.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 18.1\% |  | 66.4\% |  | 11.2\% | 77.6\% | 4\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 14.4 |  | 72.0 |  | 6.6 | 85.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.6 |  | 1.8 |  | 3.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -1.0 |  | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21 \text { John St } \\ & \text { WSP } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 11 Report Page 1 |


|  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $\varnothing 7$ |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  | Lag |  | Lead |  | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None |  | C-Max |  | Max | C-Max | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 2.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 3.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 12.0 |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 0.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#hr) | 33 |  | 0 |  |  | 140 | 33 |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 15.0 |  | 73.0 |  |  | 92.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.13 |  | 0.63 |  |  | 0.80 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.34 |  | 0.20 |  |  | 0.23 |  |
| Control Delay | 28.8 |  | 5.2 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 28.8 |  | 5.2 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| LOS | C |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay | 28.8 |  | 5.2 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 6.9 |  | 10.1 |  |  | 18.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 21.7 |  | m13.3 |  |  | 24.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) | 37.9 |  | 107.7 |  |  | 90.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( $m$ ) | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 214 |  | 2023 |  |  | 2450 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.34 |  | 0.20 |  |  | 0.23 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 104 (90\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 6.2 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.4\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


## 21 John S

WSP

|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个 $\uparrow$ |  | \% | 个 $\uparrow$ |  |  | ब1 |  |  | 4¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 753 | 185 | 73 | 529 | 53 | 95 | 315 | 77 | 60 | 432 | 44 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 753 | 185 | 73 | 529 | 53 | 95 | 315 | 77 | 60 | 432 | 44 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 1\% |  |  | 2\% |  |  | 1\% |  |  | -2\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 21.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.99 |  | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  | 0.98 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.971 |  |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.976 |  |  | 0.988 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.994 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 2903 | 0 | 1559 | 2989 | 0 | 0 | 3100 | 0 | 0 | 3137 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.950 |  | 0.103 |  |  |  | 0.626 |  |  | 0.820 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 2757 | 0 | 167 | 2989 | 0 | 0 | 1932 | 0 | 0 | 2573 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  | 9 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 248.8 |  |  | 79.6 |  |  | 134.7 |  |  | 131.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 17.9 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 9.7 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 84 |  | 61 | 61 |  | 84 | 194 |  | 100 | 100 |  | 194 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 10\% | 10\% | 7\% | 10\% | 8\% | 8\% | 9\% | 8\% | 9\% | 9\% | 5\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#hr) | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 7 | 768 | 189 | 74 | 540 | 54 | 97 | 321 | 79 | 61 | 441 | 45 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 964 | 0 | 74 | 594 | 0 | 0 | 497 | 0 | 0 | 547 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 36.0 | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 43.0 | 43.0 |  | 12.3 | 43.0 |  | 13.8 | 41.0 |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 43.0 | 43.0 |  | 13.0 | 56.0 |  | 14.0 | 60.0 |  | 46.0 | 46.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 37.1\% | 37.1\% |  | 11.2\% | 48.3\% |  | 12.1\% | 51.7\% |  | 39.7\% | 39.7\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 36.5 | 36.5 |  | 6.7 | 49.5 |  | 6.2 | 52.9 |  | 38.9 | 38.9 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.2 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.1 | 3.2 |  | 4.5 | 3.6 |  | 3.6 | 3.6 |  |

21 John S
2: Weston Rd \& Lawrence Ave W 04-05-2023

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -1.0 |  | -1.0 | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.5 |  | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 6.1 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |

Lotad Lost Time (s)
ead-Lag Optimize?

| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Recall Mode | 3.0 |

Recall Time (s)
Flash Dont Walk (

|  | 28.0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#\#hr) | 84 |


| Act Effict Green ( s ) |
| :--- |


|  | 84 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 40.1 |
| Actuated $g / C$ Ratio | 0.35 |


| Actuated $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.35 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ic Ratio | 101 |

ontrol Delay

Queue Delay
otal Delay
Approach Delay
Approach LOS
Queue Length 50th ( m )
Queue Length 95th ( m )
Turn Bay Link List ( m )
Base Capacity (vph)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Base Capacity (vph) } & 953 & 21.0\end{array}$
Spillback Cap Reductn
Spillback Cap Reductn
Reduced v/c Ratio
ntersection Summary
Area Type:
Actuated Cycle Length: 116
Offset: 20 ( $17 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Gree
Natural Cycle: 115
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.01
Intersection Signal Delay: 42.2
Itersection Capacity Utilization 130.4\% Intersection LOS: D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 2: Weston Rd \& Lawrence Ave W



|  |  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | SBR


|  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  | ${ }_{*}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Trafic Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 15 | 19 | 10 | 23 | 13 | 46 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 2 | 15 | 19 | 10 | 23 | 13 | 46 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.75 | 0.92 | 0.7 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 2 | 20 | 21 | 11 | 31 | 17 | 50 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 11 |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.6 |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  | 1.2 |  |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Percent Blockage |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 59 |  |  | 41 |  |  | 108 | 116 | 44 | 136 | 118 | 6 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 59 |  |  | 41 |  |  | 108 | 116 | 44 | 136 | 118 | 6 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6. |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 |  |  | 99 |  |  | 94 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 10 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1543 |  |  | 1568 |  |  | 851 | 761 | 1016 | 799 | 759 | 99 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 43 | 59 | 66 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 2 | 11 | 50 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 21 | 17 | 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1543 | 1568 | 886 | 885 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.4 | 1.4 | 9.4 | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.4 | 1.4 | 9.4 | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 23.7\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



21 John S
WSP

| Lanes，Volumes，Timings <br> 5：Lawrence Ave W \＆S Station St |  |  |  |  |  |  | Future Total AM 04－05－2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 |  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 $\uparrow$ | 个令 |  | M |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 6 | 923 | 594 | 30 | 37 | 8 |  |
| Future Volume（vph） | 6 | 923 | 594 | 30 | 37 | 8 |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.976 |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.961 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 3274 | 3181 | 0 | 1737 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.961 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 3274 | 3181 | 0 | 1737 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed（kh） |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |
| Link Distance（ m ） |  | 79.6 | 160.0 |  | 54.9 |  |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 5.7 | 11.5 |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 10 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃hr） |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 15\％ | 9\％ | 12\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 8\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 7 | 1014 | 653 | 33 | 41 | 9 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 1021 | 686 | 0 | 50 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width（m） |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| Link Offset（m） |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width（m） |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |  |
| Turning Speed（kh） | 25 |  |  | 15 | 25 | 15 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 39．7\％Analysis Period（min） 15 $\quad$ ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

21 John S
WSP

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | А $\uparrow$ | 个 A |  | M |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 6 | 923 | 594 | 30 | 37 | 8 |
| Future Volume（Veh／h） | 6 | 923 | 594 | 30 | 37 | 8 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\％ | 0\％ |  | 0\％ |  |



Right turn flare（veh）
Median type None None
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Median storage veh）} \\ \text { Upstream signal }(\mathrm{m}) & 80\end{array}$

| pX，platoon unblocked |  | 0.70 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vC，conflicting volume | 696 | 1200 | 353 |
| VCC，stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |
| VC2，tsage 2 conf vol |  | 445 | 353 |
| vCu，unblocked vol | 696 | 6.8 | 7.1 |
| TC，single（s） | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| CC，2 stage（s） | 2.4 | 89 | 99 |
| CF（s） | 99 | 379 | 621 |


| cM capacity（veh／h） | 808 |  |  | 379 | 621 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direction，Lane \＃ | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 |  |
| Volume Total | 345 | 676 | 435 | 251 | 50 |  |
| Volume Left | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 9 |  |
| cSH | 808 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 407 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.40 | 0.26 | 0.15 | 0.12 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 |  |
| Control Delay（s） | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.1 |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | 0.0 |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 0.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 15.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |

pproach LOS
Intersection Summary

| Average Delay | 0.5 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $39.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |

Analysis Period（min）

## 21 John S

WSP


|  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $\varnothing 7$ |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | C-Max |  | Max | C-Max | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 2.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 12.0 |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 129 |  | 0 |  |  | 134 | 129 |  |
| Act Effict Green (s) | 15.1 |  | 73.0 |  |  | 86.3 |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.13 |  | 0.63 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.38 |  | 0.24 |  |  | 0.29 |  |  |
| Control Delay | 31.4 |  | 4.6 |  |  | 5.1 |  |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Delay | 31.4 |  | 4.6 |  |  | 5.1 |  |  |
| LOS | C |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay | 31.4 |  | 4.6 |  |  | 5.1 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 8.9 |  | 9.9 |  |  | 21.8 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 24.7 |  | 12.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) | 37.9 |  | 107.7 |  |  | 90.1 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 214 |  | 2080 |  | 2187 |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio 0.38 0.24 0.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Dela |  |  |  |  | section | LOS: A |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Ut | 54.5\% |  |  |  | Level | f Service |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 1: Weston Rd \& John St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\psi_{\emptyset_{1}} \quad \dagger_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    <br> 13 s  77 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow \square^{\square 6(R)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 絗 |
| 90 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5s 21 s |

## 21 John S

WSP
Synchro 11 Report

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个 $\uparrow$ |  | 7 | 个施 |  |  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 781 | 139 | 105 | 598 | 80 | 136 | 422 | 102 | 84 | 424 | 75 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 781 | 139 | 105 | 598 | 80 | 136 | 422 | 102 | 84 | 424 | 75 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 1\％ |  |  | 2\％ |  |  | 1\％ |  |  | －2\％ |  |
| Storage Length（ $m$ ） | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 21.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（m） | 7.5 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.99 |  | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  | 0.97 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.977 |  |  | 0.982 |  |  | 0.977 |  |  | 0.981 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.993 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 3044 | 0 | 1604 | 3097 | 0 | 0 | 3235 | 0 | 0 | 3232 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.093 |  |  |  | 0.587 |  |  | 0.723 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 3044 | 0 | 155 | 3097 | 0 | 0 | 1893 | 0 | 0 | 2337 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  | 15 |  |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 281.8 |  |  | 79.6 |  |  | 176.4 |  |  | 131.7 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 20.3 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 12.7 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃hr） | 85 |  | 88 | 88 |  | 85 | 190 |  | 123 | 123 |  | 190 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 6\％ | 2\％ | 4\％ | 6\％ | 0\％ | 2\％ | 5\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ | 5\％ | 0\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃hr） | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 822 | 146 | 111 | 629 | 84 | 143 | 444 | 107 | 88 | 446 | 79 |
| Shared Lane Trafic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 968 | 0 | 111 | 713 | 0 | 0 | 694 | 0 | 0 | 613 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（m） |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset（m） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（m） |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（k／h） | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） |  | 42.5 |  | 12.3 | 42.5 |  | 13.8 | 40.1 |  | 40.1 | 40.1 |  |
| Total Split（s） |  | 43.0 |  | 13.0 | 56.0 |  | 14.0 | 60.0 |  | 46.0 | 46.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） |  | 37．1\％ |  | 11．2\％ | 48．3\％ |  | 12．1\％ | 51．7\％ |  | 39．7\％ | 39．7\％ |  |
| Maximum Green（s） |  | 36.5 |  | 6.7 | 49.5 |  | 6.2 | 52.9 |  | 38.9 | 38.9 |  |
| Yellow Time（s） |  | 3.3 |  | 3.2 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） |  | 3.2 |  | 3.1 | 3.2 |  | 4.5 | 3.6 |  | 3.6 | 3.6 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  | －1．0 |  | －1．0 | －1．0 |  |  | －1．0 |  |  | －1．0 |  |

21 John S
Synchro 11 Report
2：Weston Rd \＆Lawrence Ave W 04－05－2023

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  | 5.5 |  | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 6.1 |  |
| Lead／Lag |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode |  | Max |  | None | Max |  | Max | C－Max |  | C－Max | C－Max |  |
| Walk Time（s） |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk（s） |  | 28.0 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls（\＃hr） |  | 84 |  |  | 61 |  |  | 194 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| Act Effict Green（s） |  | 37.5 |  | 50.7 | 50.5 |  |  | 53.9 |  |  | 39.9 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.32 |  | 0.44 | 0.44 |  |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.34 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  | 0.98 |  | 0.68 | 0.53 |  |  | 0.71 |  |  | 0.75 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 64.2 |  | 42.9 | 25.8 |  |  | 26.5 |  |  | 35.8 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 64.2 |  | 42.9 | 25.8 |  |  | 26.5 |  |  | 35.8 |  |
| LOS |  | E |  | D | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 64.2 |  |  | 28.1 |  |  | 26.5 |  |  | 35.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） |  | 120.4 |  | 15.7 | 64.4 |  |  | 55.7 |  |  | 67.1 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） |  | \＃166．6 |  | \＃37．7 | 83.1 |  |  | 72.3 |  |  | 90.5 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 257.8 |  |  | 55.6 |  |  | 152.4 |  |  | 107.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ m ） |  |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） |  | 985 |  | 163 | 1348 |  |  | 983 |  |  | 813 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio |  | 0.98 |  | 0.68 | 0.53 |  |  | 0.71 |  |  | 0.75 |  |


| Intersection Summary |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area Type：Other |

ycle Lenth：
Length： 116
Afset： 22 （19\％）Refer
anced to phase 2：NBTL and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 110
ontrol Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Intersection Signal Delay： 40.5 Intersection LOS：D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 110．0\％
ICU Level of Service H
Analysis Period（min） 15
\＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 17 | 33 | 36 | 34 | 30 | 33 | 37 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 17 | 33 | 36 | 34 | 30 | 33 | 37 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll} \\ \text { Ped Bike Factor } & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.944 |  |  | 0.954 |  |  | 0.961 |  |  | 0.932 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.966 |  |  | 0.976 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1736 | 0 | 0 | 1782 | 0 | 0 | 1744 | 0 | 0 | 1709 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.966 |  |  | 0.976 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1736 | 0 | 0 | 1782 | 0 | 0 | 1744 | 0 | 0 | 1709 |  |
| Link Speed (kh) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 61.9 |  |  | 64.9 |  |  | 35.8 |  |  | 38.5 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.8 |  |  | 4.3 |  |  | 4.6 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 101 |  |  |  |  | 101 | 26 |  | 28 | 28 |  | 26 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#hr) |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 42 | 46 | 43 | 38 | 42 | 47 | , | 19 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 26 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Righ |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Two way Left Turn Lane } & 4.8 & 4.8 & 4.8 \\ & 4.8\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (khh) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | 30.0\% |  |  |  | Level | Servic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 7 |  |  | 7 | $\longleftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{*}}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 17 | 33 | 36 | 34 | 30 | 33 | 37 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 0 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 17 | 33 | 36 | 34 | 30 | 33 | 37 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 22 | 42 | 46 | 43 | 38 | 42 | 47 | 0 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| Pedestrians |  | 26 |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 101 |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  | 1.2 |  |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Percent Blockage |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( m ) |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 181 |  |  | 88 |  |  | 293 | 376 | 93 | 402 | 378 | 186 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 181 |  |  | 88 |  |  | 293 | 376 | 93 | 402 | 378 | 186 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 98 |  |  | 97 |  |  | 92 | 100 | 98 | 97 | 100 | 98 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1291 |  |  | 1520 |  |  | 578 | 487 | 947 | 448 | 486 | 77 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 110 | 123 | 66 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 22 | 43 | 47 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 46 | 42 | 19 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1291 | 1520 | 651 | 567 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( m ) | 0.4 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 1.7 | 2.7 | 11.2 | 11.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 1.7 | 2.7 | 11.2 | 11.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 30.0\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |



21 John St
WSP

| Lanes, Volumes, Timings <br> 5: Lawrence Ave W \& S Station St |  |  |  |  |  |  | Future Total PM 04-05-2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\lambda$ |  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | $\uparrow{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  | M |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 28 | 971 | 766 | 49 | 28 | 15 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 28 | 971 | 766 | 49 | 28 | 15 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.991 |  | 0.952 |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.969 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3367 | 3345 | 0 | 1733 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.969 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3367 | 3345 | 0 | 1733 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed (kh) |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 79.6 | 203.4 |  | 54.9 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.7 | 14.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 6\% | 6\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 29 | 1011 | 798 | 51 | 29 | 16 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1040 | 849 | 0 | 45 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |  |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 |  |  | 15 | 25 | 15 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 57.0\% ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

21 John S
WSP

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ ¢ | 个t |  | Y |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 28 | 971 | 766 | 49 | 28 | 15 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 28 | 971 | 766 | 49 | 28 | 15 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 29 | 1011 | 798 | 51 | 29 | 16 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  | 35 |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rercent Blockage

Median type
Median type None None
Upstream signal ( m ) 8

| pX , platoon unblocked |  | 0.70 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vC , conflicting volume | 884 | 1422 | 460 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 884 | 754 | 460 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.2 | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 96 | 87 | 97 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 733 | 229 | 538 |

CM capacity (veh/h) $733 \quad 229 \quad 58$

|  | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Direction, Lane \# | 366 | 674 | 532 | 317 | 45 |  |
| Volume Total | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 16 |  |
| Volume Right | 733 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 288 |  |
| cSH | 0.04 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.16 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.8 | $C$ |
| Control Delay (s) | A |  | 0.0 |  | C |  |
| Lane LOS | 0.4 | C |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  | 0.7 |  | B |  |

Analysis Period (min)

## 21 John S
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1 John S
2: Weston Rd \& Lawrence Ave W 03-29-2023

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -1.0 |  | -1.0 | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |  | -1.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | Lag | 5.5 |  | 5.3 | 5.5 |  | Lead | 6.1 |  | Lag | 6.1 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  | Lead |  |  |  |  | Leag |  |  |  |  |  |

Lead/Lag
ead-Lag Optimize?
Vehicle Extension (s) 30

| 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Max | None | Max | Max | C-Max | C-M |

$3.0 \quad 3.0$
Recall Mode
Flash Dont Walk (s)

| Pedestrian Calls (\#) | 28.0 |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | 84 |

Act Effct Green (s)

Act Effct Green (s)
v/c Ratio
Control Delay
otal Delay
OS
Approach Delay
Approach LOS
Approach LOS
Queue Length 50th ( m )
Queue Length 95th ( m )
Turn Bay Length (m)
Base Capacity (vph)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Sase Capacity (vph) } & 1073 & 210 \\ \text { Starvation Cap Reductn } & 0\end{array}$
Starvation Cap Reductn
Spillback Cap Reductn
Reduced v/c Ratio
Intersection Summary
Area Type:
Actuated Cycle Length: 116
Offset: 20 ( $17 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Gree
Natural Cycle: 115
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90
Intersection Signal Delay: 34.6
Intersection Capacity Utilization 130.4\% Intersection LOS: C
nnalysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.


|  | $\lambda$ | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SB |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个 $\uparrow$ |  | 7 | 个施 |  |  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 4t |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 781 | 139 | 105 | 598 | 80 | 136 | 422 | 102 | 84 | 424 | 75 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 781 | 139 | 105 | 598 | 80 | 136 | 422 | 102 | 84 | 424 | 75 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 1\％ |  |  | 2\％ |  |  | 1\％ |  |  | －2\％ |  |
| Storage Length（m） | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 21.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Taper Length（m） | 7.5 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.99 |  | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  | 0.97 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.977 |  |  | 0.982 |  |  | 0.977 |  |  | 0.981 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.993 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 3044 | 0 | 1604 | 3097 | 0 | 0 | 3235 | 0 | 0 | 3232 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.112 |  |  |  | 0.574 |  |  | 0.725 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 3044 | 0 | 187 | 3097 | 0 | 0 | 1852 | 0 | 0 | 2343 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  | 15 |  |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（ $m$ ） |  | 281.8 |  |  | 79.6 |  |  | 176.4 |  |  | 131.7 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 20.3 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 12.7 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃hr） | 85 |  | 88 | 88 |  | 85 | 190 |  | 123 | 123 |  | 190 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 6\％ | 2\％ | 4\％ | 6\％ | 0\％ | 2\％ | 5\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ | 5\％ | 0\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃hr） | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 822 | 146 | 111 | 629 | 84 | 143 | 444 | 107 | 88 | 446 | 79 |
| Shared Lane Trafic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 968 | 0 | 111 | 713 | 0 | 0 | 694 | 0 | 0 | 613 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（m） |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset（m） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（m） |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（k／h） | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 36.0 |  | 6.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） |  | 43.0 |  | 12.3 | 43.0 |  | 13.8 | 41.0 |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） |  | 47.0 |  | 13.0 | 60.0 |  | 14.0 | 56.0 |  | 42.0 | 42.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） |  | 40．5\％ |  | 11．2\％ | 51．7\％ |  | 12．1\％ | 48．3\％ |  | 36．2\％ | 36．2\％ |  |
| Maximum Green（s） |  | 40.5 |  | 6.7 | 53.5 |  | 6.2 | 48.9 |  | 34.9 | 34.9 |  |
| Yellow Time（s） |  | 3.3 |  | 3.2 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） |  | 3.2 |  | 3.1 | 3.2 |  | 4.5 | 3.6 |  | 3.6 | 3.6 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  | －1．0 |  | －1．0 | －1．0 |  |  | －1．0 |  |  | －1．0 |  |

21 John S
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Synchro 11 Report
2：Weston Rd \＆Lawrence Ave W 03－29－2023

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  | 5.5 |  | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 6.1 |  |
| Lead／Lag |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode |  | Max |  | None | Max |  | Max | C－Max |  | C－Max | C－Max |  |
| Walk Time（s） |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk（s） |  | 28.0 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls（\＃／hr） |  | 84 |  |  | 61 |  |  | 194 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| Act Effict Green（s） |  | 41.6 |  | 54.7 | 54.5 |  |  | 49.9 |  |  | 35.9 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.36 |  | 0.47 | 0.47 |  |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.31 |  |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.89 |  | 0.62 | 0.49 |  |  | 0.77 |  |  | 0.83 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 46.1 |  | 32.8 | 22.6 |  |  | 31.8 |  |  | 43.6 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 46.1 |  | 32.8 | 22.6 |  |  | 31.8 |  |  | 43.6 |  |
| LOS |  | D |  | C | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 46.1 |  |  | 24.0 |  |  | 31.8 |  |  | 43.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | c |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） |  | 113.7 |  | 14.7 | 60.1 |  |  | 59.8 |  |  | 70.6 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） |  | \＃152．9 |  | \＃28．2 | 77.5 |  |  | 77.6 |  |  | \＃68．2 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 257.8 |  |  | 55.6 |  |  | 152.4 |  |  | 107.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ m ） |  |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） |  | 1093 |  | 182 | 1455 |  |  | 903 |  |  | 735 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio |  | 0.89 |  | 0.61 | 0.49 |  |  | 0.77 |  |  | 0.83 |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area Type：Other |  |

Area Type：$\quad$ O
Cycle Length： 116 and 116
Actuated Cycle Length： 116
Offset： $22(19 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBTL and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
atural Cycle： 115
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.89
Intersection Signal Delay： $36.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS：D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 110．0\％
ICU Level of Service H
Analysis Period（min） 15
95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．
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## APPENDIX



TTC RIDERSHIP

RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION
NORTHBOUND PERIOD 1: 00:00 TO 08:59

ROUTE
STOP

## LOCATION

KEELE STATION
KEELE ST AT GLENLAKE
KEELE ST AT HUMBERSIDE
KEELE ST AT ANNETTE
KEELE ST AT DUNDAS ST W
KEELE ST AT JUNCTION
KEELE ST AT WEST TORONTO
KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
WESTON RD AT BIRDSTONE CR N
WESTON RD AT GUNNS RD
WESTON RD AT MCCORMACK
WESTON RD AT NORTHLAND
WESTON RD AT SENECA
WESTON RD AT ROGERS RD
WESTON RD AT BLACK CREEK DR
WESTON RD AT LAMBTON
WESTON RD AT DENNIS
WESTON RD AT YORK AVE
WESTON RD AT EGLINTON AVE W
WESTON RD AT OXFORD
WESTON RD AT RAY
WESTON RD AT VICTORIA BLVD
WESTON RD AT CRAYDON
WESTON RD AT JANE ST
WESTON RD AT DORA SPENCER
WESTON RD AT SIDNEY BELSEY
CRESCENT
WESTON RD AT CLOUSTON
WESTON RD AT DENISON
WESTON RD AT VICTORIA AVE
WESTON RD AT WRIGHT
WESTON RD AT LAWRENCE AVE W
WESTON RD AT JOHN
WESTON RD AT KING
WESTON RD AT FERN
WESTON RD AT CHURCH
WESTON RD AT COULTER
WESTON RD AT PARKE
WESTON RD AT OAK
WESTON RD AT CARDELL
41 WESTON RD AT KNOB HILL DR
42 WESTON RD AT WALSH
43 ALBION RD AT WESTON RD
44 WESTON RD AT ALBION RD
TOTALS FOR PERIOD 1: 00:00 TO 08:59

| $\underline{\text { START }}$ | ONS | OFFS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 446 | 0 |
| 0 | 9 | 33 |
| 0 | 11 | 14 |
| 0 | 10 | 23 |
| 0 | 28 | 30 |
| 0 | 13 | 18 |
| 0 | 7 | 36 |
| 0 | 72 | 64 |
| 0 | 11 | 25 |
| 0 | 5 | 24 |
| 0 | 16 | 30 |
| 0 | 17 | 13 |
| 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 0 | 57 | 22 |
| 0 | 56 | 17 |
| 0 | 13 | 4 |
| 0 | 25 | 7 |
| 0 | 5 | 9 |
| 0 | 84 | 59 |
| 0 | 66 | 2 |
| 0 | 62 | 38 |
| 0 | 21 | 3 |
| 0 | 11 | 21 |
| 0 | 99 | 131 |
| 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 0 | 55 | 63 |
| 0 | 20 | 18 |
| 0 | 25 | 5 |
| 0 | 9 | 8 |
| 0 | 85 | 21 |
| 0 | 98 | 204 |
| 0 | 16 | 25 |
| 0 | 48 | 77 |
| 0 | 10 | 17 |
| 0 | 59 | 18 |
| 0 | 75 | 18 |
| 0 | 12 | 5 |
| 0 | 54 | 44 |
| 0 | 7 | 17 |
| 0 | 11 | 65 |
| 0 | 24 | 510 |
| 0 | 7 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 0 | $\overline{1773}$ | $\overline{1773}$ |


| ACCUM. | VEHICLES | AVG. LOAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 446 | 39 | 11.4 |
| 422 | 39 | 10.8 |
| 419 | 39 | 10.7 |
| 406 | 39 | 10.4 |
| 404 | 39 | 10.4 |
| 399 | 39 | 10.2 |
| 370 | 39 | 9.5 |
| 378 | 39 | 9.7 |
| 364 | 39 | 9.3 |
| 345 | 39 | 8.8 |
| 331 | 39 | 8.5 |
| 335 | 39 | 8.6 |
| 334 | 39 | 8.6 |
| 369 | 39 | 9.5 |
| 408 | 39 | 10.5 |
| 417 | 39 | 10.7 |
| 435 | 39 | 11.2 |
| 431 | 39 | 11.1 |
| 456 | 39 | 11.7 |
| 520 | 39 | 13.3 |
| 544 | 39 | 13.9 |
| 562 | 39 | 14.4 |
| 552 | 39 | 14.2 |
| 520 | 39 | 13.3 |
| 532 | 39 | 13.6 |
| 524 | 39 | 13.4 |
| 526 | 39 | 13.5 |
| 546 | 39 | 14.0 |
| 547 | 39 | 14.0 |
| 611 | 39 | 15.7 |
| 505 | 39 | 12.9 |
| 496 | 39 | 12.7 |
| 467 | 39 | 12.0 |
| 460 | 39 | 11.8 |
| 501 | 39 | 12.8 |
| 558 | 39 | 14.3 |
| 565 | 39 | 14.5 |
| 575 | 39 | 14.7 |
| 565 | 39 | 14.5 |
| 511 | 39 | 13.1 |
| 25 | 39 | 0.6 |
| 31 | 39 | 0.8 |
| 0 | 39 | 0.0 |
| 18712 | 1677 | 11.2 |

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
Version: 002

NORTHBOUND PERIOD 1: 00:00 TO 08:59
PERIOD RIDING INDEX = 11.2 (AVERAGE OCCUPANCY)
AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH $=10.6$ STOPS
AVERAGE ONS/VEHICLE-STOP = 1.1
AVERAGE ONS/TRIP $=45.5$

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION
NORTHBOUND PERIOD 2: 09:00 TO 14:59

ROUTE
STOP
LOCATION
KEELE STATION
KEELE ST AT GLENLAKE
KEELE ST AT HUMBERSIDE
KEELE ST AT ANNETTE
KEELE ST AT DUNDAS ST W
KEELE ST AT JUNCTION
KEELE ST AT WEST TORONTO
KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
WESTON RD AT BIRDSTONE CR N
WESTON RD AT GUNNS RD
WESTON RD AT MCCORMACK
WESTON RD AT NORTHLAND
WESTON RD AT SENECA
WESTON RD AT ROGERS RD
WESTON RD AT BLACK CREEK DR
WESTON RD AT LAMBTON
WESTON RD AT DENNIS
WESTON RD AT YORK AVE
WESTON RD AT EGLINTON AVE W
WESTON RD AT OXFORD
WESTON RD AT RAY
WESTON RD AT VICTORIA BLVD
WESTON RD AT CRAYDON
WESTON RD AT JANE ST
WESTON RD AT DORA SPENCER
WESTON RD AT SIDNEY BELSEY
CRESCENT
WESTON RD AT CLOUSTON
WESTON RD AT DENISON
WESTON RD AT VICTORIA AVE
WESTON RD AT WRIGHT
WESTON RD AT LAWRENCE AVE W
WESTON RD AT JOHN
WESTON RD AT KING
WESTON RD AT FERN
WESTON RD AT CHURCH
WESTON RD AT COULTER
WESTON RD AT PARKE
WESTON RD AT OAK
WESTON RD AT CARDELL
WESTON RD AT KNOB HILL DR
42 WESTON RD AT WALSH
43 ALBION RD AT WESTON RD
44 WESTON RD AT ALBION RD
TOTALS FOR PERIOD 2: 09:00 TO 14:59

START
ONS
ON 45
20 0 0 0
0 0
0
0

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
Version: 002

NORTHBOUND PERIOD 2: 09:00 TO 14:59
PERIOD RIDING INDEX = 17.0 (AVERAGE OCCUPANCY)
AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH $=10.9$ STOPS
AVERAGE ONS/VEHICLE-STOP = 1.6
AVERAGE ONS/TRIP $=66.7$

RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION
NORTHBOUND PERIOD 3: 15:00 TO 18:59

ROUTE
STOP
LOCA
KEELE STATION
KEELE ST AT GLENLAKE
KEELE ST AT HUMBERSIDE
KEELE ST AT ANNETTE
KEELE ST AT DUNDAS ST W
KEELE ST AT JUNCTION
KEELE ST AT WEST TORONTO
KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
WESTON RD AT BIRDSTONE CR N
WESTON RD AT GUNNS RD
WESTON RD AT MCCORMACK
WESTON RD AT NORTHLAND
WESTON RD AT SENECA
WESTON RD AT ROGERS RD
WESTON RD AT BLACK CREEK DR
WESTON RD AT LAMBTON
WESTON RD AT DENNIS
WESTON RD AT YORK AVE
WESTON RD AT EGLINTON AVE W
WESTON RD AT OXFORD
WESTON RD AT RAY
WESTON RD AT VICTORIA BLVD
WESTON RD AT CRAYDON
WESTON RD AT JANE ST
WESTON RD AT DORA SPENCER
WESTON RD AT SIDNEY BELSEY
CRESCENT
WESTON RD AT CLOUSTON
WESTON RD AT DENISON
WESTON RD AT VICTORIA AVE
WESTON RD AT WRIGHT
WESTON RD AT LAWRENCE AVE W
WESTON RD AT JOHN
WESTON RD AT KING
WESTON RD AT FERN
WESTON RD AT CHURCH
WESTON RD AT COULTER
WESTON RD AT PARKE
WESTON RD AT OAK
WESTON RD AT CARDELL
WESTON RD AT KNOB HILL DR
42 WESTON RD AT WALSH
43 ALBION RD AT WESTON RD
44 WESTON RD AT ALBION RD
TOTALS FOR PERIOD 3: 15:00 TO 18:59

START
STAR
0

0

| OFFS | ACCUM. | VEHICLES | AVG. LOAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1175 | 33 | 35.6 |
| 27 | 1158 | 33 | 35.1 |
| 65 | 1109 | 33 | 33.6 |
| 52 | 1076 | 33 | 32.6 |
| 88 | 1061 | 33 | 32.2 |
| 16 | 1053 | 33 | 31.9 |
| 35 | 1030 | 33 | 31.2 |
| 98 | 1136 | 33 | 34.4 |
| 52 | 1110 | 33 | 33.6 |
| 17 | 1118 | 33 | 33.9 |
| 62 | 1080 | 33 | 32.7 |
| 117 | 975 | 33 | 29.5 |
| 12 | 974 | 33 | 29.5 |
| 53 | 1040 | 33 | 31.5 |
| 83 | 1015 | 33 | 30.8 |
| 40 | 979 | 33 | 29.7 |
| 39 | 947 | 33 | 28.7 |
| 30 | 922 | 33 | 27.9 |
| 97 | 963 | 33 | 29.2 |
| 74 | 923 | 33 | 28.0 |
| 45 | 911 | 33 | 27.6 |
| 44 | 892 | 33 | 27.0 |
| 52 | 852 | 33 | 25.8 |
| 174 | 791 | 33 | 24.0 |
| 5 | 787 | 33 | 23.8 |
| 143 | 693 | 33 | 21.0 |
| 40 | 665 | 33 | 20.2 |
| 43 | 629 | 33 | 19.1 |
| 21 | 616 | 33 | 18.7 |
| 89 | 552 | 33 | 16.7 |
| 178 | 564 | 33 | 17.1 |
| 43 | 576 | 33 | 17.5 |
| 55 | 576 | 33 | 17.5 |
| 36 | 548 | 33 | 16.6 |
| 102 | 462 | 33 | 14.0 |
| 116 | 361 | 33 | 10.9 |
| 27 | 337 | 33 | 10.2 |
| 111 | 245 | 33 | 7.4 |
| 47 | 204 | 33 | 6.2 |
| 37 | 206 | 33 | 6.2 |
| 203 | 47 | 33 | 1.4 |
| 29 | 38 | 33 | 1.2 |
| 38 | 0 | 33 | 0.0 |
| 2735 | 32396 | $\overline{1419}$ | $\overline{22.8}$ |

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
Version: 002

NORTHBOUND PERIOD 3: 15:00 TO 18:59
PERIOD RIDING INDEX = 22.8 (AVERAGE OCCUPANCY)
AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH $=11.8$ STOPS
AVERAGE ONS/VEHICLE-STOP $=1.9$
AVERAGE ONS/TRIP $=82.9$

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION
NORTHBOUND PERIOD 4: 19:00 TO 21:59

ROUTE
STOP
LOCATION
KEELE STATION
KEELE ST AT GLENLAKE
KEELE ST AT HUMBERSIDE
KEELE ST AT ANNETTE
KEELE ST AT DUNDAS ST W
KEELE ST AT JUNCTION
KEELE ST AT WEST TORONTO
KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
WESTON RD AT BIRDSTONE CR N
WESTON RD AT GUNNS RD
WESTON RD AT MCCORMACK
WESTON RD AT NORTHLAND
WESTON RD AT SENECA
WESTON RD AT ROGERS RD
WESTON RD AT BLACK CREEK DR
WESTON RD AT LAMBTON
WESTON RD AT DENNIS
WESTON RD AT YORK AVE
WESTON RD AT EGLINTON AVE W
WESTON RD AT OXFORD
WESTON RD AT RAY
WESTON RD AT VICTORIA BLVD
WESTON RD AT CRAYDON
WESTON RD AT JANE ST
WESTON RD AT DORA SPENCER
WESTON RD AT SIDNEY BELSEY
CRESCENT
WESTON RD AT CLOUSTON
WESTON RD AT DENISON
WESTON RD AT VICTORIA AVE
WESTON RD AT WRIGHT
WESTON RD AT LAWRENCE AVE W
WESTON RD AT JOHN
WESTON RD AT KING
WESTON RD AT FERN
WESTON RD AT CHURCH
WESTON RD AT COULTER
WESTON RD AT PARKE
WESTON RD AT OAK
WESTON RD AT CARDELL
WESTON RD AT KNOB HILL DR
WESTON RD AT WALSH
ALBION RD AT WESTON RD
44 WESTON RD AT ALBION RD
TOTALS FOR PERIOD 4: 19:00 TO 21:59

| START | ONS | OFFS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 532 | 0 |
| 0 | 8 | 8 |
| 0 | 3 | 28 |
| 0 | 2 | 20 |
| 0 | 30 | 54 |
| 0 | 1 | 13 |
| 0 | 2 | 10 |
| 0 | 76 | 46 |
| 0 | 13 | 14 |
| 0 | 5 | 6 |
| 0 | 1 | 18 |
| 0 | 6 | 31 |
| 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 0 | 25 | 15 |
| 0 | 11 | 37 |
| 0 | 1 | 14 |
| 0 | 2 | 15 |
| 0 | 1 | 19 |
| 0 | 30 | 35 |
| 0 | 13 | 25 |
| 0 | 7 | 24 |
| 0 | 5 | 19 |
| 0 | 2 | 20 |
| 0 | 37 | 49 |
| 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 0 | 5 | 45 |
| 0 | 1 | 17 |
| 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 8 | 29 |
| 0 | 61 | 45 |
| 0 | 16 | 19 |
| 0 | 18 | 17 |
| 0 | 2 | 12 |
| 0 | 8 | 39 |
| 0 | 8 | 36 |
| 0 | 3 | 12 |
| 0 | 5 | 32 |
| 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 0 | 8 | 15 |
| 0 | 15 | 76 |
| 0 | 7 | 9 |
| 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 0 | 980 | 980 |

VEHICLES

| ACCUM. | VEHICLES | AVG. LOAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 532 | 20 | 26.6 |
| 532 | 20 | 26.6 |
| 507 | 20 | 25.4 |
| 489 | 20 | 24.5 |
| 465 | 20 | 23.3 |
| 453 | 20 | 22.7 |
| 445 | 20 | 22.3 |
| 475 | 20 | 23.8 |
| 474 | 20 | 23.7 |
| 473 | 20 | 23.7 |
| 456 | 20 | 22.8 |
| 431 | 20 | 21.6 |
| 428 | 20 | 21.4 |
| 438 | 20 | 21.9 |
| 412 | 20 | 20.6 |
| 399 | 20 | 20.0 |
| 386 | 20 | 19.3 |
| 368 | 20 | 18.4 |
| 363 | 20 | 18.2 |
| 351 | 20 | 17.6 |
| 334 | 20 | 16.7 |
| 320 | 20 | 16.0 |
| 302 | 20 | 15.1 |
| 290 | 20 | 14.5 |
| 287 | 20 | 14.4 |
| 247 | 20 | 12.4 |
| 231 | 20 | 11.6 |
| 216 | 20 | 10.8 |
| 215 | 20 | 10.8 |
| 194 | 20 | 9.7 |
| 210 | 20 | 10.5 |
| 207 | 20 | 10.4 |
| 208 | 20 | 10.4 |
| 198 | 20 | 9.9 |
| 167 | 20 | 8.4 |
| 139 | 20 | 7.0 |
| 130 | 20 | 6.5 |
| 103 | 20 | 5.2 |
| 85 | 20 | 4.3 |
| 78 | 20 | 3.9 |
| 17 | 20 | 0.9 |
| 15 | 20 | 0.8 |
| 0 | 20 | 0.0 |
| 13070 | 860 | $\overline{15.2}$ |

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
Version: 002

NORTHBOUND PERIOD 4: 19:00 TO 21:59
PERIOD RIDING INDEX = 15.2 (AVERAGE OCCUPANCY)
AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH $=13.3$ STOPS
AVERAGE ONS/VEHICLE-STOP = 1.1
AVERAGE ONS/TRIP $=49.0$

RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION
NORTHBOUND PERIOD 5: 22:00 TO 30:59

ROUTE
STOP
STOP
KEELE STATION
KEELE ST AT GLENLAKE
KEELE ST AT HUMBERSIDE
KEELE ST AT ANNETTE
KEELE ST AT DUNDAS ST W
KEELE ST AT JUNCTION
KEELE ST AT WEST TORONTO
KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
WESTON RD AT BIRDSTONE CR N
WESTON RD AT GUNNS RD
WESTON RD AT MCCORMACK
WESTON RD AT NORTHLAND
WESTON RD AT SENECA
WESTON RD AT ROGERS RD
WESTON RD AT BLACK CREEK DR
WESTON RD AT LAMBTON
WESTON RD AT DENNIS
WESTON RD AT YORK AVE
WESTON RD AT EGLINTON AVE W
WESTON RD AT OXFORD
WESTON RD AT RAY
WESTON RD AT VICTORIA BLVD
WESTON RD AT CRAYDON
WESTON RD AT JANE ST
WESTON RD AT DORA SPENCER
WESTON RD AT SIDNEY BELSEY
CRESCENT
WESTON RD AT CLOUSTON
WESTON RD AT DENISON
WESTON RD AT VICTORIA AVE
WESTON RD AT WRIGHT
WESTON RD AT LAWRENCE AVE W
WESTON RD AT JOHN
WESTON RD AT KING
WESTON RD AT FERN
WESTON RD AT CHURCH
WESTON RD AT COULTER
WESTON RD AT PARKE
WESTON RD AT OAK
WESTON RD AT CARDELL
WESTON RD AT KNOB HILL DR
WESTON RD AT WALSH
ALBION RD AT WESTON RD
44 WESTON RD AT ALBION RD
TOTALS FOR PERIOD 5: 22:00 TO 30:59

START

| ONS | OFFS | ACCUM. | VEHICLES | AVG. LOAD |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 43 | 0 | 413 | 26 | 15.9 |
| 2 | 1 | 414 | 26 | 15.9 |
| 2 | 22 | 394 | 26 | 15.2 |
| 2 | 16 | 380 | 26 | 14.6 |
| 19 | 33 | 366 | 26 | 14.1 |
| 0 | 13 | 353 | 26 | 13.6 |
| 1 | 6 | 348 | 26 | 13.4 |
| 43 | 23 | 368 | 26 | 14.2 |
| 6 | 16 | 358 | 26 | 13.8 |
| 1 | 4 | 355 | 26 | 13.7 |
| 0 | 20 | 335 | 26 | 12.9 |
| 3 | 20 | 318 | 26 | 12.2 |
| 0 | 5 | 313 | 26 | 12.0 |
| 9 | 13 | 309 | 26 | 11.9 |
| 5 | 23 | 291 | 26 | 11.2 |
| 1 | 13 | 279 | 26 | 10.7 |
| 1 | 11 | 269 | 26 | 10.3 |
| 0 | 4 | 265 | 26 | 10.2 |
| 13 | 26 | 252 | 26 | 9.7 |
| 9 | 17 | 244 | 26 | 9.4 |
| 8 | 7 | 245 | 26 | 9.4 |
| 0 | 17 | 228 | 26 | 8.8 |
| 1 | 7 | 222 | 26 | 8.5 |
| 17 | 34 | 205 | 26 | 7.9 |
| 0 | 0 | 205 | 26 | 7.9 |
| 0 | 12 | 43 | 126 | 26 |

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
Version: 002

NORTHBOUND PERIOD 5: 22:00 TO 30:59
PERIOD RIDING INDEX = 8.6 (AVERAGE OCCUPANCY)
AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH $=15.0$ STOPS
AVERAGE ONS/VEHICLE-STOP $=0.6$
AVERAGE ONS/TRIP $=24.8$

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION

## NORTHBOUND ALL DAY

| ROUTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| STOP | LOCATION | $\underline{S T A R T S}$ | $\underline{O N S}$ | $\underline{\text { OFFS }}$ | $\underline{\text { ACCUM. }}$ | $\underline{\text { VEHICLES }}$ | AVG. LOAD

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: $99.4 \%$.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
Version: 002

NORTHBOUND ALL DAY

| ROUTE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| STOP | LOCATION |
| 43 | ALBION RD AT WESTON RD |
| 44 | WESTON RD AT ALBION RD |

TOTALS FOR NORTHBOUND ALL DAY

| STARTS | ONS | OFFS | ACCUM. | VEHICLES | AVG. LOAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 58 | 75 | 149 | 157 | 0.9 |
| 0 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 157 | 0.0 |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{8733}$ | $\overline{8733}$ | 102257 | $\overline{6751}$ | $\overline{15.1}$ |

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 04:20 TO 26:14)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

NB CONTROL POINT: 9 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W

```
NORTHBOUND ALL DAY
            PERIOD RIDING INDEX = 15.1 (AVERAGE OCCUPANCY)
            AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH = 11.7 STOPS
AVERAGE ONS/VEHICLE-STOP = 1.3
        AVERAGE ONS/TRIP = 55.6
```

RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION
SOUTHBOUND PERIOD 1: 00:00 TO 08:59

ROUTE STOP
5

7 WESTON RD AT CARDELL
8 WESTON RD AT OAK
9 WESTON RD AT PARKE
10 WESTON RD AT COULTER
11 WESTON RD AT CHURCH
12 WESTON RD AT FERN
13 WESTON RD AT KING
14 WESTON RD AT JOHN
15 WESTON RD AT LAWRENCE AVE W
16 WESTON RD AT WILBY
17 WESTON RD AT VICTORIA AVE
18 WESTON RD AT DENISON
19 WESTON RD AT CLOUSTON
20 WESTON RD AT SIDNEY BELSEY
CRESCENT
21 WESTON RD AT DORA SPENCER
22 WESTON RD AT JANE ST
23 WESTON RD AT ERNEST DOCKRAY
24 WESTON RD AT BARTONVILLE
25 WESTON RD AT RAY
26 WESTON RD AT OXFORD
27 WESTON RD AT EGLINTON AVE W
28 WESTON RD AT YORK AVE
29 WESTON RD AT DENNIS
30 WESTON RD AT BUSHEY
31 WESTON RD AT HUMBER BLVD
32 WESTON RD AT AVON
33 WESTON RD AT SENECA
34 WESTON RD AT NORTHLAND
35 WESTON RD AT MCCORMACK
36 WESTON RD AT GUNNS RD
37 WESTON RD AT BIRDSTONE CR N
38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
39 KEELE ST AT WEST TORONTO
40 KEELE ST AT JUNCTION
41 KEELE ST AT DUNDAS ST W
42 KEELE ST AT ANNETTE
43 KEELE ST AT HUMBERSIDE
44 KEELE ST AT HILLSVIEW
45 KEELE ST AT GLENLAKE
47 KEELE STATION
TOTALS FOR PERIOD 1: 00:00 TO 08:59

START
ON
$\frac{\mathrm{ON}}{15}$
OFFS
0
13
23

121


125
116
20
40
17
114
0

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: $99.4 \%$.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
SOUTHBOUND PERIOD 1: 00:00 TO 08:59
PERIOD RIDING INDEX = 22.1 (AVERAGE OCCUPANCY)
AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH $=13.7$ STOPS
AVERAGE ONS/VEHICLE-STOP $=1.6$
AVERAGE ONS/TRIP $=67.5$

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION
SOUTHBOUND PERIOD 2: 09:00 TO 14:59

ROUTE
STOP
5
6 WESTON RD AT DEE
7 WESTON RD AT CARDELL
8 WESTON RD AT OAK
9 WESTON RD AT PARKE
10 WESTON RD AT COULTER
11 WESTON RD AT CHURCH
12 WESTON RD AT FERN
13 WESTON RD AT KING
14 WESTON RD AT JOHN
15 WESTON RD AT LAWRENCE AVE W
16 WESTON RD AT WILBY
17 WESTON RD AT VICTORIA AVE
18 WESTON RD AT DENISON
19 WESTON RD AT CLOUSTON
20 WESTON RD AT SIDNEY BELSEY
CRESCENT
21 WESTON RD AT DORA SPENCER
22 WESTON RD AT JANE ST
23 WESTON RD AT ERNEST DOCKRAY
24 WESTON RD AT BARTONVILLE
25 WESTON RD AT RAY
26 WESTON RD AT OXFORD
27 WESTON RD AT EGLINTON AVE W
28 WESTON RD AT YORK AVE
29 WESTON RD AT DENNIS
30 WESTON RD AT BUSHEY
31 WESTON RD AT HUMBER BLVD
32 WESTON RD AT AVON
33 WESTON RD AT SENECA
34 WESTON RD AT NORTHLAND
35 WESTON RD AT MCCORMACK
36 WESTON RD AT GUNNS RD
37 WESTON RD AT BIRDSTONE CR N
38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
39 KEELE ST AT WEST TORONTO
40 KEELE ST AT JUNCTION
41 KEELE ST AT DUNDAS ST W
42 KEELE ST AT ANNETTE
43 KEELE ST AT HUMBERSIDE
44 KEELE ST AT HILLSVIEW
45 KEELE ST AT GLENLAKE
47 KEELE STATION
TOTALS FOR PERIOD 2: 09:00 TO 14:59
$\underline{\text { START }}$

ON
22
225
34

## 64

 119 35 134 99 30 79 84 239| $\begin{array}{l\|l} \hline & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| ACCUM. | VEHICLES | AVG. LOAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 225 | 44 | 5.1 |
| 234 | 44 | 5.3 |
| 273 | 44 | 6.2 |
| 366 | 44 | 8.3 |
| 395 | 44 | 9.0 |
| 504 | 44 | 11.5 |
| 584 | 44 | 13.3 |
| 603 | 44 | 13.7 |
| 601 | 44 | 13.7 |
| 572 | 44 | 13.0 |
| 652 | 44 | 14.8 |
| 702 | 44 | 16.0 |
| 702 | 44 | 16.0 |
| 715 | 44 | 16.3 |
| 749 | 44 | 17.0 |
| 802 | 44 | 18.2 |
| 821 | 44 | 18.7 |
| 898 | 44 | 20.4 |
| 916 | 44 | 20.8 |
| 927 | 44 | 21.1 |
| 923 | 44 | 21.0 |
| 929 | 44 | 21.1 |
| 903 | 44 | 20.5 |
| 932 | 44 | 21.2 |
| 952 | 44 | 21.6 |
| 985 | 44 | 22.4 |
| 1032 | 44 | 23.5 |
| 918 | 44 | 20.9 |
| 924 | 44 | 21.0 |
| 952 | 44 | 21.6 |
| 986 | 44 | 22.4 |
| 994 | 44 | 22.6 |
| 980 | 44 | 22.3 |
| 915 | 44 | 20.8 |
| 941 | 44 | 21.4 |
| 948 | 44 | 21.5 |
| 1010 | 44 | 23.0 |
| 1037 | 44 | 23.6 |
| 1057 | 44 | 24.0 |
| 1056 | 44 | 24.0 |
| 1065 | 44 | 24.2 |
| 0 | 44 | 0.0 |
| $\overline{32680}$ | $\overline{1848}$ | $\overline{17.7}$ |

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: $99.4 \%$.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
SOUTHBOUND PERIOD 2: 09:00 TO 14:59
PERIOD RIDING INDEX = 17.7 (AVERAGE OCCUPANCY)
AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH $=12.2$ STOPS
AVERAGE ONS/VEHICLE-STOP $=1.5$
AVERAGE ONS/TRIP $=61.0$

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION
SOUTHBOUND PERIOD 3: 15:00 TO 18:59

ROUTE
STOP

LOCATION
STARVIEW LANE AT ST BASIL SCHOOL
WESTON RD AT BRADMORE
WESTON RD AT FLINDON
WESTON RD AT REUBEN
WESTON RD AT ALBION RD
WESTON RD AT DEE
WESTON RD AT CARDELL
WESTON RD AT OAK
WESTON RD AT PARKE
WESTON RD AT COULTER
WESTON RD AT CHURCH
WESTON RD AT FERN
WESTON RD AT KING
WESTON RD AT JOHN
WESTON RD AT LAWRENCE AVE W
WESTON RD AT WILBY
WESTON RD AT VICTORIA AVE
WESTON RD AT DENISON
WESTON RD AT CLOUSTON
WESTON RD AT SIDNEY BELSEY
CRESCENT
21 WESTON RD AT DORA SPENCER
WESTON RD AT JANE ST
WESTON RD AT ERNEST DOCKRAY
WESTON RD AT BARTONVILLE
WESTON RD AT RAY
WESTON RD AT OXFORD
WESTON RD AT EGLINTON AVE W
WESTON RD AT YORK AVE
WESTON RD AT DENNIS
WESTON RD AT BUSHEY
WESTON RD AT HUMBER BLVD
WESTON RD AT AVON
WESTON RD AT SENECA
WESTON RD AT NORTHLAND
WESTON RD AT MCCORMACK
WESTON RD AT GUNNS RD
WESTON RD AT BIRDSTONE CR N
KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
KEELE ST AT WEST TORONTO
KEELE ST AT JUNCTION
KEELE ST AT DUNDAS ST W
KEELE ST AT ANNETTE
KEELE ST AT HUMBERSIDE
KEELE ST AT HILLSVIEW
KEELE ST AT GLENLAKE

START
STAR

| ONS | OFFS | ACCUM. | VEHICLES | AVG. LOAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 34.0 |
| 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 34.0 |
| 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 34.0 |
| 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 34.0 |
| 297 | 7 | 324 | 35 | 9.3 |
| 40 | 21 | 343 | 35 | 9.8 |
| 78 | 26 | 395 | 35 | 11.3 |
| 80 | 44 | 431 | 35 | 12.3 |
| 14 | 13 | 432 | 35 | 12.3 |
| 49 | 53 | 428 | 35 | 12.2 |
| 48 | 34 | 442 | 35 | 12.6 |
| 13 | 11 | 444 | 35 | 12.7 |
| 63 | 52 | 455 | 35 | 13.0 |
| 88 | 90 | 453 | 35 | 12.9 |
| 268 | 111 | 610 | 35 | 17.4 |
| 62 | 56 | 616 | 35 | 17.6 |
| 6 | 11 | 611 | 35 | 17.5 |
| 14 | 24 | 601 | 35 | 17.2 |
| 21 | 23 | 599 | 35 | 17.1 |
| 66 | 69 | 596 | 35 | 17.0 |
| 18 | 11 | 603 | 35 | 17.2 |
| 215 | 114 | 704 | 35 | 20.1 |
| 31 | 31 | 704 | 35 | 20.1 |
| 22 | 32 | 694 | 35 | 19.8 |
| 39 | 70 | 663 | 35 | 18.9 |
| 26 | 66 | 623 | 35 | 17.8 |
| 91 | 100 | 614 | 35 | 17.5 |
| 15 | 27 | 602 | 35 | 17.2 |
| 20 | 24 | 598 | 35 | 17.1 |
| 20 | 15 | 603 | 35 | 17.2 |
| 59 | 51 | 611 | 35 | 17.5 |
| 25 | 87 | 549 | 35 | 15.7 |
| 3 | 10 | 542 | 35 | 15.5 |
| 24 | 17 | 549 | 35 | 15.7 |
| 29 | 11 | 567 | 35 | 16.2 |
| 17 | 4 | 580 | 35 | 16.6 |
| 28 | 33 | 575 | 35 | 16.4 |
| 108 | 154 | 529 | 35 | 15.1 |
| 41 | 9 | 561 | 35 | 16.0 |
| 27 | 11 | 577 | 35 | 16.5 |
| 99 | 53 | 623 | 35 | 17.8 |
| 31 | 15 | 639 | 35 | 18.3 |
| 28 | 11 | 656 | 35 | 18.7 |
| 8 | 2 | 662 | 35 | 18.9 |
| 33 | 27 | 668 | 35 | 19.1 |

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: $99.4 \%$.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
Version: 002

SOUTHBOUND PERIOD 3: 15:00 TO 18:59
ROUTE
$\begin{array}{llrlrrrr}\begin{array}{c}\text { STOP }\end{array} & \text { LOCATION } & \text { START } & \underline{O N S} & \frac{\text { OFFS }}{6} & \text { ACCUM. } & \text { VEHICLES } & \text { AVG. LOAD } \\ \text { TOTALS FOR STERIOD 3: } 15: 00 \text { TO 18:59 } & \frac{0}{0} & \frac{0}{2298} & \frac{668}{2298} & \frac{0}{23212} & \frac{35}{1474} & \frac{0.0}{15.7}\end{array}$

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: $99.4 \%$.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
SOUTHBOUND PERIOD 3: 15:00 TO 18:59

PERIOD RIDING INDEX = 15.7 (AVERAGE OCCUPANCY)
AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH $=10.1$ STOPS
AVERAGE ONS/VEHICLE-STOP = 1.6
AVERAGE ONS/TRIP $=65.7$

RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION
SOUTHBOUND PERIOD 4: 19:00 TO 21:59

ROUTE
STOP
5
6

15 WESTON RD AT LAWRENCE AVE W
16 WESTON RD AT WILBY
17 WESTON RD AT VICTORIA AVE
18 WESTON RD AT DENISON
19 WESTON RD AT CLOUSTON
20 WESTON RD AT SIDNEY BELSEY CRESCENT
21 WESTON RD AT DORA SPENCER
22 WESTON RD AT JANE ST
23 WESTON RD AT ERNEST DOCKRAY
24 WESTON RD AT BARTONVILLE
25 WESTON RD AT RAY
26 WESTON RD AT OXFORD
27 WESTON RD AT EGLINTON AVE W
28 WESTON RD AT YORK AVE
29 WESTON RD AT DENNIS
30 WESTON RD AT BUSHEY
31 WESTON RD AT HUMBER BLVD
32 WESTON RD AT AVON
33 WESTON RD AT SENECA
34 WESTON RD AT NORTHLAND
35 WESTON RD AT MCCORMACK
36 WESTON RD AT GUNNS RD
37 WESTON RD AT BIRDSTONE CR N
38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
39 KEELE ST AT WEST TORONTO
40 KEELE ST AT JUNCTION
41 KEELE ST AT DUNDAS ST W
42 KEELE ST AT ANNETTE
43 KEELE ST AT HUMBERSIDE
44 KEELE ST AT HILLSVIEW
45 KEELE ST AT GLENLAKE
47 KEELE STATION
TOTALS FOR PERIOD 4: 19:00 TO 21:59

| START | ONS | OFFS | ACCUM. | VEHICLES | AVG. LOAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 91 | 0 | 91 | 21 | 4.3 |
| 0 | 23 | 7 | 107 | 21 | 5.1 |
| 0 | 40 | 7 | 140 | 21 | 6.7 |
| 0 | 22 | 8 | 154 | 21 | 7.3 |
| 0 | 7 | 6 | 155 | 21 | 7.4 |
| 0 | 14 | 24 | 145 | 21 | 6.9 |
| 0 | 19 | 14 | 150 | 21 | 7.1 |
| 0 | 3 | 3 | 150 | 21 | 7.1 |
| 0 | 11 | 17 | 144 | 21 | 6.9 |
| 0 | 16 | 21 | 139 | 21 | 6.6 |
| 0 | 73 | 41 | 171 | 21 | 8.1 |
| 0 | 20 | 12 | 179 | 21 | 8.5 |
| 0 | 4 | 1 | 182 | 21 | 8.7 |
| 0 | 5 | 10 | 177 | 21 | 8.4 |
| 0 | 9 | 3 | 183 | 21 | 8.7 |
| 0 | 7 | 12 | 178 | 21 | 8.5 |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 180 | 21 | 8.6 |
| 0 | 76 | 32 | 224 | 21 | 10.7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 223 | 21 | 10.6 |
| 0 | 2 | 11 | 214 | 21 | 10.2 |
| 0 | 10 | 17 | 207 | 21 | 9.9 |
| 0 | 6 | 24 | 189 | 21 | 9.0 |
| 0 | 41 | 23 | 207 | 21 | 9.9 |
| 0 | 3 | 8 | 202 | 21 | 9.6 |
| 0 | 7 | 4 | 205 | 21 | 9.8 |
| 0 | 4 | 10 | 199 | 21 | 9.5 |
| 0 | 17 | 23 | 193 | 21 | 9.2 |
| 0 | 8 | 25 | 176 | 21 | 8.4 |
| 0 | 1 | 4 | 173 | 21 | 8.2 |
| 0 | 11 | 6 | 178 | 21 | 8.5 |
| 0 | 8 | 5 | 181 | 21 | 8.6 |
| 0 | 4 | 2 | 183 | 21 | 8.7 |
| 0 | 20 | 10 | 193 | 21 | 9.2 |
| 0 | 53 | 47 | 199 | 21 | 9.5 |
| 0 | 14 | 1 | 212 | 21 | 10.1 |
| 0 | 1 | 4 | 209 | 21 | 10.0 |
| 0 | 35 | 20 | 224 | 21 | 10.7 |
| 0 | 8 | 8 | 224 | 21 | 10.7 |
| 0 | 4 | 2 | 226 | 21 | 10.8 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 227 | 21 | 10.8 |
| 0 | 4 | 6 | 225 | 21 | 10.7 |
| 0 | 0 | 225 | 0 | 21 | 0.0 |
| 0 | $\overline{708}$ | $\overline{708}$ | $\overline{7518}$ | $\overline{882}$ | $\overline{8.5}$ |

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: $99.4 \%$.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
SOUTHBOUND PERIOD 4: 19:00 TO 21:59
PERIOD RIDING INDEX = 8.5 (AVERAGE OCCUPANCY)
AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH $=10.6$ STOPS
AVERAGE ONS/VEHICLE-STOP $=0.8$
AVERAGE ONS/TRIP $=33.7$

RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION
SOUTHBOUND PERIOD 5: 22:00 TO 30:59

ROUTE
STOP
5
6
7 WESTON RD AT CARDELL
8 WESTON RD AT OAK
9 WESTON RD AT PARKE
10 WESTON RD AT COULTER
11 WESTON RD AT CHURCH
12 WESTON RD AT FERN
13 WESTON RD AT KING
14 WESTON RD AT JOHN
15 WESTON RD AT LAWRENCE AVE W
16 WESTON RD AT WILBY
17 WESTON RD AT VICTORIA AVE
18 WESTON RD AT DENISON
19 WESTON RD AT CLOUSTON
20 WESTON RD AT SIDNEY BELSEY
CRESCENT
21 WESTON RD AT DORA SPENCER
22 WESTON RD AT JANE ST
23 WESTON RD AT ERNEST DOCKRAY
24 WESTON RD AT BARTONVILLE
25 WESTON RD AT RAY
26 WESTON RD AT OXFORD
27 WESTON RD AT EGLINTON AVE W
28 WESTON RD AT YORK AVE
29 WESTON RD AT DENNIS
30 WESTON RD AT BUSHEY
31 WESTON RD AT HUMBER BLVD
32 WESTON RD AT AVON
33 WESTON RD AT SENECA
34 WESTON RD AT NORTHLAND
35 WESTON RD AT MCCORMACK
36 WESTON RD AT GUNNS RD
37 WESTON RD AT BIRDSTONE CR N
38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
39 KEELE ST AT WEST TORONTO
40 KEELE ST AT JUNCTION
41 KEELE ST AT DUNDAS ST W
42 KEELE ST AT ANNETTE
43 KEELE ST AT HUMBERSIDE
44 KEELE ST AT HILLSVIEW
45 KEELE ST AT GLENLAKE
47 KEELE STATION
TOTALS FOR PERIOD 5: 22:00 TO 30:59

| START | ONS | OFFS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 71 | 0 |
| 0 | 13 | 2 |
| 0 | 12 | 1 |
| 0 | 9 | 10 |
| 0 | 3 | 2 |
| 0 | 8 | 16 |
| 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 0 | 3 | 8 |
| 0 | 53 | 20 |
| 0 | 10 | 10 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 0 | 37 | 12 |
| 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 0 | 2 | 6 |
| 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 0 | 8 | 10 |
| 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 6 | 14 |
| 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 0 | 12 | 21 |
| 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 0 | 15 | 8 |
| 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 101 |
| 0 | 306 | 306 |

ACCU

VEHICLES

| VEHICLES | AVG. LOAD |
| ---: | ---: |
| 27 | 2.6 |
| 27 | 3.0 |
| 27 | 3.4 |
| 27 | 3.4 |
| 27 | 3.4 |
| 27 | 3.1 |
| 27 | 3.1 |
| 27 | 3.1 |
| 27 | 3.0 |
| 27 | 2.8 |
| 27 | 4.0 |
| 27 | 4.0 |
| 27 | 4.0 |
| 27 | 4.0 |
| 27 | 3.9 |
| 27 | 3.6 |
| 27 | 3.8 |
| 27 | 4.7 |
| 27 | 4.6 |
| 27 | 4.5 |
| 27 | 4.3 |
| 27 | 4.1 |
| 27 | 4.0 |
| 27 | 3.9 |
| 27 | 3.9 |
| 27 | 3.9 |
| 27 | 3.9 |
| 27 | 3.6 |
| 27 | 3.3 |
| 27 | 3.3 |
| 27 | 3.4 |
| 27 | 3.6 |
| 27 | 3.6 |
| 27 | 3.6 |
| 27 | 3.3 |
| 27 | 3.5 |
| 27 | 3.6 |
| 27 | 3.9 |
| 27 | 3.9 |
| 27 | 3.9 |

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: $99.4 \%$.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
SOUTHBOUND PERIOD 5: 22:00 TO 30:59
PERIOD RIDING INDEX = 3.6 (AVERAGE OCCUPANCY)
AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH $=13.3$ STOPS
AVERAGE ONS/VEHICLE-STOP $=0.3$
AVERAGE ONS/TRIP $=11.3$

RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: 99.4\%.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION
SOUTHBOUND ALL DAY

| ROUTE <br> STOP | LOCATION | STARTS | ONS | OFFS | ACCUM. | VEHICLES | AVG. LOAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | STARVIEW LANE AT St basil school | 0 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 34.0 |
| 2 | WESTON RD AT BRADMORE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 34.0 |
| 3 | WESTON RD AT FLINDON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 34.0 |
| 4 | WESTON RD AT REUBEN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 34.0 |
| 5 | WESTON RD AT ALBION RD | 0 | 843 | 7 | 870 | 161 | 5.4 |
| 6 | WESton Rd at dee | 0 | 119 | 68 | 921 | 161 | 5.7 |
| 7 | WESTON RD AT CARDELL | 0 | 217 | 61 | 1077 | 161 | 6.7 |
| 8 | WESTON RD AT OAK | 0 | 351 | 98 | 1330 | 161 | 8.3 |
| 9 | WESTON RD AT PARKE | 0 | 86 | 29 | 1387 | 161 | 8.6 |
| 10 | WESTON RD AT COULTER | 0 | 330 | 127 | 1590 | 161 | 9.9 |
| 11 | WESTON RD AT CHURCH | 0 | 287 | 78 | 1799 | 161 | 11.2 |
| 12 | WESTON RD AT FERN | 0 | 67 | 29 | 1837 | 161 | 11.4 |
| 13 | WESTON RD AT KING | 0 | 194 | 179 | 1852 | 161 | 11.5 |
| 14 | WESTON RD AT JOHN | 0 | 208 | 280 | 1780 | 161 | 11.1 |
| 15 | WESTON RD AT LAWRENCE AVE W | 0 | 747 | 508 | 2019 | 161 | 12.5 |
| 16 | WESTON RD AT WILBY | 0 | 267 | 137 | 2149 | 161 | 13.3 |
| 17 | WESTON RD AT VICTORIA AVE | 0 | 21 | 18 | 2152 | 161 | 13.4 |
| 18 | WESTON RD AT DENISON | 0 | 74 | 48 | 2178 | 161 | 13.5 |
| 19 | WESTON RD AT CLOUSTON | 0 | 132 | 60 | 2250 | 161 | 14.0 |
| 20 | WESTON RD AT SIDNEY BELSEY CRESCENT | 0 | 311 | 161 | 2400 | 161 | 14.9 |
| 21 | WESTON RD AT DORA SPENCER | 0 | 70 | 14 | 2456 | 161 | 15.3 |
| 22 | WESTON RD AT JANE ST | 0 | 709 | 387 | 2778 | 161 | 17.3 |
| 23 | WESTON RD AT ERNEST DOCKRAY | 0 | 105 | 62 | 2821 | 161 | 17.5 |
| 24 | WESTON RD AT BARTONVILLE | 0 | 114 | 80 | 2855 | 161 | 17.7 |
| 25 | WESTON RD AT RAY | 0 | 130 | 171 | 2814 | 161 | 17.5 |
| 26 | WESTON RD AT OXFORD | 0 | 161 | 145 | 2830 | 161 | 17.6 |
| 27 | WESTON RD AT EGLINTON AVE W | 0 | 347 | 385 | 2792 | 161 | 17.3 |
| 28 | WESTON RD AT YORK AVE | 0 | 96 | 58 | 2830 | 161 | 17.6 |
| 29 | WESTON RD AT DENNIS | 0 | 95 | 53 | 2872 | 161 | 17.8 |
| 30 | WESTON RD AT BUSHEY | 0 | 120 | 37 | 2955 | 161 | 18.4 |
| 31 | WESTON RD AT HUMBER BLVD | 0 | 282 | 277 | 2960 | 161 | 18.4 |
| 32 | WESTON RD AT AVON | 0 | 94 | 372 | 2682 | 161 | 16.7 |
| 33 | WESTON RD AT SENECA | 0 | 37 | 33 | 2686 | 161 | 16.7 |
| 34 | WESTON RD AT NORTHLAND | 0 | 132 | 36 | 2782 | 161 | 17.3 |
| 35 | WESTON RD AT MCCORMACK | 0 | 123 | 32 | 2873 | 161 | 17.8 |
| 36 | WESTON RD AT GUNNS RD | 0 | 46 | 48 | 2871 | 161 | 17.8 |
| 37 | WESTON RD AT BIRDSTONE CR N | 0 | 100 | 104 | 2867 | 161 | 17.8 |
| 38 | KEELE ST AT St CLAIR AVE W | 0 | 367 | 575 | 2659 | 161 | 16.5 |
| 39 | KEELE ST AT WESt TORONTO | 0 | 110 | 28 | 2741 | 161 | 17.0 |
| 40 | KEELE ST AT JUNCTION | 0 | 50 | 28 | 2763 | 161 | 17.2 |
| 41 | keele st at dundas st w | 0 | 381 | 185 | 2959 | 161 | 18.4 |

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: $99.4 \%$.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
Report: TRIPS_DM - 002
Version: 002

SOUTHBOUND ALL DAY
ROUTE

| STOP | LOCATION | $\underline{\text { STARTS }}$ | ONS | OFFS | ACCUM. | VEHICLES | AVG. LOAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | KEELE ST AT ANNETTE | 0 | 124 | 47 | 3036 | 161 | 18.9 |
| 43 | KEELE ST AT HUMBERSIDE | 0 | 99 | 39 | 3096 | 161 | 19.2 |
| 44 | KEELE ST AT HILLSVIEW | 0 | 29 | 5 | 3120 | 161 | 19.4 |
| 45 | KEELE ST AT GLENLAKE | 0 | 82 | 51 | 3151 | 161 | 19.6 |
| 47 | KEELE STATION | 0 | 0 | 3151 | 0 | 161 | 0.0 |
| OTALS | OR SOUTHBOUND ALL DAY | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{8291}$ | $\overline{8291}$ | $\overline{98976}$ | $\overline{6766}$ | 14.6 |

## RIDING COUNT - 2. PASSENGER ACTIVITY BY STOP REPORT

ROUTE: 89 WESTON
ROUTING CODE(S): _0, _8,
COUNT: 3043 ON 2017-JAN-09:M-F (FROM 05:04 TO 26:16)
STOP CARD: 24 COUNT COVERAGE/METHOD: PART(GE95)/APC
STOPS: 1 TO 299
COMMENTS: Coverage: $99.4 \%$.

SB CONTROL POINT: 38 KEELE ST AT ST CLAIR AVE W
SOUTHBOUND ALL DAY
PERIOD RIDING INDEX = 14.6 (AVERAGE OCCUPANCY)
AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH $=11.9$ STOPS
AVERAGE ONS/VEHICLE-STOP $=1.2$
AVERAGE ONS/TRIP $=51.5$

Exsiting (in report)

|  |  | Transit Unit | Capacity Per <br> Transit Unit | Direction | Weekday A.M. Peak Hour |  |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Peak/Average Ridership per transit unit | Remaining Capacity | Utilization | Peak/Average Ridership per transit unit | Remaining Capacity | Utilization |
| 89 | Weston | Orion VII NG Hybrid | 51 | NB | 13 | 38 | 25\% | 17 | 34 | 34\% |
|  |  |  | 51 | SB | 14 | 37 | 27\% | 17 | 34 | 34\% |


| Route |  | Transit Unit | Capacity Per Transit Unit | Direction | Weekday A.M. Peak Hour |  |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Peak/Average Ridership per transit unit |  |  | Remaining Capacity | Utilization | Peak/Average Ridership per transit unit | Remaining Capacity | Utilization |
| 89 | Weston |  | Orion VII NG Hybrid | 51 | NB | 15 | 36 | 28\% | 19 | 32 | 38\% |
|  |  | 51 |  | SB | 16 | 35 | 31\% | 20 | 31 | 38\% |

Future Total (in report)

|  | AM IN | AM OUT | PM IN | PM OUT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transit Trips | 2 | 31 | 24 | 8 |
| NB (40\%) |  |  |  |  |
| SB $(60 \%)$ |  |  |  |  |


| $*$     <br> Route Weekday A.M. Peak Hour  Weekday P.M. Peak Hour  <br>  Direction New Riders <br> per Bus Direction New Riders per <br> Bus |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weston | NB | 1.1 | NB | 1.5 |
|  |  | SB | 1.7 | SB | 2.2 |


|  |  | Transit Unit | Capacity Per <br> Transit Unit | Direction | Weekday A.M. Peak Hour |  |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Peak/Average Ridership per transit unit | Remaining Capacity | Utilization | Peak/Average Ridership per transit unit | Remaining Capacity | Utilization |
| 89 | Weston | Orion VII NG Hybrid | 51 | NB | 15 | 36 | 29\% | 19 | 32 | 38\% |
|  |  |  | 51 | SB | 16 | 35 | 31\% | 20 | 31 | 39\% |


[^0]:    1 "Housing Now Transportation Demand Management Framework - City of Toronto" by BA Group dated November 2021 prepared for Create TO.

    2 https://www.vtpi.org/park man.pdf
    3 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169204616302687
    4 http://www.montgomeryplanning.org/transportation/documents/TripGenerationAnalysisUsingURBEMIS.pdf
    5 https://www.buffalony.gov/DocumentCenter/View/5400/TDM-Policy-Guide---Adopted-2017-03-27

[^1]:    NOTES: T intersection - no west leg.

[^2]:    (1) Highway Capacity Manual 2000.

